

BPMN MIWG 5 Apr 2023 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

Test Progress Review

- New submissions: Software Ag, Cardinit, Camunda

Review of Opened Issues

2023 Capability Demo

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>
 -

Varia

-

BPMN MIWG 1 Mar 2023 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted.

Test Progress Review

- New submissions: Software Ag, Cardinit to be reviewed at the next meeting

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report.

2023 Capability Demo

- Participating Vendor:
 1. Trisotech
 2. Camunda
 3. Software AG
 4. CaseAgile
 5. BPenda
 6. Cardinit
 7. OmniTracker
 8. SAP Signavio
 9. Viadee
 10. BoC
 11. KnowProcess
- We had 7 (of 11) round trips provided.
- We did a quick check of the models. First impression is really good.
- A few issues were noted:
 - Some labels missing.
 - Pool interpreted as lane.
 - Call Activity calling a process. + missing due to dynamic linking extension.
 - Falko did a quick cross toll validation, and some models were not schema valid.
- A new version of the ref model will be provided by Falko.
- Everyone is to submit a round trip and do cross tool validation.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>

- Tim to look at further details provided last month.

Varia

- OMG technical meeting in Reston coming up. DMN 1.5 was submitted.

BPMN MIWG 1 Feb 2023 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted.

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14)
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>
 - Falko proposed offline an improvement that was capture in this PR:
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-deco/pull/3>. Falko to review and merge.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>
 - The Demo Participation column will stay green based on the last demo participation (currently it is based on the generation year which look weird at the beginning of a year).
 - The Last Test submission with be green for the current year and the past year to prevent everyone from turning yellow on the first of January.
 - The Schema-valid column numbers are way off. With 24 + 8 + 24 models the numbers should be X/56 which probably indicate that not all models are checked for XSD compliance.

Varia

- Next demo : Both Denis and Falko suggested models.
- We decided to go with Falko's suggestion.
- Model will be available via DropBox
- All to do a round trip test of the model prior to next meeting.
- Participating Vendor:
 12. Trisotech
 13. Camunda
 14. Software AG
 15. CaseAgile
 16. BPenda
 17. Cardinit

18. OmniTracker
19. SAP Signavio
20. Viadee
21. BoC
22. KnowProcess

BPMN MIWG 4 Jan 2023 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- No new result submitted

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>
 - Falko proposed offline an improvement that was capture in this PR: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-deco/pull/3>. Falko to review and merge.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>
 - The Demo Participation column will stay green based on the last demo participation (currently it is based on the generation year which look weird at the beginning of a year).
 - The Last Test submission with be green for the current year and the past year to prevent everyone from turning yellow on the first of January.
 - The Schema-valid column numbers are way off. With 24 + 8 + 24 models the numbers should be X/56 which probably indicate that not all models are checked for XSD compliance.

Varia

- Next demo topic : Falko thinks he can provide an example using event sub process.

BPMN MIWG 7 Dec 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Merged Camunda PR with a correction on the XML serialization of one of their submission

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>
 - We have reviewed the PR to fix it. Simon to slightly update the PR with the proper shell script and merge/close this issue.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>
 - Tim has made a little bit of progress on it. No fix yet.

Varia

- Denis needs to socialize the poll requests for the next demo topic.

BPMN MIWG 2 Nov 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

Test Progress Review

- Reviewed the submissions from: Camunda, Adonis, Aris
- Simon will change the diagrams for A.2.1, C.1.0 and C.1.1 because the colors are depicted with alpha blending and gradients which confuse implementers.
- Signavio have participated in the 2022 demo and this should be reflected in the result table.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14)
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 5 Oct 2022 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Result submitted by Camunda, Adonis. Will do review at the next meeting

Review of Opened Issues

- Reference C.1.0: Colouring esp. Pool Headers is misrepresented <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/878>
 - Closed
- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>
 - We will apply the change to the schema as suggested by Simon on 13 Oct 2021
 - We will then modify the B.2.0 example accordingly
 - Then do a round of vendor validation

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>
 - Tim will look at fixing the automation, so it runs on new submissions and show proper count
 - We also have to validate the count for C test cases that seems to not consider new test cases added to that section

Varia

- SDMN, BKPMN and PPMN are all new standards under the management of the BMI group that are currently in Finalisation (FTF)
- We will create a doodle for identifying the topic(s) of our next test case

BPMN MIWG 6 Jul 2022 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- We have reviewed the results from Camunda, MID, Omnitacker, Trisotech
- Camunda results were not done according to the procedure (the import images were the same as the export images). They will investigate and re-submit.
- C.8.1 Reference end events labels go over the pool. A new version of the reference will be prepared by Simon.

Varia

- The BPMN MIWG result page have issues and is currently not reporting properly the results of four vendors. The bounds are not generated for the bounds testing tool. Tim will investigate.

BPMN MIWG 1 Jun 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Results submitted by Camunda, MID, Omnitacker, Trisotech
- We are migrating our automated compare and result reporting tooling. Given this is not completed we could not do review of the latest submissions. (Tim and Falko are looking into this)

Review of Opened Issues

- Reference C.1.0: Colouring esp. Pool Headers is misrepresented <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/878>
 - We agreed that the current C.1.0 refence model had serialization issues regarding pool coloring
 - A new C.1.0 reference model will be created and circulated for validation by the group prior to replacement.
- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>
 - We confirmed that this is indeed an issue with our BPMN MIWG extension which was introduced based on a wrong assumption about DI
 - A new version of the BPMN MIWG extension will be created.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>
- Improve bounds util #43: Improvements submitted to the Bound Overlay Tool <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/pull/43>
 - We thank Maciej for his contribution and will merge upon completion of the Tooling refactoring taking place.

Varia

- Two new BMI standards are currently in FTF: SDMN and BKPMN. Interested parties should get involved.

BPMN MIWG 4 May 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Revised submissions from Aris and Viadee
- New results submitted by Camunda, MID, Omnitacker

Review of Opened Issues

- Reference C.1.0: Colouring esp. Pool Headers is misrepresented <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/878>
- Issue 866: Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/866>

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 6 Apr 2022 (Minutes)

Review of Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- Demo recording and slides are here: <https://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php>
- General consensus was that the demo was a success
- It ran too long, and we lost interest in the room
- People seems to prefer when the model is iterative created
- A new test set will be created based on the demo model.
 - Two versions : Color + I8N and Execution Extensions

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by Aris, Viadee, Camunda, MID will be merged and validates starting next meeting in May

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Looking for new young blood in OMG

BPMN MIWG 23 Feb 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- <https://www.omg.org/events/2022Q1/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - (Diagram edit) In Software AG, Translate two tasks to German from the diagram
 - (Table edit) In Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with few German tasks add a few more)
 - (Automated Edit) In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/Case Agile
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis extensions need to automate
 - (Part4 a) Show the XML/tool with Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess vendor extensions for Invoking 1 common DMN file DMN
 - (Part 4 b) Show in XML /Tool Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls) Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - (Part 4 c) run Automation on Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)
- New result submitted by Viadee (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 16 Feb 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- <https://www.omg.org/events/2022Q1/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - (Diagram edit) In Software AG, Translate two tasks to German from the diagram
 - (Table edit) In Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with few German tasks add a few more)
 - (Automated Edit) In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/Case Agile
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis extensions need to automate
 - (Part4 a) Show the XML/tool with Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess vendor extensions for Invoking 1 common DMN file DMN
 - (Part 4 b) Show in XML /Tool Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls) Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - (Part 4 c) run Automation on Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)
- New result submitted by Viadee (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 9 Feb 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- <https://www.omg.org/events/2022Q1/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - (Diagram edit) In Software AG, Translate two tasks to German from the diagram
 - (Table edit) In Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with few German tasks add a few more)
 - (Automated Edit) In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/Case Agile
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis extensions need to automate
 - (Part4 a) Show the XML/tool with Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess vendor extensions for Invoking 1 common DMN file DMN
 - (Part 4 b) Show in XML /Tool Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls) Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - (Part 4 c) run Automation on Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)
- New result submitted by Viadee (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 9 Feb 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- <https://www.omg.org/events/2022Q1/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - (Diagram edit) In Software AG, Translate two tasks to German from the diagram
 - (Table edit) In Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with few German tasks add a few more)
 - (Automated Edit) In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/Case Agile
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis extensions need to automate
 - (Part4 a) Show the XML/tool with Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess vendor extensions for Invoking 1 common DMN file DMN
 - (Part 4 b) Show in XML /Tool Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls) Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - (Part 4 c) run Automation on Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)
- New result submitted by Viadee (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 2 Feb 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- <https://www.omg.org/events/2022Q1/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitracker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - (Diagram edit) In Software AG, Translate two tasks to German from the diagram
 - (Table edit) In Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with few German tasks add a few more)
 - (Automated Edit) In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/Case Agile
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis extensions need to automate
 - (Part4 a) Show the XML/tool with Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess vendor extensions for Invoking 1 common DMN file DMN
 - (Part 4 b) Show in XML /Tool Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls) Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - (Part 4 c) run Automation on Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)
- New result submitted by Viadee (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- We will start meeting weekly from now until the week before the demo

BPMN MIWG 5 Jan 2022 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Nobody reported problems with opening the Part 1 model
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Part two is completing offline, Camunda had a blocking minor issue that will get resolved and the chain will continue offline. So far, no issue reported.
 - (Part3) I18N
 - Part 3 was completed successfully on the meeting without problem.
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - There seems to be multiple issues with the version with all vendors. From namespaces changed to elements being dropped.
 - The current model was uploaded to github: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/blob/master/2022-03-21-omg-technical-meeting-reston/Vacation%20Request-Automation.bpmn>
 - Vendors will raise issues and Pull Requests against the model as soon as possible.

BPMN MIWG 1 Dec 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- Reviewed the last Dry Run results
- Made some changes to the scenario.
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitracker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - (Diagram edit) In Software AG, Translate two tasks to German from the diagram
 - (Table edit) In Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with few German tasks add a few more)
 - (Automated Edit) In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/Case Agile
 - (Part 4) Single File Build up
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Omnitracker, Adonis extensions need to automate
 - (Part4 a) Show the XML/tool with Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess vendor extensions for Invoking 1 common DMN file DMN
 - (Part 4 b) Show in XML /Tool Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls) Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - (Part 4 c) run Automation on Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Omnitracker (own DMN only), Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to Report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to Report

BPMN MIWG 24 Nov 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Reston March 2022 Capability Demo

- Agreed to reserve the 3 meetings in the new year for webinar testing and that by next meeting all vendor software correction must be included (i.e if does not work by 1 Dec, the vendor will have to withdraw from that demo Part)
- Validated files for each part of the scenario
- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker, Case Agile
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - Starting from (Part 3) Model in Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with English basis add a few German translated shapes)
 - In Software AG, we showcase the ability to switch languages on the diagram and add a few more translations. Switch from English to German and a few more shape German translation)
 - In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/BPMN Viewer
 - (Part4) Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange via Proprietary extensions)
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 4) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the DMN invocation mapping from each tool to 1 common DMN model
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis showing Invocation and Mapping
 - Show the XML with all vendor extensions
 - (Part5) Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls)
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - (Part6) Automation
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,

- Omnitacker (own DMN only), Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 17 Nov 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo (3 Weeks)

- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker, Enterprise Composer
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events, Boundary event), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Happy Path Tasks), MID(other tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - Starting from (Part 3) Model in Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with English basis add a few German translated shapes)
 - In Software AG, we showcase the ability to switch languages on the diagram and add a few more translations. Switch from English to German and a few more shape German translation)
 - In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess/MID Innovator/BPMN Viewer
 - (Part4) Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange via Proprietary extensions)
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 4) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the DMN invocation mapping from each tool to 1 common DMN model
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis showing Invocation and Mapping
 - Show the XML with all vendor extensions
 - (Part5) Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls)
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - (Part6) Automation
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Omnitacker (own DMN only), Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Demo date moved to March 2022

BPMN MIWG 10 Nov 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo (4 Weeks)

- Scenarios' participants:
 - (Part1) Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (all data objects present) model in different tools
 - Simply open the Part1 model one after the other: Signavio, Cardanit, Omnitacker
 - (Part2) BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech (SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Tasks)
 - (Part3) I18N
 - Starting from (Part 3) Model in Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with English basis add a few German translated shapes)
 - In Software AG, we showcase the ability to switch languages on the diagram and add a few more translations. Switch from English to German and a few more shape German translation)
 - In Trisotech, we complete the translation by adding French and Spanish languages with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess
 - (Part4) Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange via Proprietary extensions)
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 4) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the DMN invocation mapping from each tool to 1 common DMN model
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis showing Invocation and Mapping
 - Show the XML with all vendor extensions
 - (Part5) Service Invocation (Model Interchange of REST calls)
 - Starting from an existing BPMN (Part 5) model, we showcase the same model that contains all the REST invocation mapping from each tool. (maybe the same as for DMN also include REST call)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - (Part6) Automation
 - Trisotech, Signavio (own DMN only), Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Omnitacker (own DMN only), Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- New result submitted by Aris (we will review after the capability demo)

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- OMG Technical meeting early Dec
- SDMN, BKPMN final submission review and vote

BPMN MIWG 3 Nov 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo (4 Weeks)

- Not enough vendors were present on the call to do live scenarios (only Trisotech, Omnitacker, Viadee and Software AG).
- Probably due to the North American time shift for this week.
- We called the meeting early and we will do an email dropbox run of the BPMN in color and I18n scenarios.

