FIBO Automation Call

*10 May 2019*

# Attendees

* Larry Johnson
* Pete Rivett
* Mike Bennett
* Bobbin Teegarden

# Agenda Items

1. LaTeX
2. Jira
3. CCM / Diagrams

# LaTeX

## PR update:

More complicated than expected

JMS given PR stuff to read; needs time to go through

MB: Dean Allemang has a script that can convert MD to LaTeX if that is relevant. JG also doing things with that.

About 75% of the people involved need to know this already know it. We have people on both sides who do understand it.

Concerns remain about maintainability for LaTeX. See 26 April notes on the detailed requirements. LJ continues to monitor this for concerns.

# Jira

MB update:

See separate document – to be posted to the wiki.

### Other Issues

How to deal with Jiras raised in OMG Jira.

Would presumably push those back into EDMC Jiras. Assuing tht is for ones that are seen to need a change to an ontology.

How long with EDMC want or have to wait before actioning that. Changes not officially published by OMG until the RTF report is endorsed.

Whoever owns the copyright to a change can do what they like outside of those timings.

If a proposed change is not available to the EDMC until later – then what? Would be a kind of fork with potential changes happening in parallel between the two. Subsequent merges.

This is part of the process that needs to be written up.

Questions about merging back – if the above scenario is in play: while a version is in process of beign approved at the OMG then it goes into code freeze at the EDMC side and no changes made until approved version available from OMG.

This would either be at the level of individual ontologies, or across all FIBO or somewhere in between.

For example a change to Bonds on OMG side should not require that e.g. BE domain be frozen on the EDMC side. Would be over-zealous to block all development on the OMG side.

In most cases Git Hub would detect that there is no merge conflict. There is also good tooling to guide a knowledgeable user to determine which change is given precedent.

## Jira Actions

**Action:** Design the process, addressing the various variables rehearsed above, for this set of choices, and for FTF/RTF automation in general.

It may be that the choice is not available, as some issue resolutions might be copyright held by the person doing the change. R/FTF members would have visibility. But are we entitled to make them public by submitting these to the EDMC GitHub since we do not own the Copyright on these.

Needs a group that is not only OMG focused in per this group, but something with more of an EDMC focus e.g. the FPT.

Start by sketching out some kind of process flow, state transitions and so on, to understand this. Identify what then happens in creating the Pull Request for example.

# CCM Diagramming

No updates.

MB actions outstanding