

OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP®

Technology update on the Unified Architecture Framework (UAF)

Matthew Hause, SSI, OMG UAF Chair OMG UAF INCOSE Representative

Copyright © 2023 OMG. All rights reserved.



- To develop architectural descriptions in commercial industries, federal governments and military organizations
- Has many different use cases from Enterprise as a System to SoS and Cyber-Systems engineering or enabler for Digital Transformation planning
- Developed by Object Management Group (OMG)
- Is an international ISO standard ISO/IEC 19540:1 and ISO/IEC 19540:2
- Current version of UAF specification is 1.2 <u>https://www.omg.org/spec/UAF/1.2/About-UAF/</u>
- UAF version 2.0 is already under development

UAF Team Members

Tool vendors:

OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP

- Dassault Systemes
- IBM
- KDM
- MEGA
- Orbus Software
- PTC
- Sparx Systems
- Tom Sawyer

Contributors:

- Airbus
- Aerospace Corporation
- BAE Systems
- Boeing
- Department of Navy (US)
- Lockheed Martin
- MITRE
- Northrop Grumman
- Rolls-Royce Corporation
- Syntell
- · Thales
- INCOSE and GfSE

Leadership





Laura E. Hart Dr. Aurelijus Morkevicius



Matthew Hause



Who Uses UAF?

- 1. Aerospace Corp.
- 2. Airbus
- 3. BAE Systems
- 4. Boeing
- 5. Bundeswehr
- 6. Deloitte
- 7. DISA
- 8. DGA
- 9. Leonardo
- 10. Lockheed Martin
- 11. MITRE
- 12. NATO
- selected list

- 13. Northrop Grumman
- 14. Norwegian Air Traffic Control
- 15. Raytheon
- 16. Rolls Royce
- 17. SAAB
- 18. Swedish Defense Materiel
- Administration
- 19. US Airforce
- 20. US Navy
- 21. US Army
- 22. Vencore
- 23. Volvo Construction Equipment





UAF specification at a glance

OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP®



Copyright © 2022 OMG. All rights reserved.



Model Kinds (aspects)

1



CMG UNIFIED ARCHITECTURE FRAMEWORK 100	Motivation Mv	Taxonomy Tx	Structure Sr	Connectivity Cn	Processes Pr	States St	Sequences Sq	Information ^c If	Parameters ^d Pm	Constraints Ct	Roadmap Rm	Traceability Tr
Architecture Management ^a Am	Architecture Principles Am-Mv	Architecture Extensions Am-Tx ^e	Architecture Views Am-Sr	Architecture References Am-Cn	Architecture Development Method Am-Pr	Architecture Status Am-St		Dictionary Am-If	Architecture Parameters Am-Pm	Architecture Constraints Am-Ct	Architecture Roadmap Am-Rm	Architecture Traceability Am-Tr
Summary & Overview Sm-Ov												
Strategic St	Strategic Motivation St-Mv	Strategic Taxonomy St-Tx	Strategic Structure St-Sr	Strategic Connectivity St-Cn	Strategic Processes St-Pr	Strategic States St-St		Strategic Information St-If		Strategic Constraints St-Ct	Strategic Deployment, St-Rm-D Strategic Phasing St-Rm-P	Strategic Traceability St-Tr
Operational Op	Requirements Rq-Mv	Operational Taxonomy Op-Tx	Operational Structure Op-Sr	Operational Connectivity Op-Cn	Operational Processes Op-Pr	Operational States Op-St	Operational Sequences Op-Sq	Operational Information Op-If Example S Resources Information S Rs-If	Environment En-Pm-E and Measurements Me-Pm-M and Risks Rk-Pm-R	Operational Constraints Op-Ct		Operational Traceability Op-Tr
Services Sv		Services Taxonomy Sv-Tx	Services Structure Sv-Sr	Services Connectivity Sv-Cn	Services Processes Sv-Pr	Services States Sv-St	Services Sequences Sv-Sq			Services Constraints Sv-Ct	Services Roadmap Sv-Rm	Services Traceability Sv-Tr
Personnel Ps		Personnel Taxonomy Ps-Tx	Personnel Structure Ps-Sr	Personnel Connectivity Ps-Cn	Personnel Processes Ps-Pr	Personnel States Ps-St	Personnel Sequences Ps-Sq			Competence, Drivers, Performance Ps-Ct	Personnel Availability Ps-Rm-A Personnel Evolution PS-Rm-E Personnel Forecast Ps-Rm-F	Personnel Traceability Ps-Tr
Resources Rs		Resources Taxonomy Rs-Tx	Resources Structure Rs-Sr	Resources Connectivity Rs-Cn	Resources Processes Rs-Pr	Resources States Rs-St	Resources Sequences Rs-Sq			Resources Constraints Rs-Ct	Resources evolution Rs-Rm-E Resources forecast Rs-Rm-F	Resources Traceability Rs-Tr
Security Sc	Security Controls Sc-Mv	Security Taxonomy Sc-Tx	Security Structure Sc-Sr	Security Connectivity Sc-Cn	Security Processes Sc-Pr					Security Constraints Sc-Ct		Security Traceability Sc-Tr
Projects Pj		Projects Taxonomy Pj-Tx	Projects Structure Pj-Sr	Projects Connectivity Pj-Cn	Projects Processes Pj-Pr						Projects Roadmap Pj-Rm	Projects Traceability Pj-Tr
Standards Sd		Standards Taxonomy Sd-Tx	Standards Structure Sd-Sr								Standards Roadmap Sd-Rm	Standards Traceability Sd-Tr
Actual Resources Ar			Actual Resources Structure, Ar-Sr	Actual Resources Connectivity, Ar-Cn		Simulation ^b				Parametric Execution/ Evaluation ^b		