BPMN MIWG 27 Oct 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo (5 Weeks)

- We will meet weekly from now on until the demo first week of December
- We will not have the Monday Meet and Greet slot as we will not be on Premise
- Denis will see if we can combine our Virtual Demo with the BPM+ Health Event
- Planning for a 09:00hrsPT 12:00hrsET target time
- Scenarios' participants:
 - Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (non colored,) model in two different tools
 - Simply open the (non color non multi language) model one after the other :Signavio / Cardanit
 - Sequence of vendors
 - BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech(SequenceFlows), KnowProcess(Gateways), SoftwareAG(DataObjects), Camunda (Start events), (MID? Message Flows)), Viadee (End Events), Adonis (Tasks)
 - Sequence of vendors
 - I18N
 - Starting in Adonis, we showcase the table view to add translations (Starting with English basis add a few German translated shapes)
 - In Software AG, we showcase the ability to switch languages on the diagram and add a few more translations. Switch from English to German and a few more shape German translation)
 - In Trisotech, we complete the translation and add French (and Spanish) language with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Knowprocess
 - Participant: Trisotech, SoftwareAG, KnowProcess, Camunda, (MID?), Adonis
 - Sequence of vendors
 - Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange via Proprietary extensions)
 - Starting from an existing model, we showcase how the same model contains the invocation mapping in each tool.
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis
 - Starting from the BPMN Colored Multilanguage with the various vendor integrations that includes the call the 1 common DMN model
 - Sequence of vendors showing Invocation and Mapping
 - Show the XML with all vendor extensions
 - Service Invocation (Model Interchange REST call)

- Same scenario as above
- Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
- Execution
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Omnitacker (own DMN only), Adonis (possibly via REST call for DMN exec or own rule exec)

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- [#866](#) Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14)
 - Complete investigation in the issue
- [#867](#) Invalid use of BPMN in Color in reference model C.1.0
 - We confirmed the issue and are waiting for a PR from Camunda

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Brief summary of status of PPMN, SDMN, BKPMN

BPMN MIWG 13 Oct 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo (12 Weeks)

- Scenarios' participants:
 - Introduction and showcase of the model
 - Show the initial (non colored) model in two different tools
 - Signavio / Cardanit
 - BPMN in color
 - Each vendor color a type of elements in the model and inter-exchange it with the next
 - Trisotech, KnowProcess, SoftwareAG, Camunda, MID, Viadee, Adonis
 - I18N
 - Starting in Adonis, we show case the table view to add translations
 - In Software AG, we showcase the ability to switch languages on the diagram and add a few more translations.
 - In Trisotech, we complete the translation and add another language with automatic translation.
 - We open for viewing in Camunda/Viadee/Knowprocess
 - Trisotech, SoftwareAG, KnowProcess, Camunda, Viadee , MID, Adonis
 - Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange via Proprietary extensions)
 - Starting from an existing model, we showcase how the same model contains the invocation mapping in each tool.
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (1 model with all vendor extensions)
 - Service Invocation (Model Interchange REST call)
 - No scenario yet
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Execution
 - No scenario yet
 - Trisotech, Signavio (own only), Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Omnitacker, Adonis possibly via REST call for DMN exec

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- [#866](#) Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14)
 - Complete investigation in the issue
- [#867](#) Invalid use of BPMN in Color in reference model C.1.0

- We confirmed the issue and are waiting from a PR from Camunda

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing

BPMN MIWG 8 Sept 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- We have 12 weeks until the demo. Will start meeting every two weeks from the 13 Oct on.
- Starting at the next meeting we will build 1 demo scenario per topic below.
- Current topic status:
 - I18N
 - Trisotech, SoftwareAG, KnowProcess (Import only with at least pictures), Camunda, Viadee, MID
 - Signavio, (xslt ?)
 - BPMN in color
 - Trisotech, KnowProcess, SoftwareAG, Camunda, MID, Viadee, Adonis
 - Signavio, (confident for import)
 - Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange via Proprietary extensions)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Adonis (1 model with all vendor extensions)
 - Signavio,
 - Service Invocation (Model Interchange REST call)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Execution
 - Trisotech, Signavio (own only), Camunda, KnowProcess,
 - Omnitacker, Adonis possibly via REST call for DMN exec

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- HexColor defines no transparency <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-in-color/issues/5>
 - Closed no change
 - Given that the Diagram Definition (DD) covers these topics and that a subset of an early version of DD was pasted in BPMN at the time of its release (when DD was not yet an official standard). We felt this would be better addressed with a BPMN 3.0 RFP/submission)
- Attributes in kebab case instead of camel case <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-in-color/issues/4>
 - Closed no change
 - At the time of the creation of BPMN in Color it was decided to align with what was done in CSS as this was not part of the BPMN spec. By now the group does not see any value in doing this alignment.

- [#866](#) Schema validation error on Testcase B.2.0 - Roundtrip (ARIS 10.0.14)

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Next OMG Technical meeting is on the week of Sep 27 and will now be virtual. You have to be registered to get access to the various meetings
- BMI DTF will be reviewing initial submissions for the SDMN, BKPMN and PPMN RFPs
- BACM will present its revised submission.

BPMN MIWG 14 Jul 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved.

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- We are moving to a 1 Participant version of the process
- We will build 1 demo scenario per topic below.
- Updated the topic status:
 - I18N
 - Trisotech, SoftwareAG, KnowProcess (Import only with at least pictures)
 - Signavio, (xslt ?) MID, Camunda (confident), Viadee, Adonis (vendor specific)
 - BPMN in color
 - Trisotech, KnowProcess, SoftwareAG, Camunda
 - (confident) MID, (?)Viadee, (Xslt ?) Signavio, Adonis (confident for import)
 - Proprietary DMN invocation
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess
 - Signavio,
 - Service Invocation (Model Interchange REST call)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess, Omnitracker
 - Execution
 - Trisotech, Signavio (own only), Camunda, KnowProcess, Omnitracker, Adonis
- The cut-off date for maybes on the topics is set to the September meeting
- On the DMN invocation from BPMN
 - Trisotech and Knowprocess have a similar approach to use Data Mapping
 - Camunda has an approach to pass all variables and map the return value
 - All vendors reference the DMN model in a similar way (through a vendor extension)
- On the Service invocation from BPMN
 - The differences in approach are widely different.
 - The consensus seems that the current BPMN 2.0 spec is aligned with WSDL and SOAP and this is not in used today.
 - There seems to be interest in exploring an extension aligned on OpenAPI.
 - Good points were made in also discussing GRPC

BPMN MIWG 9 Jun 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved.

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- DMN invocation (Showcase of current proprietary way)
- 2 Participants vs 1 Participant exploration
- Next we will build demo scenario
- Current status:
 - I18N
 - Trisotech, SoftwareAG
 - Signavio, (xslt ?) MID, Camunda, KnowProcess, Viadee, (?) Omnitacker
 - BPMN in color
 - Trisotech, KnowProcess, SoftwareAG
 - (?) MID, (?)Camunda, (?)Viadee, (?) Omnitacker, (xslt ?) Signavio
 - Proprietary DMN invocation (Model Interchange)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess
 - Signavio, MID
 - Service Invocation (Model Interchange REST call)
 - Trisotech, Camunda, KnowProcess
 - (?) Omnitacker
 - Execution
 - Trisotech, Signavio (own only), Camunda, KnowProcess, Omnitacker
- No status yet: Adonis

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Invitation to join the SDMN, BKPMN, PPMN initial submission team

BPMN MIWG 12 May 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Approved

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- Next meeting explore DMN invocation (Showcase of current proprietary way)
 - All vendors to put their own current DMN invocation the Dropbox
- Next we will build demo scenario
- Trisotech
 - I18N
 - BPMN in color
 - DMN invocation
 - Service Invocation
 - Execution
- Signavio
 - DMN invocation
 - BPMN in color(?)
 - Execution of Signavio BPMN and DMN
- MID
 - BPMN in color(?)
 - DMN invocation
 - No Execution
 - I18N (?) XSLT App (?)
- Camunda
 - DMN invocation
 - Service Invocation
 - Execution
 - I18N (?)
 - BPMN in color (?)
- KnowProcess
 - I18N (?)
 - BPMN in color
 - DMN invocation
 - Service Invocation
 - Execution
- Viadee
 - No execution
 - I18N (?)
 - BPMN in color (?)
- Software AG

- I18N
 - BPMN in color
 - No Execution
 - Interested in DMN invocation
- Cardinit
 - No support yet
 - No Execution
- CaseAgile
 - No support yet
 - No Execution
- Omnitrapper
 - I18N (?)
 - BPMN in color (?)
 - Execution
- Adonis

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 14 Apr 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted.

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- Cross vendor tests in folder 2021-04-14
- Each vendor will try to promote development of both MIWG i18n and MIWG bpmn-in-colors for prioritised dev before the Dec demo.
- Known issues:
- Cardanit:
 - handling of the collaboration
 - hidden data objects
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors
- Camunda
 - Namespace issue (fixed)
 - Handling of collaboration with a missing pool
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors
- Viadee
 - Namespace issue (fixed)
 - Handling of collaboration with a missing pool
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors (?)
- Signavio
 - Dropping nonvisible message
 - Dropping nonvisible data objects
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors (?)
- Software Ag
 - itemDefition (will not supported as this is automation aspect)
 - Interface and error
 - Execution specific extensions ignored.
- CaseAgile
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors
 - No support for custom attributes
- Marion
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors
 - Gateways markers
- Simon

- Strict namespace checking is blocking
 - Cannot load out of order elements
- Zbigniew
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors
 - Hidden dataobject issues
- Bjorn (MID)
 - No support for i18n
 - No support for bpmn-in-colors

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Bringing both MIWG i18n and MIWG bpmn-in-colors in a public discussion context
- Adding the listed language to the MIWG i18n schema

BPMN MIWG 10 Mar 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted.

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- Added a message definition for the start event of the reference model.
- Created new alternative version of the reference model for testing exploration.
- Discussed various vendor specific issues.
- Initiated Cross vendor tests.

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report.

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- OMG technical meeting week of Mar 22.

BPMN MIWG 10 Feb 2021 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted.

