

# Proposed Disposition: Resolved

## OMG Issue No: 10869

**Title:** N-aries

**Source:**

NIST (Mr. Conrad Bock, [conrad.bock@nist.gov](mailto:conrad.bock@nist.gov) [conradb@cme.nist.gov](mailto:conradb@cme.nist.gov))

**Summary:**

In 16.2.2 (Class and Property - Basics), second paragraph under Figure 16.3, association classes are not the same as n-aries. The translation given to N-ary associations is incomplete, because n-ary associations have multiplicities. These will not translate to cardinalities of binaries, at least not without a constraint to ensure there is only one instances of the association class in OWL for each link in UML.

**Resolution:**

Replace text as described below.

**Revised Text:**

The following is the current contents of this paragraph:

This specification takes advantage of the fact that an N-ary relation among types  $T_1 \dots T_N$ , or an association class with attributes, is formally equivalent to a set  $R$  of identifiers together with  $N$  projection functions  $P_1, \dots, P_N$ , where  $P_i:R \rightarrow T_i$ . Thereby N-ary UML associations are translated to OWL classes with bundles of binary functional properties.

Replace this paragraph with the following:

This specification takes advantage of the fact that both an N-ary relation among types  $T_1 \dots T_N$  and an association class with attributes are formally equivalent to a set  $R$  of identifiers together with  $N$  projection functions  $P_1, \dots, P_N$ , where  $P_i:R \rightarrow T_i$ . Thereby both association classes and N-ary UML associations are translated to OWL classes with bundles of binary functional properties.

**Proposed Disposition: Resolved**