

## Proposed Disposition: Resolved

### OMG Issue No: 12387

**Title:** RDFSisDefinedBy text issue

**Source:**

Sandpiper Software, Inc. (Mrs. Elisa F. Kendall, [ekendall@sandsoft.com](mailto:ekendall@sandsoft.com))

**Summary:**

The text of the Constraints section for RDFSisDefinedBy requires revision (per ODM FTF2 meeting minutes of Feb 6, 2008): delete (a) "i.e., the classifier owning the dependency", (b) "i.e., the type of the dependency", and (c) the end of the last sentence, starting with ", or, at a minimum ..." to the end

**Resolution:**

Revise text as suggested.

**Revised Text:**

Currently, the text in section 14.1.6.3 RDFSisDefinedBy describing Constraints reads as follows:

[1] (Semantic) The «rdfsIsDefinedBy» stereotype is used to state that a particular resource (the subject of the RDF statement, i.e., the classifier owning the dependency) is defined by another resource (the object of the RDF statement, i.e., the type of the dependency). In theory, this stereotype can be applied to a dependency between any two “generic” resources, but in practice, we recommend that it is applied to a UML::Dependency that links two UML::InstanceSpecifications that are stereotyped by «rdfsResource», or, at a minimum, that the type of the dependency should be a UML::InstanceSpecifications stereotyped by «rdfsResource».

Revise it to read:

[1] (Semantic) The «rdfsIsDefinedBy» stereotype is used to state that a particular resource (the subject of the RDF statement) is defined by another resource (the object of the RDF statement). In theory, this stereotype can be applied to a dependency between any two “generic” resources, but in practice, we recommend that it is applied to a UML::Dependency that links two UML::InstanceSpecifications that are stereotyped by «rdfsResource».

**Proposed Disposition: Resolved**