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- Cross vendor issues:
 - DataOutput as sourcerefer of DataAssociation and/or DataInputs with targetref
 - fixed in dropbox
 - Messages defined for Operations of services not used.
 - Leave in
 - Supplemental DataAssociations in alternative version (Without employee pool)
 - Fixed in dropbox

Test Progress Review

- New submission from MID
- Table of results is up to date

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 13 Jan 2021 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minute approved.

Test Progress Review

- New Tests submitted from Trisotech and BoC

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- Review of first draft BPMN model of vacation request including a Business Rule task calling a DMN Decision Service
- Model will be added to both Github and dropbox
- Every vendor expected to do round trip test and post exported model and screen capture in dropbox in preparation for Cross Vendor testing at next meeting

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Next OMG meeting is March 22-26, 2021 - OMG Technical Meeting - A Virtual Meeting

BPMN MIWG 2 Dec 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Review issue: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/pull/809>
 - Review of Simon' s exploration. Close no change.

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- For the demo we decided to use a Vacation request example that :
 - depends on Decisions modeled in DMN (Vendors without DMN will be able to use models/execution from other vendors)
 - uses BPMN in color
 - uses BPMN I18N
- We will also try to explore best practices to interchange execution information
- Denis will create a first draft model
- New Doodle Survey will be sent to identify participating vendors

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>
- Tim not available

Varia

- For 2021 we will continue to meet on monthly bases until more frequent meetings are required.
- For 2021 we will be using MS Teams as the platform for our meetings

BPMN MIWG 4 Nov 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Review issue: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/pull/809>
- All ok with Benjamin's portion. Simon will validate the duplication reported by Zbigniew

Long Beach Dec 2021 Capability Demo

- As a potential scenario we are considering a Vacation request that would depend on decisions from DMN Model(s).
 - Explore how to specify the relationship to DMN model(s)
 - Explore how to specify the required information for automation
- Considering testing the BPMN MIWG extensions
 - BPMN In Color
 - BPMN I18N
 - BPMN Envelope positioning
- We will confirm these with a Doodle poll to the BPMN MIWG mailing list

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>
- Tim was not available

Varia

- Next OMG technical meeting is the week of Dec 7

BPMN MIWG 7 Oct 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Review issue: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/pull/809>
- Waiting for Benjamin to react
- Zbigniew will comment on duplicated DataObjectRef status in label

New Test Case from last Capability Demo

- Review pull request : <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/pull/859>
- Image added and pull request merged

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-tools/issues/359>
- Tim was not available

Varia

- Next capability demo: Monday Meet and Greet demo slot for the Long Beach Dec 2021 meeting

BPMN MIWG 2 Sep 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Adding IOSpecification to called process (issue #808). Everyone should review/comment pull request for next meeting: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/pull/809>

New Test Case from last Capability Demo

- We will publish a new C.7 test case based on the last Capability demo including : DataInput and DataAssociation on SequenceFlow
- Everyone should review/comment pull request for next meeting: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/pull/859>

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- There will not be any OMG meeting in Europe during 2021. We will request the meet and greet slot for the Dec 2021 meeting

BPMN MIWG 15 Jul 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Capability Demo

- We had 650 registrations, 350 live attendees and 175 were still on the meeting call at the end of Q&A
- Recording and slides are on the wiki
- Things ran smoothly. everyone is very pleased
- Live Q&A from remote attendees was a big plus
- Business Scenario approach was a big plus
- We should add blog post links to the wiki
- We should add the model(s) to the test suite. Will review in Sept when we re-group

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>
- Result table is not updating although the database is up to date with demo data

Varia

- We are taking a break for Aug and regrouping in Sept on a monthly rhythm.
- The Sept 2021 OMG Meeting will be in Europe. We will seek to get the meet and greet slot for our next capability demo.

BPMN MIWG 10 Jun 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- GTW with panel members present for Q&A
- We are going live
- Intro and Q&A will be moderated by Meg from OMG
- Everyone will introduce themselves and where they are from just before starting to model
- Denis will confirm when the shared screen is up
- Demo day: We need to join the GTW 30 mins prior to the start of actual demo

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 3 Jun 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- Demo Tooling
 - GTW with panel members present for Q&A
 - 17 Jun recording during our regular MIWG meeting
- Did a complete dress rehearsal and recorded it
 - We are running too long
 - Will adjust and have all 3 processes modeled in parallel

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 27 May 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- Demo Tooling
 - Google Hangout Meet seems to be our preferred tool for live presentation
 - Alternative to record the demo and broadcast via tool?GTW with panel members present for Q&A
 - Slack as a potential side channel for Q&A
 - 17 Jun recording during our regular MIWG meeting
- Reviewed the draft slide deck for the demo
 - Will place on dropbox
- Did a dry run using the new models with shorter labels
- Did a review of each of the Automation demos
 - All need to be below 2 mins each
- Next week will be a dress rehearsal with what ever technology we can make work for our purpose

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing new

BPMN MIWG 20 May 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Badge Review

- Email including the badge graphic material was sent to the participants of this year's demo. You can request it to the BPMN MIWG Chair.

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- Demo Tooling
 - Google Hangout Meet seems to be our preferred tool for live presentation
 - Alternative to record the demo and broadcast via tool?GTW with panel members present for Q&A
 - Slack as a potential side channel for Q&A
 - 17 Jun recording during our regular MIWG meeting
- Elaborated on the demo scenario and story
 - EU Bank (fictitious Cie) is capturing their job advertising and candidate selection processes (these two processes are modeled in parallel by the demo group)
 - EU Bank realises it could do a digital transformation of the Candidate Selection process (demo group models the to-be version)
 - To complete the digital transformation EU Bank gets a Platform Specific Model (PSM) created for each of the automation vendors it is considering (short demo of automation from KnowProcess, Camunda, Omnitracker, Signavio, Trisotech and BoC)
- We completed a dry run with the following decisions
 - Shorten all labels to a minimum length
 - Each vendor can either type in or copy/paste in the labels
 - Each automation vendor to do a demo of what they intend to show (1-2 mins max)

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 13 May 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Accepted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Badge Review

- New badge design accepted

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- Demo Tooling
 - Google Hangout Meet seems to be our preferred tool for live presentation
 - Alternative to record the demo and broadcast via tool?GTW with panel members present for Q&A
 - Slack as a potential side channel for Q&A
 - 17 Jun recording during our regular MIWG meeting
- Did sequence modeling assignment
- Did a successful dry run. Minor issues to be resolved amongst parties.
- Next week we define the story we want our demo to showcase

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 6 May 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- Demo Tooling
 - Google Hangout Meet seems to be our preferred tool for live presentation
 - Alternative to record the demo and broadcast via tool?GTW with panel members present for Q&A
 - Slack as a potential side channel for Q&A
 - 17 Jun recording during our regular MIWG meeting
- Issue review continued. Each vendor is responsible for resolving vendor to vendor issues.
- Long discussion on the possibility of a BPMN MIWG badge
 - Conclusion something more around the logo and that specifies “participant”
- We will remove the dataAssociation on sequenceFlow as only two vendors support it
- We will start doing attributions of role in the demo scenario

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- DMN TCK has reconvened

BPMN MIWG 22 Apr 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL is going to be virtual
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 11:00 hrs (ET)
 - Event will be free but pre-registration
- Demo Tooling
 - Google Hangout Meet seems to be our preferred tool for live presentation
 - Alternative to record the demo and broadcast via tool?GTW with panel members present for Q&A
 - Slack as a potential side channel for Q&A
 - 17 Jun recording during our regular MIWG meeting
- Cross vendor issues
 - Signavio
 - Case Agile issues
 - Omnitracker issues
 - IteSoft
 - Label positioning issues (missing label styles)
 - Known issue with dataObject vs DataObject Ref
 - Camunda: dataInput and dataOutput markers
 - Omnitracker: elements behind pools and lanes
 - CaseAgile
 - Will not support data association on Sequence flow
 - Cardanit: vertical vs horizontal layout
 - Camunda
 - Case Agile: problems with namespace prefix
 - Software AG
 - Will not support data association on Sequence flow
 - Omnitracker, Adonis, CaseAgile Labels issues no BPMNLabel elements
 - Cardanit
 - Labels issues no BPMNLabel DI elements (spec 12.3.1)

- Adonis: sequence flow missing
 - Camunda: propertyNode
 - Itesoft: dataObject vs dataObjectRef: source/target issue
 - Signavio: association to sequence flow
- Omnitracker
 - Signavio: element with incorrect type
 - Cardinit: pools are vertical vs horizontal
- Adonis
 - Camunda: dataOutputs displayed as dataObjects
 - Itesoft: dataObjects get lost (dataObject vs dataObjectRef)
 - Cardinit:
 - Case Agile: losing dataAssociation
 - Omnitracker: lane names missing
- KnowProcess
 - Known issues: CR in labels,
 - Itesoft: labels are not positioned correctly
 - Camunda: missing label on dataInput
 - Signavio: start of dataAssociation is not right on the dataObject
- Trisotech
 - Case Agile: QNames with incorrect prefix
 - Omnitracker: negative y bound, no labels on lanes
 - Itesoft: font size problem-> sequence flow position
 - Camunda: all dataAssociations have changed sourceRef

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- DMN 13. Is now officially out
- DMN TCK will reconvene this week

BPMN MIWG 8 Apr 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Next Capability Demo

- Announcement <https://www.omg.org/events/fl-20/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 17:30 hrs (ET)
 - There are chances that this OMG meeting be also virtual. We will carry out our demo at the same time regardless
 - Everyone that need to update or change logo on the announcement should them the logo to Denis
- Demo Tooling
 - Zoom seems to be the preferred alternative (have to check Streamyard, Google Meets)
- As soon as an OMG Marketing Email template is ready, each vendor should distribute the invite within their own communities
- Did some review of the Cross Vendor testing
- The DataAssociation on SequenceFlow is only supported by Trisotech and Signavio.
- Each vendor should communicate directly with other vendors they had issues in the Cross testing to either resolve the issue or bring it forward for a general resolution if need be

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- DMN 1.3 is available to OMG members and should be generally available soon.

BPMN MIWG 25 Mar 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by Omninet and GBTec

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 17:30 hrs (ET)
- Omninet and GBTec will test import and add the screen capture of the 3 models in 03/11 folder
- Scenario proposal review
 - Reviewed version 2 of the scenario
 - Discussion of where is the cut-off line in the demo scenario
- Homework for next meeting
 - Each vendor to re capture the 3 models in their tool and export the BPMN file to the Dropbox folder for cross vendor testing

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- Need to focus on a potential replacement tool for Hangout. Zoom?

BPMN MIWG 11 Mar 2020 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Reviewed the submission of BPMN-Modeler for Confluence Enterprise 3.5.1 by viadee
 - C4.0 and C5.0 submission was done in the incorrect format
 - Tim will open a few issues on the tool in github

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 17:30 hrs (ET)
 - Review Doodle participation
- Created a dropbox for this demo. Everyone should have been invited.
- Test import of the latest versions of the 3 models
- Generation of images for all 3 models for each participants.

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>
 - No progress yet

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

- The following vendors does not support the import of multiple files at once: SoftwareAg, Esteco, Signavio, Know Process, Case Agile, Camunda, Trisotech and therefore can't test it properly
- Know Process intend on supporting it in a near future
- ITE Soft normally support the import of multiple files but report errors with these ones.

