

Question: 14. Should a CBDC be legal tender?

[Return to CBDC Benefits, Risks, and Policy Considerations](#) [Provide Feedback](#)

Question

[Return to Top](#)

Should a CBDC be legal tender?

Answer

[Return to Top](#)

Overview

[Return to Top](#)

In general, a United States CBDC should be designated as legal tender, thereby matching the current physical US currency. Such a characterization is necessary for a Digital Dollar to exist alongside and eventually replace physical notes and coins, particularly in relatively small “retail” transactions like the acquisition of food, energy and/or personal services. With the wide adoption of a Digital Dollar, the reduction in costs associated with producing, transferring, securing, and destroying physical currency notes and coins would be quite considerable.

The arguments against designating a US Digital Dollar as legal tender are more operational in nature. Consider, for instance, the various types of fraud that might be attempted with Digital Dollars. Currently, the largest US note in general circulation is one hundred dollars. It is thus difficult to gather sufficient physical notes to exchange for substantial physical assets like an automobile or a housing unit. How would similar “speed bumps” be implemented with a United States CBDC where the transaction size might be unbound?

Currently, financial institutions are key points of control in implementing Anti-Money Laundering (“AML”) / Combating the Financing of Terrorism (“CMT”) policies and procedures. If a United States CBDC is considered legal tender, presumably it will also be convertible with both physical currency and/or account balances with financial institutions. What entities, potentially with geographic or other constraints, will be permitted to perform these conversions, particularly the physical currency / CBDC type where counterfeit tender might be involved?

The design features of a United States CBDC will necessitate trade-offs along four axes:

1. account-based versus token-based
2. institutional versus retail

3. direct versus indirect obligation
4. centralized versus decentralized.

As the design evolves, OMG recommends further consultations that include Use Case analysis and solicitation of scenarios that test the limits of the contemplated CBDC design.

According to the U.S. Code, Title 32, Subtitle IV, Chapter 51, Subchapter I Section § 5103, **Legal Tender** in the U.S. is:

United States coins and currency (including [Federal Reserve Notes](#) and circulating notes of Federal Reserve Banks and national banks) are legal tenders for all debts, public charges, taxes, and dues. Foreign gold or silver coins are not legal tender for debts.<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/31/5103>

According to the Statutes, yes if the U.S. CBDC is considered a form of the [Federal Reserve Note](#).

The U.S. CBDC could offer another mechanism to the existing non-cash mechanisms such as debit cards, credit cards, electronic transfers, and checks. However, in order to offer real-time settlements, it may need to use a different mechanism than the existing [Automated Clearing House \(ACH\) Network](#) currently in use to electronically move money between banks accounts across the U.S. The current ACH network is run by an organization called Nacha, formerly the [National Automated Clearing House Association \(NACHA\)](#).

There definitely would need to be a **bridge** between the existing ACH-NACHA payment network and a U.S. CBDC, its associated Consensus Algorithms, and the network of nodes. However, in addition to the bridge between the two, there probably needs to exist a new consolidated frontend ([Application Programming Interface \(API\)](#)?) that abstracts the type of payment from the participants in the transactions. In other words, the transaction should be agnostic to the non-cash mechanisms such as debit cards, credit cards, electronic transfers, checks, and CBDC.

The U.S. CBDC needs to support basic purchases of:

1. goods
2. services
3. pay bills
4. pay taxes

U.S. CBDC should be treated like any other payment form, even though under the hood, it might use a different payment network than the [National Automated Clearing House Association \(NACHA\)](#) network.

Examples

[Return to Top](#)

The following “desirements” are from the [White Paper](#) as identified by the [Object Management Group's](#)

report called [White Paper Analysis](#):

Table 1: Example of mapping a subset of requirements identified during the White Paper Analysis conducted by the OMG

Benefits	B0025, B0026, B0029, B0034, B0038, B0040, B0044, B0045, B0046, B0047, B0049
Policies	P0003, P0018, P0019, P0020, P0021
Risks	
Design	D0004

Example Discussion

[Return to Top](#)

Table 2: “Desirements” identified in the **White Paper** that have potential monetary policy impacts.

Statement No.	Statement	Comment
B0025	Serve as a new foundation for the payment system	The CBDC could offer another mechanism to the existing non-cash mechanisms such as debit cards, credit cards, electronic transfers, and checks. However, in order to offer real-time settlements, it may need to use a different mechanism than the existing Automated Clearing House Network (ACH) network currently to electronically move money between banks accounts across the U.S. The current ACH network is run by an organization called Nacha, formerly the National Automated Clearing House Association (NACHA) .
B0026	Provide a bridge between legacy and new payment services	There definitely would need to be a bridge between the existing ACH-NACHA payment network and a U.S. CBDC, its associated Consensus Algorithms, and the network of nodes. However, in addition to the bridge between the two, there probably needs to exist a new consolidated frontend (Application Programming Interface (API) ?) that abstracts the type of payment from the participants in the transactions. In other words, the transaction should be agnostic to the non-cash mechanisms such as debit cards, credit cards, electronic transfers, checks, and CBDC.
B0029	Support basic purchases of: 1. goods 2. services 3. pay bills 4. pay taxes	See the answer to B0026 above. CBDC should be treated like any other payment form, even though under the hood, it might use a different payment network than the National Automated Clearing House Association (NACHA) network.
B0038	Allow private-sector innovators to focus on: 1. new access services 2. distribution methods 3. related service offerings	By defining a new standardized Application Programming Interface (API) as in B0026 above, a marketplace of products can be developed by the private sector to help innovate the current payment ecosystem.

Statement No.	Statement	Comment
B0044	Facilitate access to digital payments	See answers to B0011, B0018, B0020, B0024, B0025, B0026 above.
B0046	Enable rapid and cost-effective delivery of: 1. wages, 2. tax refunds 3. other federal payments	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
B0047	Lower transaction costs	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
B0049	Promote access to credit	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
P0003	Complement current forms of money and methods for providing financial services	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
P0018	The Federal Reserve Act does not authorize direct Federal Reserve accounts for individuals	The easiest solution is to allow current intermediaries to process CBDC transactions using an upgraded payment system. See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
P0020	The private sector would offer accounts or digital wallets to facilitate the management of CBDC holdings and payments	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
P0021	The intermediaries would operate in an open market for CBDC services	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
D0004	Design should influence how the Federal Reserve might affect monetary policy	See answer B0025, B0026, B0029, B0038, B0040 above.
B = Benefit Considerations		
P = Policy Considerations		
R = Risk Considerations		
D = Design Considerations		

From:
<https://www.omgwiki.org/CBDC/> - OMG Central Bank Digital Currency (OMG-CBDC) Working Group (WG) Wiki

Permanent link:
https://www.omgwiki.org/CBDC/doku.php?id=cbdc:public:cbdc_omg:04_doc:20_comments:brp:q14:start&rev=1652752453

Last update: 2022/05/16 21:54

