

# Custom Integration Framework for MBSE and CAE using Open Standards

Aditya Shah John Deere

### Aditya Shah Senior Engineer Advanced Modeling & Simulation Group

- 8 years with John Deere
- Focused on intersection of Simulation & Systems Engineering
  - Front line experience conducting physical systems modeling for construction equipment design
  - Graduate research in model based systems engineering



# **Key Takeaways**

- Integration challenges will continue to increase within CAE and MBSE
- It is advantageous for users and vendors both to embrace deeper API access and develop (common) neutral data models
- Interest in collaboration
  - NAFEMS-INCOSE Systems Modeling and Simulation WG (SMSWG)?
    - <u>https://www.nafems.org/about/technical-working-groups/systems\_modeling/</u>

## Agenda

- Introduction: Need for integration in CAE and MBSE
- Problem: Traditional integration methods not practical
- Proposed: Custom workflows using APIs, OSLC and neutral data models
- Summary

# Similar trends in CAE and MBSE



### **CAE and MBSE Initiatives**



[2] https://www.nafems.org/about/regional/americas/events/2020vision/



### Systems Engineering Vision 2025

#### Leveraging Technology for Systems Engineering Tools

ROM	From
urrent systems egree, and mak	tools have limited integration with other
mited integration	engineering tools

#### то

The systems engineering tools of 2025 will facilitate systems engineering practices as part of a fully integrated engineering environment. Systems engineering tools will support high fidelity simulation, immersive

#### technolo and reas benefit f with rela agemen environr tools.

[3] https://www.incose.org/docs/default-source/aboutse/se-vision-2025.pdf

### Number of tools and workflows will explode



- More compute
- New CAE technologies
- New applications



- FEA + CFD
  - FEA + 1D Systems + MBD + ...
  - 1D Systems + Requirements
  - 1D Systems + System of System
     + ADAS + Software +...



Multiphysics



nafems.org/caase18

Process

automation

### Number of tools and workflows will explode



More compute
New CAE technologies
New applications



nafems.org/caase18

**CFD** 

MBD

• • •

**FEA** 

0D / 1D

Systems

### Traditional integration methods not practical



- Challenging for both users
   and vendors
- Integration workflows
   evolve over time
  - More tools to support
  - Different elements to connect
- Who maintains the integrations?

### Alternative approach: Custom workflows using OSLC and neutral data models



### Example workflow Integrating requirements with systems simulation Proof of concept



*"The mass of 317G Compact Track Loader shall be less than 5000 kg"* 



XML representation of database



### **Benefits of this approach for Users and Vendors**

- Increased flexibility
  - Users can define multiple workflows depending on need
  - Data from different sources can be mixed as needed
  - Vendors can shift focus away from specific integration workflows
- More emphasis on user experience

- Simulation and model use will increase (democratization)

- Easier to compete on specific application performance

# Challenges

- Developing data model
  - Interface management is part of Systems Engineering
  - Who does this? Can it be common?
- Tool vendors
  - API access, OSLC connectivity
  - Reluctance to change based on historic business model
- Users
  - Technical competencies required
  - Cultural change necessary; internally and externally with vendors

In spite of these challenges, why is now the right time for this? FMI for co-simulation

- FMI is supported by over 108 tools
  - Used by automotive and non-automotive organizations throughout Europe, Asia and North America.

#### Functional Mock-up Interface (FMI) - Motivation (1)

#### Problems / Needs

- Component development by supplier
- Integration by OEM 7
- Many different simulation tools

#### Solution

- Reuse of supplier models by OEM:
  - DLL (model import) and/or
  - Tool coupling (co-simulation)
  - Protection of model IP of supplier

#### **Added Value**

- Early validation of design
- Increased process 7 efficiency and quality

modelisa

slide from Nick Suyam, Daimler (adapted)





Modelica 2011: Functional Mockup Interface

[4] https://trac.fmi-standard.org/export/700/branches/public/docs/Modelica2011/The Functional Mockup Interface.pdf