Varia

Nothing

BPMN MIWG 26 Feb 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 17:30 hrs (ET)
 - Review Doodle participation
- We reviewed and enhanced the As Is and To Be models
- We agreed on the BPMN notions that would be showcased by each model
- Scenario proposal will be reviewed at next meeting

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

Still inviting everyone to do some tests

BPMN MIWG 12 Feb 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to review

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to review

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - June 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL
 - That means our demo Monday 22 June @ 17:30 hrs (ET)
 - Review Doodle participation
- Model Review
 - 3 recaptured models were tested by all for import with no issue
 - Did a review and enhancement of the Advertise job vacancy
- Scenario proposal review
 - New version on Github for review

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

- Everyone should do the test so we can have a discussion

BPMN MIWG 29 Jan 2020 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - June 15-19 or 22-26, 2020 - OMG Technical Meeting - Orlando, FL
 - That mean our demo Monday 15 June @ 17:30 hrs (ET)
 - Review Doodle participation
- Model Review
 - There were a few import glitches
 - We will recapture the model in Trisotech tool to try to remove the glitches
- Scenario proposal review
 - Changes were suggested to the first scenario draft

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>
- New features to the result tables added:
 - Split of Import and export results (Hyperlinked)

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

- Only 3 tools tested these files
- Everyone should do the test so we can have a discussion
- Test set will be moved

BPMN MIWG 15 Jan 2020 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - A lot of event taking place in Boston. OMG not yet sure if 17 or 22 Jun
- Zbigniew contributed some BPMN models for the purpose of our demo. They are here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-demos/tree/master/2020-06-omg-technical-meeting-boston/discussion>
- Tom will contribute a potential demo scenario for the next meeting

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-tools/issues/359>
- One part of the issue is fixed. Remains to ensure that all (15) files are picked up

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

- Everyone should go and do that test, so we can discuss it
- Tim has prepared an example using Call Activities

Varia

- Still no dates or location from OMG for the Jun meeting
- Falko has volunteered as co-chair of the DMN RTF

BPMN MIWG 18 Dec 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new

Next Capability Demo

- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - A lot of event taking place in Boston. OMG not yet sure if 17 or 22 Jun
- Make the demo more appealing to audience by being scenario based:
 - Model
 - Enrich
 - Automate
 - Create to be
 - Analyse/diff
 - Enrich to be
 - Automate to be
- We could introduce the model in layers (somewhat with the conformance levels):
 - Happy path
 - Analysis level
 - Documentation of how thing get done
 - Ready for automation
- Make the demo more appealing by integrating “du jour” technologies
 - AI/Predictive analytics
 - RPA
 - Process Mining
 - Simulation

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

- Example from the spec available here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-demos/tree/master/2020-06-omg-technical-meeting-boston/discussion>
- We should create a test case for these concepts
- A better example would be for Called activities (process re-use) and Global task

BPMN MIWG 20 Nov 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new

Next Capability Demo

- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - A lot of event taking place in Boston. OMG not yet sure if 17 or 22 Jun
- Potential topics Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/x4qsfhsmi2egux3>
- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Brainstorm on the next demo. Lots of ideas
- 3 main ideas
 - Focus on execution
 - Use this to showcase Extended attribute Interchange/Roundtrip
 - Maybe too little modeling
 - Go back and forth between modeling and execution (iterative behaviour building)
 - Role play to tell a life of a BPMN Model story
 - Each person takes on a role: e.g. Analyst, Dev, etc
 - Not enough roles for all participants
 - Got to make sure into turn into a marketing only exercise
 - Use cuts. Early draft, mid stage, completed stage of models
 - BPSim
 - Show how BPSim is maintained in standard BPMN interchange
- Showcase various BPMN usage categories
 - Process Modeling
 - Process Execution
 - Analysis of As Is and To Be
 - Process Analysis (Lean Six Sigma)
 - Documenting how things get done
 - Activity monitoring/Heat map of Execution
 - Simulation based on BPSim
 - Process Animation
 - Etc.

- We will make a survey of potential topics that make tools shine and regroup tools under categories as above. <https://doodle.com/poll/7cypmtbbt5xhzmgw>

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/issues/359>

Interchange of Multiple Interdependent Files

- Example from the spec available here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/tree/master/2020-06-omg-technical-meeting-boston/discussion>
- We should create a test case for these concepts
- A better example would be for Called activities (process re-use) and Global task

Varia

- We should have a look and discuss BPMN-i18n proposal <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-i18n/pull/2>
- Next Meeting will take place on 18 Dec (4 Dec meeting cancelled)

BPMN MIWG 6 Nov 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new

Next Capability Demo

- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - A lot of event taking place in Boston. OMG not yet sure if 17 or 22 Jun
- Potential topics Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/x4qsfhsmi2egux3>
- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Varia

- A lot of discussions on the interchange of multiple interdependent files (from BPMN)
- Situational Data Model and Notation work:
 - SDMN Working Group
 - Thurs 16:00- 17:00 (ET)
 - Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.
 - <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/521126621>
- Knowledge Package Model and Notation
 - KPMN Working Group
 - Tues 15:00 – 16:00hrs (ET)
 - <https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/782468389><https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/782468389>

BPMN MIWG 23 Oct 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- New submission from Adonis
- Corrected version of MID submission

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Next Capability Demo

- Participation Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/5d2bnb869iri3ymi>
 - Get the actual date from OMG 17 por 22 Jun
- Be mindful that Hangout is being deprecated (alternatives Google Meet, GTW, Zoom, Bluejeans)
- Potential topics of the demo: (make a doodle for selection)
 - Call Activities (Process and global task)
 - Adhoc sub-process
 - Cancel boundary events (transaction subprocess)
 - Complex Event hidden attributes (Multiple events and complex gateway)
 - Operationalize a Model for execution (modelers displaying extended attributes)
 - Model execution
 - Extended attributes interchange
 - Choreography
 - Hidden attributes of rule tasks (DMN integration)
 - BPMN MIWG i18n
 - BPMN MIWG Message Decorator
 - BPMN MIWG BPMN in Color

Test Automation Subgroup Review

- Issue(Tim will look at this) : Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/359>

Alternative Implementation Subgroup Review

- Discuss removing this item from the agenda for now (Wait for Tim).

Varia

- BACM is now working on a single unified submission

BPMN MIWG 9 Oct 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Aris Architect 10
 - Few issues with label position/new lines will be entered by frank into github.
- C.4.0, C.5.0 for CaseAgile BPMN Viewer
 - Still need work
- Adonis 8.0
 - Errors in the submission, will correct before next meeting

Review of Opened Issues

- Simon tagged the issues opened for Enterprise Explorer.

Next Demo Model

- We are targeting the end of June Boston meeting for the next capability demo.
- Ideas on what to demo are welcome.
- Denis will ask OMG for the time slot.

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Move to github:
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-i18n>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-deco>
- Vendors implementing it are welcome to click on the link to add their names to the list of implementers

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Issue: Schema Validator counter inconsistent <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/35>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Discussion about if we should keep the group alive. We need to continue this discussion with Tim at the next meeting.

BPMN MIWG 25 Sep 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Submission by CaseAgile
 - Reviewed C.4.0 – C.6.0 for Explorer
 - Issue entered by Boris
 - Reviewed C.6.0 for Viewer
 - C.4.0 and C.5.0 had issues with the naming of the diagrams, will be reviewed at the next meeting
- We received by email a submission from Adonis (v.8.0). It will be integrated to the website by Tim before next meeting.

Review of Opened Issues

- No issue to review

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Proposal for i18n and envelope position are ready to be moved to the MIWG repo
- It would be interesting to make an extra proposal for the bottom line of the pools position

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - We are closing this issue
- The bounds tool does not work properly for the C.6.0 image, we need to investigate (Simon)
 - Fixed by Simon

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

Denis is traveling at the OMG meeting in Nashville this week

BPMN MIWG 11 Sep 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Submission from MID
 - The website was generated but there was a problem with the tools-tested-by-miwg.json file. The tool should be called "tool": "MID bpanda"
- We quickly reviewed the results from the latest version of Cardanit
 - There is a small depiction issue with the position of the pool bottom line. This is an issue that is quite frequent and caused by the fact that this information is not serialized in the XML interchange.
 - They will try to improve the rendering and re-submit.

Review of Opened Issues

- No issue to review

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>
- The bounds tool does not work properly for the C.6.0 image, we need to investigate (Simon)

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Denis is traveling to decision camp in Italy this week

BPMN MIWG 26 Jun 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Capability Demo

- The demo was a real success
- We had 84 people in the room and some more people on the live stream
- Video of the stream was posted to the wiki page
- Will also post the slideshare on the wiki
- We need to transform our Demo model into the C.6.0 reference model
- Next capability demo will be in 2020

Test Progress Review

- Submission from MID to be merged

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- BMI discussions in Amsterdam around the SBRM RFP that was issued
- Change name of BeePMN to Cardanit in the results

BPMN MIWG 15 May 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- New tests submitted by Trisotech. We will review after the Jun Capability demo.

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet www.omg.org/bpm-meet-greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Please circulate the survey of most used BPMN elements to your respective community of users: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpBR3xmtr1>
- Sparx pulled out of the Jun Demos, KnowProcess will do their part
- Did a successful dry run of the demo.
- Next week we start Hangout demo trial

Review of Opened Issues

- #813 Incorrect usage of global task in B.1.0 reference <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/813>
 - Closed
- #814 Standardize the model names with the test case name <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/814>
 - Closed

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Noting to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>

- We should explore a single BPMN file multi diagram automated testing if possible
 - Closed
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- Camunda listing of tools to remain under the bpmn.io labelling

BPMN MIWG 1 May 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet www.omg.org/bpm-meet-greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Please circulate the survey of most used BPMN elements to your respective community of users: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpjBR3xmtr1>
- Too many teams missing for a live dry run
 - We will do an asynch dry run in the May1 folder. Please submit your portion using latest version of your code
- Denis renamed the first Camunda box to Cawemo
- BeePMN
 - itemDef reference : StructureRef namespace
 - They removed the wrong itemdef
- CaseAgile
 - XML with wrong namespace
 - DataInputAssociation changed into a DataAssociation
 - OutputSet missing on IOSpecification
 - Fixed. Need to be tested
- KnowProcess
 - Label Positioning
 - Was improved but still a little off. They will look at centering the alignment.
- Sparx
 - Sparks output of Data Input/Data Output can't be open in Aris (nor in Trisotech)
- OMG provided us with their YouTube channel so we will stream on OMG YouTube this year
- We have 6 weeks left. Starting May 15th we will meet weekly
- Everyone should promote the Meet & Greet to their community. Denis will send the HTML from OMG as a source. Feel free to either use this one or create your own. Would love to have 75 people or more in the room.

Review of Opened Issues

- #812 :Reference Label position off in C.4.0 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/812>

- Reviewed the reference model and corrected the bounds that had been erroneously introduced.

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
 - We should explore a single BPMN file multi diagram automated testing if possible
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 17 Apr 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet www.omg.org/bpm-meet-greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Please circulate the survey of most used BPMN elements to your respective community of users: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpjBR3xmtr1>
- We did a Dry Run only missing representatives from Sparx, ITESOFT
- Sparx, CaseAgile and BeePMN should do some interchange validation before the next dry run
- Denis should rename the first Camunda box to Cawemo
- BeePMN
 - itemDef reference : StructureRef namespace
 - They removed the wrong itemdef
- CaseAgile
 - XML with wrong namespace
 - DataInputAssociation changed into a DataAssociation
 - OutputSet missing on IOspecification
- Camunda
 - DataInput is lost
 - Fixed in this week demo
- KnowProcess
 - DataOutput issue
 - Fixed in this run
 - Label Positioning
 - Was improved but still a little off. They will look at centering the alignment.
- Sparx
 - Sparks output of Data Input/Data Output can't be open in Aris (nor in Trisotech)
- Trisotech
 - The compensation markers coming from Adonis are rather large

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>
- Falko has made significant improvements to the Test tooling this week. A big part of issue #33 is already solved and a new column with schema validation was added to the test results.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing

Varia

- Nothing

BPMN MIWG 3 Apr 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet www.omg.org/bpm-meet-greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Please circulate the survey of most used BPMN elements to your respective community of users: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpjBR3xmtr1>
- We did a Dry Run only missing representatives from ITESOFT
- Sparx, CaseAgile and BeePMN should do some interchange validation before the next dry run
- BeePMN
 - itemDef reference : StructureRef namespace
- CaseAgile
 - XML with wrong namespace
 - DataInputAssociation changed into a DataAssociation
 - OutputSet missing on IOspecification
- Camunda
 - DataInput is lost
- KnowProcess
 - DataOutput issue
 - Label Positioning
- Sparx
 - Sparks output of Data Input/Data Output can't be open in Aris (nor in Trisotech)

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

BPMN in Color

- Falko updated the BPMN in Color landing page
- If your organization is not listed as an implementer, please do a pull request or send us an email

Varia

- OMG meeting in Reston
 - BPM+ in Healthcare workshop
 - BACM submission now has one team uniting previous three proposals

BPMN MIWG 20 Mar 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet www.omg.org/bpm-meet-greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Please circulate the survey of most used BPMN elements to your respective community of users: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpjBR3xmtr1>
- Some issues with the daylight saving time for NA caused some vendors to join late in the meeting.
- We asked vendor present from issues they found on the Cross-Vendor Testing:
 - Sparks output of Data Input/Data Output can't be open in Aris (nor in Trisotech)
 - Camunda has issue with the label of the Data Input/Output
 - Case agile has issue importing Data Input/Output
- We did the first dry run with the vendors we had. We mocked the parts from the missing vendors and found additional issues:
 - Camunda slight glitch with the labels.
 - CaseAgile boundary events are not attached anymore (were fine in Aris)
 - Know process has issue with generating data output.