What's New in UAF 1.2

► UAF EA Guide

► UAF Grid and Metamodel Improvements

- Architecture Management Domain
- Improvements in Strategic and Services Domains (clarify semantics, add new concepts, improve exposition)
- Support of Value Streams and updates to the Strategic Phasing
- Risk becomes cross-cutting construct
- ► Future Roadmap



OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP®

ATTINE OF ANALY

Defense Information Standards Registry (**DISR**) record

Standard Reference Number	Standard Identifier	Standard Title	Standard Class	DoD Status
301131	OMG UPDM v2.1	Unified Profile for the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF) and the Ministry of Defence Architecture Framework (MODAF), Version 2.1, formal/2013-08-04	DISR	Retired
302737	OMG UAFP v1.0	Unified Architecture Framework Profile (UAFP) v1.0, OMG formal/2017-12-01, November 2017 including all normative appendices.	Nover 10, 2 DISR	mber 021 Emerging

Copyright © 2022 OMG. All rights reserved.



7 December 2022

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



DoD AFW History



UNCLASSIFIED



Sample Goals for New AFW

- General:
 - Not just another update with more views
 - Support modernization, optimization, and integration
 - Dovetail with R&E's digital engineering / MBSE
 - Fit into DevSecOps
- Specific:
 - No books, a digital model and a readme
 - Model accessibility for M&S and analytics such as ADVANA, Portfolio Management tools, ..., simpler than XMI
 - Solve the Integrated architecture problem
 - Force/encourage Fit For Purpose (FFP)
 - Eliminate "the administrative burden of architectures"
 - Eliminate checkbox architecting
 - Break away from view-based template filling \rightarrow Usage focus –
 - But still be accessible to operators/users, analysts, as well as systems and software engineers and usable by specialties, e.g.,
 - RMA
 - CS vulnerability
 - BPR
 - Workflow analysts
 - Capacity analysts





Orchestrating Development of New AFW Across DoD

- After each OMG quarterly TC, DoD CIO rep reports back to EAEP
 - CIO reps from most DoD Components
- Collect requirements and feedback on our approach and progress
- Submit incremental drafts for formal comment (via DoD's tasking system)
- OMG adjudicate comments
- Upon final, EAEP recommends to two-star tri-chair (DoD CIO, JS J6, and USCC)
- Issue as guidance





Areas of Improvement

- Mission Modeling / Mission Engineering
- Addition of Use Cases
- Services Modeling Review
- Portfolio concept
- Architecture vs. Configuration
- Revisit Value Streams
- Model-based Acquisition (MBAcq) Support



Future Roadmap UAF 2

- Update to SysML V2
- Research on SysML V2 API
- Research on UAF V1 to UAF V2 transformation
- Update example model and traceability by adding SysML V2 based concepts/diagrams
- Provide Model-Based Acquisition (MBAcq) guide
- Address DoD EAEP requirements
- Push to ISO
- Align with ISO style guide



Annual events

OBJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP®

- 1. UAF and MBSE Information Day, 2015, Reston, VA
- 2. UAF and MBSE Summit, 2016, Reston, VA,
- 3. UAF and MBSE Summit, 2017, Reston, VA,
- 4. UAF, UPDM, and MBSE tutorials, 2017, Reston, VA,
- 5. UAF and MBSE Summit, 2017, Brussels, Belgium
- 6. UAF and MBSE tutorials, 2017, Brussels, Belgium
- 7. UAF and MBSE Summit, 2018, Reston, VA
- 8. UAF and MBSE tutorials, 2018, Reston, VA
- 9. MBSE-inspired Actionable Enterprise Architectures Summit, 2018, Ottawa, Canada
- 10. MBSE-inspired Actionable Enterprise Architectures Tutorials, 2018, Ottawa, Canada
- 11. MBSE-inspired Actionable Enterprise Architectures Summit, 2019, Reston, VA
- 12. UAF in the context of the NATO Architecture Framework (NAF), 2019, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 13. UAF Summit: Actionable Architecture in the 21st century, 2020, Virtual
- 14. UAF Summit: Actionable Architecture in the 21st century and beyond, 2021, Virtual
- 15. UAF Summit: Actionable Architecture in the 21st century Hybrid event, 2022, Reston, VA



Thank You!

Matthew Hause <u>MHause@SystemXI.com</u>



Copyright © 2022 OMG. All rights reserved.