Slide 2

supplier5

OEM

### Favorable trends in broader software community

• Rise of open source software



#### Linked Data for MBSE GitHub project [6] https://github.com/ld4mbse

#### oslc-adapter-magicdraw-sysml

Java-based Implementation of OSLC MagicDraw SysML Adapter

Java 😵 2 Updated on Apr 3

#### lyo.core

Forked from eclipse/lyo.core Lyo project repository (lyo.core)

🔵 Java 🛛 😵 6 🛛 Updated on Jan 19

#### oslc-adapter-simulink

Java-based Implementation of OSLC Simulink Adapter

Java ¥4 Updated on Nov 8, 2017

#### oslc4j

OSLC4J library of Eclipse Lyo for Java-based implementation of OSLC adapters

🛑 HTML 🚖 1 🛛 😵 3 Updated on Nov 8, 2017

#### 16

#### magicdrawsysml2rdf

### Favorable trends in broader software community

- Neutral data models are already in use on the web
  - Known as semantic web technologies
  - Web for Humans and Machines [7]



### Favorable trends in broader software community

- Rise of specialized cloud software, focused on user experience and specific needs [8]
  - Enabled by providing full API access
  - New business models are possible
- Industry support for OSLC [9]
   OSLC (Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration)



[10] http://oslc.co/about/#supporters

## Summary

- Favorable conditions for success of custom workflows using OSLC and neutral data models:
  - Interest from community (e.g. ST4SE at INCOSE IW 2018 [11])
  - Technologies are maturing (data models, OSLC, etc.)
  - User expectations (democratization)
  - Business environment (tool vendors and users work together)
- How best to continue this discussion externally?
  - NAFEMS-INCOSE Systems Modeling and Simulation WG (SMSWG) <a href="https://www.nafems.org/about/technical-working-groups/systems\_modeling/">https://www.nafems.org/about/technical-working-groups/systems\_modeling/</a>



- 1. V-model: Designing for Transportation Management and Operations: A Primer (<u>https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/publications/fhwahop13013/ch2.htm#s25</u>)
- 2. NAFEMS Simulation 20/20: <u>https://www.nafems.org/about/regional/americas/events/2020vision</u>
- 3. INCOSE SE Vision 2025: <u>https://www.incose.org/docs/default-source/aboutse/se-vision-2025.pdf</u>
- 4. Functional Mock-up Interface Motivation: <u>https://trac.fmi-</u> <u>standard.org/export/700/branches/public/docs/Modelica2011/The\_Functional\_Mockup\_Interface.pdf</u>
- 5. Open source software: <u>http://subversion.apache.org/</u>, <u>https://www.python.org/</u>, <u>https://github.com/</u>, <u>https://jenkins.io/</u>, <u>https://www.w3.org/standards/semanticweb/</u>, <u>https://www.w3.org/RDF/</u>
- 6. Linked Data for MBSE: <u>https://github.com/ld4mbse</u>
- 7. Guha, Ramanathan V.; Light at the End of the Tunnel (shema.org): <u>http://videolectures.net/iswc2013\_guha\_tunnel/</u>, <u>http://videolectures.net/site/normal\_dl/tag=817824/iswc2013\_guha\_tunnel\_01.pdf</u>
- 8. Thompson, Ben; Mulesoft IPO, Okta S-1, Cohort Analysis in S-1s (<u>https://stratechery.com/2017/mulesoft-ipo-okta-s-1-cohort-analysis-in-s-1s/</u>)
- Reichwein, Axel; OSLC Overview: <u>http://portals.omg.org/MBSE/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=mbse:incose\_mbse\_iw\_2018:overview\_of\_oslc\_-</u> <u>axel\_reichwein - january 21\_2018.pdf</u>
- 10. OSLC industry support: <u>http://oslc.co/about/#supporters</u>
- 11. Jenkins, Steven; ST4SE (Semantic Technologies for Systems Engineering): <u>http://portals.omg.org/MBSE/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=mbse:incose\_mbse\_iw\_2018:st4se\_incose\_mbse\_2018-01-20.pptx</u>



The Conference on Advancing Analysis & Simulation in Engineering

June 5 - 7, Cleveland, Ohio



# **Thank You!**