Review of Opened Issues

- No issue reviewed

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 6 Mar 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet www.omg.org/bpm-meet-greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Please circulate the survey of most used BPMN elements to your respective community of users: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpjBR3xmtr1>
- We did a first cross vendor check of the demo model
 - All participating vendors should provide images of respective imports with marked up issues when appropriate
- We did a first breakdown of the demo model with a first potential demo scenario

Review of Opened Issues

- C.2.0. has 7 unused process : <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/811>
 - Reference model was fixed

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 20 Feb 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet
 - We decided on the Travel Booking model
 - Every vendor is to import and export each other's version to identify first set of issues
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle <https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr> Currently 7 Vendors (1 unknown)
- Survey of most used BPMN elements: <https://goo.gl/forms/fm1E1tJpjBR3xmtr1>

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko not available

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Next OMG meeting March in Reston

BPMN MIWG 6 Feb 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Capability Demo

- We will turn our 2018 Demo Model into test C.5.0 (Simon)
 - Done. File now in the Reference folder
- We will review and carry issues from the demo as appropriate to C.5.0 (Simon)
 - One issue closed. Other issue carried in to Issue #808
- Two model are suggested for our demo: Incident management from BoC , Travel Booking from Trisotech
 - Both models to be added to the Demo repo for validation by vendors
 - We will pick one of the two at the next meeting
- List of BPMN elements and their usage will be posted to be edited by all.

Review of Opened Issues

- No new comments on issue #783 and #784
- Add the BPMN in Color extensions to the reference models that are colors in the reference images

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- OMG meeting in Reston week of March 18

BPMN MIWG 23 Jan 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Capability Demo

- Next Capability Demo Confirmed for 17 Jun 2019 17:30 in Amsterdam during meet and greet
- A call for participation will be made on the mailing list along with a Doodle
<https://doodle.com/poll/7nqv6ri3zim7z5dr>
- Potential topics:
 - DataInput/ DataOutput
 - Most used BPMN elements. A survey of vendors' data on most used element (Zbigniew)
 - Survey of most used BPMN elements for Documentation and for Execution will be posted to the mailing list and Wiki page
 - Execution of the demo model
 - Quick execution validation (Falko)
 - ITESOFT has a validation capability
 - Event subprocess
- We will turn our 2018 Demo Model into test C.5.0 (Simon)
- We will review and carry issues from the demo as appropriate to C.5.0 (Simon)

Review of Opened Issues

- Everyone should review Issues #783 and #784 in preparation for discussion

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)

- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Subgroup still has not reconvene

Varia

- Potential creation of BPMN Training subgroup but person proposing did not show up

BPMN MIWG 9 Jan 2019 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Skipped

Test Progress Review

- New submission from Knowprocess

Capability Demo

Review of 2018 Demo

- Live demo and stream were a great success with 50 registrants to the live portion
- Pictures and recording posted here: http://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php?id=start#model_interchange_capability_demo
- We will turn our Demo Model into test C.5.0 (Simon)
- We will review and carry issues from the demo as appropriate to C.5.0 (Simon)

Prep of 2019 Demo

- Waiting for OMG's decision if a meet and greet slot is available to us in 2019, we requested Amsterdam in Jun
- Potential topics:
 - DataInput/ DataOutput
 - Most used BPMN elements. A survey of vendors' data on most used element (Zbigniew)
 - Execution of the demo model
 - Quick execution validation (Falko)

Review of Opened Issues

- We need to revisit a couple of proposals at the next meeting #783, #784

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

Review of Reported Results

- Knowprocess results were reviewed and issues opened

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- May reconvene the subgroup to explore an extension approach (Tim)

Varia

- A BPMN, CMMN, DMN Harmonization suggestion is starting to be socialized at OMG, presentations were made to the BMI and the Health DTF in Seattle

BPMN MIWG 21 Nov 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

2018 Capability Demo

- The event page <https://www.omg.org/events/wa-18/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Every participating vendor should promote the event to their community (you can use the email template from OMG)
- All participants should take note of the time of the event in Seattle to ensure their avail at that time (late in the night for Europe)
- We did another run through in Hangout. We still have issues with DataObject and DataStore
- Everyone should be prepared ...we only 2 more practices.
- We will hold a practice just before the actual show. Simon will host it as Denis will be in meetings at Seattle.

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Nothing to Report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 14 Nov 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

2018 Capability Demo

- The event page <https://www.omg.org/events/wa-18/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- We did our first Hangout tests of the demo
- We changed some of the demo sequencing and attributions
- Still some interpretation issues with DataObjects vis DataObjectRef and DataStore vis DataStoreRefs: Only (...)Refs are displayed on diagrams

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

Review of Reported Results

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 31 Oct 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

2018 Capability Demo

- The event page <https://www.omg.org/events/wa-18/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- The presentation order was defined and saved in the DropBox
- We tried a first sequencing of the various tool and ran into some issues mostly related to DataObject/DataObjectReferences/DataStores
- We may have to change the order of a few tools

Review of Opened Issues

- No new issues reported

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko hope to move both the internationalization and the envelope decorator proposal to the miwg repository soon

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 24 Oct 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- No new tests submitted

2018 Capability Demo

- The event page <https://www.omg.org/events/wa-18/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>

Review of Opened Issues

- We did another round of serializing our parts and opening them in each tool:
- Results:
 - BeePMN
 - We discussed their interpretation of the spec that the label bounds element not present should mean no label depicted. Most vendor do not currently implement like that, so they have agreed to remove the name attribute so that it will load properly in all tools.
 - Data object state is both in the label and the data state attribute
 - BOC
 - Sequence flow not exactly connecting is a design choice for them.
 - They will have by demo time a version of the tool that will not output labels on gateways.
 - Can't position labels on data objects or gateway
 - Data state property is not set in the model (set only in the label)
 - Camunda
 - Not Present
 - Signavio
 - Trisotech shows a validation problem and Software AG can't import that specific link because of it.
 - Right now, the labels of Data Objects can't be moved in the canvas. They will investigate it.
 - Know Process
 - No issue
 - iTESoft
 - Label font size was fixed
 - Label bounds are too small
 - Sparx
 - Labels are off to the right
 - Font is very small

- The no on the sequence flow is not at the right position
- Case Agile
 - A byte marker is present at the beginning of the file and Know process have issues loading it.
 - Wrong documentation extension was fixed (from previous week)
 - Gateway marker is not visible and not possible to fix
 - Simon reported that that the DI QNames in the serialization are not right and points to the BPMN DI namespace instead of the casewise namespace. No other vendor seems affected by that issue. Trisotech have created a vendor fix to be able to correct the issue at loading time.
- Software AG
 - Size is awkwardly large
 - Not possible to not name gateways

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko hope to move both the internationalization and the envelope decorator proposal to the miwg repository soon

Review of Reported Results

- No new results were reviewed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

BPMN MIWG 17 Oct 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- No new tests submitted

2018 Capability Demo

- Shared Dropbox for dry run purposes was created (everyone involved should have received invitation)
- Reference Model and the breakdown of the model in portion of modeling for each tool is also available in the Dropbox
- We did a first round of testing (in folder 17 Oct of Dropbox) where each tool created their portion and all other tools did an import. Observations from that first round:
 - We will go around the Pool header box size known issue by having the pools and lane added by Trisotech in the final assembly
 - BeePMN
 - Need to explore/fix what seems to be default names given to sequence flow
 - Adonis
 - Need to explore/fix the possibility of having Gateways without label
 - Need to explore/fix the fact that sequence flows are not connected on the shapes
 - CaseAgile
 - Need to explore/fix the use of BPMN namespace within Extensions elements
 - Itesoft
 - Need to explore/fix the size of Fonts in labels
 - Signavio
 - Need to explore/fix the placement of DataObject labels according to reference model
- There was a couple of issues raised against the Reference model that we will explore at the next meeting

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues reviewed

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko hope to move both the internationalization and the envelope decorator proposal to the miwg repository soon

Review of Reported Results

- No new results were reviewed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 10 Oct 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- No new results

2018 Capability Demo

- Denis version was adopted as the baseline for the demo.
- Benjamin from W4 sent some comments to Denis about adding execution attributes to the reference model.
- We discussed the execution attributes requirements for the reference model and concluded that it will be very hard to have a single version of the model that will execute in all engines.
- We will pick up the execution discussion when all execution vendors will be on the call.
- We will focus on splitting the diagram in parts and assign those parts to vendors before the next meeting.

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues to review

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko hope to move both the internationalization and the envelope decorator proposal to the miwg repository soon

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to review

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- Simon reports that DMN 1.2 is adopted and that the standard should be publicly available soon.
- Falko started a discussion about XML serialization and the importance of keeping the order of elements and attributes for version control.
 - A quick survey revealed that only Camunda seems to support this out of the box.
 - The agreement is that this is probably not something realistic to test against especially since the spec does not prescribe or suggest this.

BPMN MIWG 3 Oct 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- No new results

2018 Capability Demo

- A few logos are missing on the event page <https://www.omg.org/events/wa-18/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
- Many vendors tested the proposed model. The diagram load but all had issues with the model.
- Denis will recapture the model and re-upload it.
- All to test the new model
- As we progress, Denis will set up the practice Drop Box and the Hangout event

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues to review

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko was not available to discuss his progress on documenting his proposal

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to review

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- BPMN, CMMN and DMN harmonization first meeting took place in Ottawa last week
 - Next meeting on the topic will be in Seattle

BPMN MIWG 12 Sep 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

2018 Capability Demo

- 2018 BPMN MIWG Capability Demo will take place at the OMG technical meeting in Seattle on Monday Dec 10 during a Meet and Greet <https://www.omg.org/events/wa-18/special-events/BPMN-Meet-Greet.htm>
 - This Free face to face Meet and Greet will include drinks and finger food will be take place between 17:00hrs and 19:00hrs
 - The meet and Greet and demo is free and open to anyone you wish to invite
 - The Capability Demo will start at 17:30hrs and last between 30 mins to 45 mins
 - The event will be simultaneously broadcasted live on HangOut
- Vendors wishing to participate should advise Denis ASAP <https://doodle.com/poll/53qbpvsxaqiki7nw#table>
- Zbigniew created a first draft model that we agreed to use for our demo.
- Items that we want to demo and explore includes:
 - DataObjects, DataInputs and DataOutputs along with DataStores attributes
 - Call activity calling a process within the same model definition on a separate diagram
 - Event payload based on DataObjects
- The model will be uploaded to the demo repo and every vendor interested in participating should do an import and report on any issues encountered

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues reviewed

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Falko presented his proposal. It was accepted by the group.
- Falko will prepare support material and upload it to our repo

Review of Reported Results

- No new results to review

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #32 Bounds generator support multiple diagrams (Simon)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/32>
 - Closed
- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- We will start meeting weekly as of Oct 3 2018
- DMN 1.2 was approved by the AB Board
- BPMN CMMN and DMN Harmonization discussion to take place Monday Sep 24 at 09:00hrs ET at Ottawa meeting
- BACM revised submissions are due in Dec at Seattle meeting

BPMN MIWG 13 Jun 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Approved

Test Progress Review

- New tests submitted by SoftwareAG for their Version 10.0.2

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Flako not present. To be reviewed next meeting

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed result for Aris 10.0.2 Only a few issues reported

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #32 Bounds generator support multiple diagrams (Simon)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/32>
- #33 Visual Test Result Comparaison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing submitted

Varia

- Ideas to be explored in our next capability demo for Dec 2018:
 - DataObjects, DataInputs and DataOutputs along with DataStores attributes
 - Call activity calling a process within the same model definition on a separate diagram
 - Event payload based on DataObjects
 - Zbigniew will prepare a first draft process model
- OMG meeting next week: DMN 1.2 is being reviewed by the AB, first presentation for the BACM RFP

BPMN MIWG 11 Apr 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- C4 results were submitted from BPMNView
- ARIS submitted a pull request that was not merged because of extra files in the pull requests

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues to review

Review of Falko's proposal on internationalization

- Reviewed Falko's proposal. It was suggested to generalise the Type definition rather than extending the BPMN tDocumentation Type as to make the schema standalone and provide flexibility for potential use in CMMN and DMN as well.
- Falko will make changes and resubmit

Review of Reported Results

- We are still awaiting resolution of the issues below before reviewing C4 results contributed by a few vendors.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- #32 Bounds generator support multiple diagrams (Simon)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/32>
- #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
- #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- No proposals were yet submitted

Varia

- Decide on a Dec capability demo target.
- We will coordinate with OMG to try to have the Monday evening event slot

BPMN MIWG 14 Mar 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test submitted
- Taylor Dondrich CTO from Process Maker participated for the first time in the meeting with 2 other colleagues. They plan on submitting test results from their tool next month.

Review of Opened Issues

- No issue reviewed.

Review of Test Case C4

- We confirmed that all open issues of C4 were resolved.

Review of Falko's proposal on envelope bounds

- Falko will push his approved extension to a new repository under MIWG.
- A new issue was opened #788 to add BPMN in color to the reference models.
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/788>
- A new issue was opened #789 to add the envelope decorator bounds extension to reference model.
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/789>

Review of Reported Results

- No new result submitted.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Automated testing of C4.0 still has a small integration issue (Tim)
- 3 new issues were opened on the tooling to support C.4.0
 - #32 Bounds generator support multiple diagrams (Simon)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/32>
 - #33 Visual Test Result Comparison support multiple diagrams (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/33>
 - #34 Submission Counter support multi diagrams models (Falko)
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/34>

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- There seems to be a desire to resurrect the Alternative Implementation Sub Group for the following topics:
 - Extension to make REST call using a Service Task
 - Extension to specify assignment strategy for human task
 - Automated testing of process
- The discussion conclusion is that there is interest from multiple vendors on one point or another. It was agreed that discussion should start from an initial proposal. Contributions were solicited.

Varia

- Reviewed the current doodle vote for the next Demo:
<https://doodle.com/poll/n23fgiw3z8d5xbrt>
- We will fix the demo date at the next meeting.
- Falko presented his internationalization proposal (i18n)
 - Everyone is invited to read it and comment it: <https://github.com/falko/bpmn-extensions/tree/master/i18n>

BPMN MIWG 14 Feb 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Test results submitted from Trisotech and from CaseAgile

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to review

Review of Test Case C4

- Issue #757 Inconsistent use of label bounds in the diagram <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/757>
 - We will look at Tim proposal as potential fix (Simon)
- Issue #779 Missing ioSpecification <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/779>
 - We need to validate if the ioSpecification is required and the semantic of if it is missing
 - There should not be ioSpecification present
 - We must ensure changes are made to test automation (Tim will reach Mathias)
 - Closed no change

Review of Falko's proposal on envelope bounds

- Falko's proposal was approved
- We need to move this proposal at the GitHub root along with BPMN in Color
- We will open an issue to revise all reference models with the envelope bounds extension
- We will open an issue to revise all reference models with BPMN in Color
- These extensions will not be tested but should be part of the reference models for showcasing

Review of Reported Results

- Trisotech and CaseAgile submission were accepted with issues

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Automated testing of C4.0 still has a small integration issue (Tim)

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Reviewed the current doodle vote for the next Demo.
<https://doodle.com/poll/n23fgiw3z8d5xbrt>

BPMN MIWG 10 Jan 2018 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new tests received

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #781 Conditional Expression for default branch out of a gateway <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/781>
 - A.2.1 was modified accordingly and issue was closed

Review of Test Case C4

- Issue #757 Inconsistent use of label bounds in the diagram <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/757>
 - Changes will be made to add bounds (Simon)
- Issue #779 Missing ioSpecification <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/779>
 - We need to validate if the ioSpecification is required and the semantic of if it is missing
- Issue #780 Duplicate/unnecessary DataStore elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/780>
 - DataStore data0 was removed and DataStores Employee Details and Employee#10; Detail were unified with reference corrections and the issue was closed

Review of Falko's proposal on envelope bounds

- All changes per last meeting discussions
- Everyone to review for approval next meeting

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- A Doodle for scheduling the next BPMN MIWG Capability Demo is available:
<https://doodle.com/poll/n23fgiw3z8d5xbrr> only six people voted

BPMN MIWG 13 Dec 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new tests received

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues to be discussed

Review of Test Case C4

- Issue #576 Incorrect diagram order numbering <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/756>
 - Corrections made to C4 . Issue closed
- Issue #577 Inconsistent use of label bounds in the diagram <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/757>
 - Changes will be made to add bounds (Simon)
- Issue #758 Depiction of the Onboarding employee page labels on shape are not properly positioned <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/758>
 - Corrections made to C4. Issue closed
- Falko reached out to Mathias for the XPath testing of hidden attributes of C4. If no response from Mathias we will have to identify someone else.

Review of Falko's proposal on envelope bounds

- Falko presented his proposal. Discussions on: 1) the use of (X,Y) coordinates vs a relational positioning, 2) Use of an element in the schema, 3) Namespace for extension
- It was decided to use the proposed (X,Y) coordinate approach, to add an element for the purpose as it will better reflect what is already in place, and to keep the namespace as proposed.
- A new proposal with the element will be presented (Falko)
- It was also decided to make the schema self documented and not to produce an extra external documentation for this extension

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- OMG meeting of last week. Nothing related to BPMN during BMI meeting
- A Doodle for scheduling the next BPMN MIWG Capability Demo is available:
<https://doodle.com/poll/n23fgiw3z8d5xbrt>
- A new series of webmeeting for BPMN MIWG 2018 will circulated. Everyone will have to register again
- Happy holidays!

BPMN MIWG 8 Nov 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new tests received

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #749 Incorrect font size in reference <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/749>
 - Corrections were reviewed. This is now closed and merged.
- Issue #750 Gateway labels have carriage return in image depiction but not in the XML <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/750>
 - Corrections were reviewed. This is now closed and merged.

Review of Test Case C4

- 3 issues reported by Simon were opened:
 - Issue #576 Incorrect diagram order numbering <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/756>
 - Issue #577 Inconsistent use of label bounds in the diagram <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/757>
 - Issue #758 Depiction of the Onboarding employee page labels on shape are not properly positioned <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/758>
- Francois will tackle these 3 issues.
- There was also a discussion on how to test hidden attributes for this test case as this test case was mostly introduced to demo the interchange of hidden attributes. It was suggested that we should augment the XPATH tool for the roundtrip to automate this. It will require someone to create XPATH expressions for this example. The other models XPATH expressions were done by Mathias, Falko will try to talk him into returning to the group to do these. Otherwise we will need someone new to maintain/augment these tests.
- Falko has changed the documentation of the test result submission to take into account multiple diagrams.
- There are still changes required to the tooling to properly support it (Falko)

Review of Reported Results

- We have reviewed the results from BeePMN, Adonis np and ARIS 10

Varia

- We need to start thinking about the 2018 Capability demonstration timing. We will open a Doodle to select a timing (Denis)
- There are some issues with dragging the bounds tools overlay that we saw while reviewing the submission today. (Simon)
- It would be interesting to have in the json config file a pre-configured scaling for the bounds tool. (Simon)
- Falko talked about his proposal for an envelope decorator bound extension to the interchange: <https://github.com/falko/bpmn-extensions/tree/master/envelope-decorator-bounds>
- We will review Falko's proposal next week.

BPMN MIWG 11 Oct 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New submission from BOC for Adonis np

Review of Opened Issues

- New issues created confirming “bugs” in some of the reference models . Simon will make corrections to the XML such that the png do not need to change.
 - Issue #749 Incorect font size in reference <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/749>
 - Issue #750 Gateway labels have carriage return in image depiction but not in the XML <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/750>

Review of Reported Results

- No results reviewed. Sill to be reviewed: BeePMN, ARIS 10, Adonis np

Review of Test Case C4

- The new C4 test case was uploaded to the reference repository with naming according to the new schema
- Everyone should go and quickly test the import
- The presence of multiple diagrams for this model requires that we modify our test results submission numbering scheme to : <test id>-import.<diagramNumber>.png e.g. C.4.0-import.1.png (Falko)
- This change will require that we modify the both the Test procedure description on the front page and do code updates to the automation tools (Falko)

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tim has identified and fixed the submission count issue on the reporting

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Varia

- Process Maker may be interested in joining MIWG. Zbigniew wil follow up
- We need to start thinking about the 2018 Capability demonstration timing. We will open a Doodle to select a timing

BPMN MIWG 13 Sept 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New submissions from BeePMN, ARIS 10 and Trisotech

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Reported Results

- Enterprise Explorer and Trisotech review completed. Issues will be entered.
- We may have an issue with the font size of some (most) of the reference models. We will investigate and open an issue if required (Simon)
- We may be interested in exploring a “fix” for Envelop position interchange of message flows and for the size of pool headers.

Review of Test Case C4

- We will create a C.4.0 test case from the Model used for the Brussels capability demo (Francois)
- The presence of multiple diagrams for this model requires that we modify our test results submission numbering scheme to : <test id>-import.<diagramNumber>.png e.g. C.4.0-import.1.png (Falko)
- This change will require that we modify the both the Test procedure description on the front page and do code updates to the automation tools (Falko)

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

BPMN MIWG 14 Jun 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed Software Ag ARIS 10. Issues were created
 - Will advise them that their Export submissions are not as expected by procedure
- Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Post Mortem Capability Demo

- Last capability demo was a great success. Feedback from attendees (both physical and remote) was extremely positive. OMG was also very pleased with the turn out at the meet and greet and the quality of our demo.
- Video of the demo is posted on the Wiki
- We will create a C.4.0 test case from the Model used for the Brussels capability demo
- The presence of multiple diagrams for this model requires that we modify our test results submission numbering scheme to : <test id>-import.<diagramNumber>.png e.g. C.4.0-import.1.png
- This change will require that we modify the both the Test procedure description on the front page and do code updates to the automation tools

BPMN MIWG 31 May 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed later

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- We reviewed and corrected the Intro Presentation for the demo
- We did a successful dry run of the demo
- The plan is to get together in Brussels at 14:00hrs to set up the room and then do a first dry run at 15:00hrs in preparation for the 17:00hrs door opening

BPMN MIWG 24 May 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed later

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- We did two run of the demo on Hangout with success.
- We managed to drop the demo time to about 30mins

BPMN MIWG 17 May 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- We did our set up and second demo of Google Hangout
- There were still some minor practices glitches that need to be ironed out
- The hidden attribute part went well.
- The running time was quite long for the demo, we are suggesting to change the scenario a little bit:
 - Yaoqiang in parallel with Enterprise Explorer and Signavio
 - BOC in parallel with W4 and itp commence
 - Assembly by Trisotech in parallel with pools by Camunda and BeePMN
 - Then open result using BPMNView
- The execution demo scenario will have everyone in a ready state for showing their portion
 - W4 will do the early OnBoarding
 - Tim will do the IT pool
 - Camunda will do the end of the Facilities pool and the end of the onBoarding pool
- Aslo, make sure you have space available on your Dropbox! ;)

BPMN MIWG 10 May 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

Test Progress Review

Review of Opened Issues

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed later

Test Automation Sub Group Review

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- We did our set up and first demo of Google Hangout
- We need to ensure we are capturing and showing Hidden attributes
- The demo scenario is now:
 - Yaoqiang in parallel with Enterprise Explorer
 - BOC in parallel with W4
 - Assembly by Trisotech in parallel Signavio + itp commerce
 - Followed by Camunda in parallel with BeePMN
 - Followed by Trisotech assembly in a unique collaboration diagram
 - Then open result using BPMNView
- The execution demo scenario will have everyone in a ready state for showing their portion
 - W4 will do the early OnBoarding
 - Tim will do the IT pool
 - Camunda will do the end of the Facilities pool and the end of the onBoarding pool

Varia

BPMN MIWG 3 May 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed later

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- There are still some issues to be resolved:
 - Yoaqiang/BOC: Lane not in pool and elements not all the lane. Hidden attribute lost
 - This was fixed and now work properly
 - Signavio: Issue list
 - They should have a new build available this week that will fix the issues
 - Camunda: Issue list
 - They are working on the issues and worst case scenario can revert to a previous version
 - W4: Lane label position
 - This was fixed and now work properly
- The demo scenario will be:
 - Yaoqiang + BOC in parallel with W4
 - Assembly by Trisotech in parallel Signavio + itp commerce

- Followed by Camunda in parallel with BeePMN
 - Followed by Trisotech assembly in a unique collaboration diagram
 - Then open result using BPMNView
- The execution demo scenario will have everyone in a ready state for showing their portion
 - W4 will do the early OnBoarding
 - Tim will do the IT pool
 - Camunda will do the end of the Facilities pool and the end of the onBoarding pool
- We tried to integrate Boris tool Enterprise Explorer before W4 but their export was not spec compliant, they will work on it offline. Their participation is coming in late and will only be considered if the integration with W4 works flawlessly.
- We tried to rehearse the demo portion with execution. Only W4 were ready to show their portion and there were some technical glitches to be worked on.
- Next week, we will do a full run modeling + execution with Google Hangout!

Varia

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 26 Apr 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed later

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- There are still some issues to be resolved:
 - Yaoqiang/BOC: Lane not in pool and elements not all the lane. Hidden attribute lost
 - Signavio: Issue list
 - Camunda: Issue list
 - W4: Lane label position
- The demo scenario will be:
 - Yaoqiang + BOC in parallel with W4
 - Assembly by Trisotech in parallel Signavio + itp commerce
 - Followed by Camunda in parallel with BeePMN
 - Followed by Trisotech assembly in a unique collaboration diagram
 - Then open result using BPMNView
- The execution demo scenario will have everyone in a ready state for showing their portion
 - W4 will do the early OnBoarding

- Tim will do the IT pool
- Camunda will do the end of the Facilities pool and the end of the onBoarding pool

Varia

- Denis needs to confirm registration formalities with OMG

BPMN MIWG 12 Apr 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new results submitted

Review of Opened Issues

- No reviews

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed after the Brussels meeting

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- Capability demo will now take place on Monday Jun 5 during a meet and greet event where external attendees will be invited
- Here is the discussed agenda for the meet and greet:
 - Afternoon Demo prep for the BPMN MIWG team
 - 17:00hrs Doors Open
 - 17:30hrs BPMN Capability Demo
 - 18:15hrs Open networking
 - 19:30hrs End of meet & greet
- Confirmed Participants:
 - On location
 - Denis Gagné (Trisotech) Will do the assembly
 - Falko Menge (Camunda). Execution as well
 - Tobias Przybylla (Signavio)

- Tim Stephenson (Omny Link) Execution as well
 - Alessandro Segatto (BeePMN)
 - Antonio Palumbo (itp commerce)
 - Remote
 - Boris Zinchenko (CaseAgile LLC) Viewing only
 - To be confirmed
 - Zbigniew Misiak (BOC Group)
 - François Bonnet (W4) Execution as well
- There are still some issues to be resolved:
 - Signavio: Data associations
 - Yoaqiang/Trisotech: Multi-instance participant
 - BeePMN : Pool label and data associations
 - BOC: Lane not in pool and elements not all the lane
- The demo scenario will be:
 - Yaoqiang + BOC in parallel with W4
 - Assembly by Trisotech in parallel Signavio + itp commerce
 - Followed by Camunda in parallel with BeePMN
 - Followed by Trisotech assembly in a unique collaboration diagram
 - Then open result using BPMNView
- We need decide on the actual format of the Test case to be added to the suite later
- We need to discuss hidden attribute
- We need to discuss execution

Varia

- Many will be attending bpmNext 2017 next week

BPMN MIWG 5 Apr 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- No reviews

Review of Reported Results

- Software Ag and Enterprise Explorer to be reviewed later

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
- Confirmed Participants:
 - Denis Gagné (Trisotech) Will do the assembly
 - Falko Menge (Camunda). Execution as well
 - Zbigniew Misiak (BOC Group)
 - Boris Zinchenko (CaseAgile LLC) Viewing only
 - Tobias Przybylla (Signavio)
 - François Bonnet (W4) Execution as well
 - Tim Stephenson (Omny Link) Execution as well
 - Alessandro Segatto (BeePMN)
 - Antonio Palumbo (itp commerce)
 - (?) Davide Bonamini (VTECRM): Added to the list as was present last week, but will have to remove if does not show up next week.
- We did a split up of the demo model for each vendor and did a quick dry run.

- Mostly successful construction of the diagrams. Some Vendors have issues to resolve for next week.
- Next week we will revalidate with a dry run to see if we need to change some of the order of vendors.
- We will also discuss how we will handle hidden attributes and execution during the demo

BPMN MIWG 29 Mar 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted from Software AG and Enterprise Explorer

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing discussed

Review of Reported Results

- Decided to postpone review of submitted results until capability demonstration is ready

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Validated that vendors that expressed intention to participate here <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta> were present on the weekly call
- We will notify vendors via the mailing list that they need to be present to weekly preparation meetings and do the roundtrip testing if they want to participate to the demo
- A new reference version will be created today correcting missing label bounds and adjusting the pools to the content
- Everyone to do a roundtrip of the new model and then a roundtrip of all the submission from other vendors
- Still an issue in the model
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>

Varia

- A new RFT for a Business Architecture Common Meta model was issued at the last OMG technical meeting

BPMN MIWG 22 Mar 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted by BPMN View

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #721 Naming conventions for test results with multiple diagrams per file <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/721>
 - We acknowledged that this will need to be tackled before accepting the Brussel test case as an official reference.
 - Boris will also create a new issue to document how to document hidden attributes that should be checked/created with models.

Review of Reported Results

- BPMN View results do not need to be reviewed in the larger group as they are only minor bug fixes.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- One issue was resolved
 - Issue #43 Participant Label Shape <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/43>
- One issue still pending
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>
 - Nobody tool can automatically correct this.
- One new issue was raised

- Issue #45 Bogey label boxes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/issues/45>
 - To be fixed by Simon
- In order to simplify quick iterations of modifications and tests we will move the work on the June Capability Demo model to Drop Box. If you are participating to the June demo and did not receive an invite to the Drop Box, please email Denis.
- Everyone is to do a roundtrip test of the demo model before next week and put a screen shot of the import and their resulting export BPMN files in a folder for their tool in the drop box

Varia

BPMN MIWG 15 Mar 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted by Software Ag

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue719 no dataInput for a subProcess <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/719>
 - Model was corrected and issue closed
- Issue720 Executable Process without operationRef <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/720>
 - To be discussed later

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- W4 did a new version of the Demo model and placed it in <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels/diagram-interchange-demo/Signal-Message-Datastore>
- Two issues were raised against that new version
 - Issue #43 Participant Label Shape <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/43>
 - Issue was confirmed and assigned to Francois to modify accordingly
 - Issue #44 Missing source or target from Data Association edge in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/issues/44>

- Issue was confirmed.
- In order to simplify quick iterations of modifications and tests we will move the work on the June Capability Demo model to Drop Box. If you are participating to the June demo and did not receive an invite to the Drop Box, please email Denis.
- Everyone is to do a roundtrip test of the demo model before next week and put a screen shot of the import and their resulting export BPMN files in a folder for their tool in the drop box

BPMN MIWG 8 Mar 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue710 : (Minor) flaws regarding standard compliance in Reference models: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/710>
- Issue710 was split into 3 separate issues:
 - Issue718 formalExpression without any body <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/718>
 - This was discussed in today's web meeting and we think that this is not an issue, because the body is only needed for XMI-based model interchange but not for XMD-based interchange.
 - Issue719 no dataInput for a subProcess <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/719>
 - The data association on the Data Store connecting it to the collapsed sub-process 2 is at fault in the B.2.0 reference.
 - We will remove this data association and regenerate the reference.
 - Issue720 Executable Process without operationRef <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/720>
 - We will explore

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We confirmed our intention to have our demo for the Brussel OMG meeting on Jun 7, 2017

- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- Zbigniew presented a new more elaborated draft model. The model is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels>
- Francois will add hidden elements re-use in the scenario such as DataObject, DateStotes, Resource etc.
- Everyone is to do a roundtrip test of the demo model before the end of the week and put a screen shot of the import and their resulting export BPMN files in a folder for their tool
- We will start weekly meeting next week until the Brussels meeting

Varia

- BPM & Heath workgroup face2face meeting at Reston in March
- Business Architecture RFP is going to be discussed at Reston

BPMN MIWG 8 Feb 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Next meeting we will review Issue#710

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Next Capability demo planned for the Brussel OMG meeting on Jun 7, 2017
- This capability demo will introduce one or some new test Cases that will explore element of BPMN interchange that we have not yet explored
- We looking at a scenario of a model with multiple participants combined with multiple diagrams
- It was suggested to also have various hidden elements re-use in the scenario such as DataObject, DateStotes, Resource etc.
- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- Zbigniew present a first draft model. The model is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels>

Varia

- There is a new BPM & Heath workgroup forming at OMG. There was a first face2face meeting at Coronado and there will be one at Reston in March

BPMN MIWG 11 Jan 2017 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Boris to enter the issues reported against BPMN view 1.0.1

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Next Capability demo planned for the Brussel OMG meeting on Jun 7, 2017
- This capability demo will introduce one or some new test Cases that will explore element of BPMN interchange that we have not yet explored
- We looking at a scenario of a model with multiple participants combined with multiple diagrams
- The current version of the model is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels>
- We will also add various hidden elements re-use in the scenario such as DataObject, DateStotes, Resource etc.
- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>

Varia

- Boris started a Wikipedia page on BPMN MIWG and is asking for other people to go contribute to get it accepted.

- Draft page is here:
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Draft:BPMN_Model_Interchange_Working_Group
- He is also looking for static version of the Result page. He will contact Falko and Tim

BPMN MIWG 14 Dec 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted for BPMN view 1.0.1 and Bonita BPM 7.2.3

Review of Opened Issues

- No issues reviewed

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed the new results submitted by BPMN View and Bonita BPM issues will be entered by Boris and Lionel

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Next Capability demo planned for the Brussel OMG meeting on Jun 7, 2017
- This capability demo will introduce one or some new test Cases that will explore element of BPMN interchange that we have not yet explored
- We looking at a scenario of a model with multiple participants combined with multiple diagrams
- It was suggested to also have various hidden elements re-use in the scenario such as DataObject, DateStotes, Resource etc.
- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- Zbigniew present a first draft model. The model is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels>

Varia

- Boris has written a couple of papers mentioning BPMN MIWG

BPMN MIWG 9 Nov 2016 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test submission

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Reported Results

- Lionel Palacin, Technical Evangelist at Bonitasoft has joined the group
- We reviewed quickly Bonitasoft results because they had already done a good job of reviewing it themselves and creating/tagging the issues in github.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Next Capability demo planned for the Brussel OMG meeting Jun 2017
- This capability demo will introduce one or some new test Cases that will explore element of BPMN interchange that we have not yet explored
- Discussed the idea of iteratively building the model from different diagrams (views) contributed in by different tools
- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- Zbigniew present a first draft model. The model is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels>
- Zbigniew will be working on a more elaborate version of this example and present it at the next meeting.
- Everyone is strongly encouraged to review and try the current version of the example in their tool and compare their output with Zbigniew's submission.

Varia

- Nothing

BPMN MIWG 12 Oct 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Test submitted Bonitasoft

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing reviewed waiting for Bonitasoft to be on the call

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Next Capability demo planned for the Brussel OMG meeting Jun 2017
- This capability demo will introduce one or some new test Cases that will explore element of BPMN interchange that we have not yet explored
- Discussed the idea of iteratively building the model from different diagrams (views) contributed in by different tools
- We have survey to identify Vendors interested in participating in the next capability demo here: <http://doodle.com/poll/gegcwsxhv24wddta>
- Zbigniew present a first draft model. The model is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2017-06-omg-technical-meeting-brussels>

Varia

- The paper explaining the automation tools was presented at the Euromicro SEAA 2016 conference in Cyprus.
- Falko would like to start a discussion on using BPMN, CMMN and DMN together. If interested please email him at: Falko Menge (falko.menge@camunda.com)

BPMN MIWG 14 Sept 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test submitted

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 620 : Empty label bounds in C.3.0 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/620>
 - Issue resolved

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to review

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Removed Signavio duplication in Result table
- Added images for Bonitasoft tests

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Next Capability demo planned for the Brussel OMG meeting Jun 2017
- This capability demo will introduce one or some new test Cases that will explore element of BPMN interchange that we have not yet explored
- Proposed ideas:
 - DMN
 - How to specify a DMN invocation from within BPMN
 - FEEL as an expression language in BPMN
 - Information structure itemdefinition
 - Explore other perspective to BPMN : time, Cost etc. (ala BPSim)
 - Multiple Diagrams test case: 1 model - multiple diagrams
 - Zbigniew to prepare a first proposal
 - BPMN model with imported files

Varia

- The BMI is starting to be interested in discussion regarding BPMN, CMMN and DMN integration
- There is talk of possibly holding a BPMN in Health information Day the next December OMG meeting
- There is now convergence on preparing an RFP for a Business Architecture Meta-Model.

BPMN MIWG 20 July 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by W4

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed results from W4, Signavio and Boris BPMN View

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 620 : Empty label bounds in C.3.0 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/620>
 - There are still some extra label bounds in the reference file to be removed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Did a clean up of the automated tools and resolved the integrations issues.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- OMG webinars on BPMN+CMMN+DMN : <https://www.brighttalk.com/channel/12231/object-management-group>
- DMN 1.2 RTF is very active right now
- WfMC produced a BPSim 2.0 beta version: www.BPSim.org

BPMN MIWG 15 Jun 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Boris submitted the results for BPMN View 1.0

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed BPMN.io 0.9.2, Aris 9.8.3, Adonis 6.0
- Signavio still need to be reviewed. The results were not properly published to the table.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 596 : No bounds for flow elements in C.1.1 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/596>
 - We validated the changes and accepted them
- Issue 187 : Reference A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows needs to be re-opened as it appears to be invalid BPMN file <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - The test case was validated and accepted
- Issue 620 : Empty label bounds in C.3.0 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/620>
 - The bounds tools was not working and we could not investigate this further

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Nothing in varia

BPMN MIWG 11 May 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by Signavio

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed: Model Foundry
- Remains to be reviewed: BPMN.io, ARIS, BOC
- A new issue to be opened against C.3.0 reference model for empty label bounds

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 596 : No bounds for flow elements in C.1.1 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/596>
 - Benjamin reported having made the corrections
 - We need to validated and bring the new model up in the result table
- Issue 187 : Reference A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows needs to be re-opened as it appears to be invalid BPMN file <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Discussed the proposal from Benjamin, and requested that the Default flow and label out of Task 3 be removed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- A paper was accepted to a Cypress conference Euromicro SEAA 2016
- We should add all publications to the BPMN MIWG wiki

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- It was agreed that we will start having weekly meetings 3 months prior to the next capability Demo

Varia

- CMMN 1.1 beta and DMN 1.1 beta are now publically available at OMG

BPMN MIWG 13 Apr 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Signavio will be providing new results (V9.8) in the coming weeks and asked to ignore the previous submission
- New test results submitted by BOC

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed the submission from ESTECO
- We still need to reviewed Model Foundry, BPMN.io, ARIS

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187 : Reference A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows needs to be re-opened as it appears to be invalid BPMN file <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Nothing new. Benjamin to propose alternative model
- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>
 - Although a valid test case idea, no vendors other than W4 implements it.
 - We will leave this issue dormant for a while and revisit later

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The BPMN MIWG test automation was the topic of a paper and presentation at S-BPM One 2016

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We are considering next December 2016 and June 2017 as possible time frames for the next Capability demonstrations

Varia

- OMG has issued the press release for our last capability demonstrations.
<http://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20160411005712/en>
- bpmNext 20126 is next week. If you have inputs for a panel on the next market segment definition, please send your position text to Denis
- There a EPC standardization effort on the way : <http://epc-standard.org/>

BPMN MIWG 23 Mar 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- We received new results from BPMN.io, Aris and Signavio

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed MID submission. Issues will be opened accordingly.
- ESTCO and Model Foundry still remain to be reviewed

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187 : Reference A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows needs to be re-opened as it appears to be invalid BPMN file <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The Bounds tool was redeployed
- A demo of the automated test suite was submitted to a conference. The paper explaining the automation tools was submitted at the Euromicro SEAA 2016 conference in Cyprus.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- The recording page for the demo is here : <https://plus.google.com/events/cg80hprss1qc751bgf5csp9pvf0?hl=en>
- The recording was played at Gartner BPM event in London the next morning
- OMG is preparing a press release. They are very pleased with our work

Varia

- We will start meeting only once a month from now on.
- We will meet on the second Wednesday of every month. GoToWebinar will be updated

BPMN MIWG 9 Mar 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Model Foundry provided new test results
- Everyone is invited to submit new test results for 2016

Review of Reported Results

- New results submitted by ESTECO and MID still need to be reviewed
- We will resume results review after the capability demo of Mar 16

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Working on a single jar to simplify command line launching

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We did a practice run using Google Hangout on air
- This raised a few coordination issues that we will practice the morning before the actual demo
- The Hangout event page for the demo is here :
<https://plus.google.com/events/cg80hprss1qc751bgf5csp9pvf0?hl=en>

Varia

- We need to be mindful of the time change for North America happening this weekend

BPMN MIWG 2 Mar 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Everyone is invited to submit new test results for 2016

Review of Reported Results

- New results submitted by ESTECO and MID still need to be reviewed
- We will resume results review after the capability demo of Mar 16

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Bounds tool code merged to main branch (should be ok once rebuilt)

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We had a successful demo practice today
- Still some issues to be ironed out in the hidden attributes from various vendors
- We will have a general practice at our regular meeting time the day of the demo
- The Hangout event page for the demo is here :
<https://plus.google.com/events/cg80hprss1qc751bgf5csp9pvf0?hl=en>

Varia

- Gartner doing technical tests for the re-broadcast of the demo on the following morning in London

BPMN MIWG 24 Feb 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by ESTECO and MID still need to be reviewed
- Everyone is invited to submit new test results for 2016

Review of Reported Results

- We will resume results review after the capability demo of Mar 16

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Table of results fixed
- Bounds tool code merged to main branch (should be ok once rebuilt)

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- The Hangout event page for the demo is here : <https://plus.google.com/events/cg80hprss1qc751bgf5csp9pvf0?hl=en>
- Did a successful run of the capability demo
- Execution will use 3 different parametrized files

BPMN MIWG 17 Feb 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by ESTECO and MID

Review of Reported Results

- Waiting for the Result table to be fixed to review submitted results

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The Bounds overlay tool is broken and need to be fixed
- The results table needs to be updated with 2016 Results and the fact that 24 out of 20 test are reported on the Trisotech results.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Still exploring the possibility to broadcast our Demo at Gartner London BPM summit the next morning
- The Hangout event page for the demo is here : <https://plus.google.com/events/cg80hprss1qc751bgf5csp9pvf0?hl=en>
- We did trial of the front portion of the demo. Execution was only tried on W4
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Both scenarios using the same BPMN Model

BPMN MIWG 10 Feb 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted from ESTECO

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed the A.2.1 results submission from Trisotech and Yaoquiang

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The Bounds overlay tool is broken and need to be fixed
- The results table needs to be updated with 2016 Results and the fact that 24 out of 20 test are reported on the Trisotech results.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- OMG are looking at the possibility of Gartner London BPM summit broadcasting our demo live
- OMG are preparing a press release of our event
- The Hangout event page for the demo is here :
<https://plus.google.com/events/cg80hprss1qc751bgf5csp9pvf0?hl=en>
- We did a first trial of Google Hangout for our demo
- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Both scenarios using the same BPMN Model

BPMN MIWG 3 Feb 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Yaoquiang A.2.1 results were submitted

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- A.2.1 test and test results still need to be integrated in the Result Table
- An academic paper is being prepare on MIWG test automation

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- The OMG Reston demonstration will take place on Wednesday March 16th at 13h30 EST. Only Trisotech have confirmed their physical presence.
- A demonstration scenario was prepared by Denis and Simon
- We did a dry run of the scenario
- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Both scenarios using the same BPMN Model

BPMN MIWG 27 Jan 2016 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- A.2.1 was moved to the reference folder.

Review of Reported Results

- Trisotech submitted results for the new A.2.1 test case. Everyone should also submit their results.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>
 - Adonis reports that they do not support this case
 - We are looking for suggestions to make change this test case to make it more accessible

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We are still waiting on the attribute grid from Camunda, Signavio, ITP-Commerce and Modelio.
- We are still waiting on the execution vendors to add their extended attributes for execution
- An issue was identified on a DataObject that was graphically depicted instead of a DataObjectReference. This will get corrected fast.

Varia

- The OMG Reston demonstration will take place on Wednesday March 16th at 13h30 EST. Only Trisotech have confirmed their physical presence.

BPMN MIWG 20 Jan 2016 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed Modelio 3.5 new results. A lot of the issues were already flagged. We flagged a few more.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/187>
 - A.2.1 As been accepted as an official test case. Vendors should submit their results for this file.
- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/525>
 - Camunda reports that they can open files separately but don't support the import over multiple files

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Denis circulated an Excel extended attribute grid for vendors to fill in and return. Only 3 vendors have completed and returned it.
- To move forward with the demo, all vendors need to complete that grid.
- All vendors need to try the proposed model available here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/tree/master/2016-03-xx-spring-demo/diagram-interchange>
- Next week, vendors need to bring up issues that they have with the proposed model.
- This week, we discussed some identified issues:

- The timeCycle has a language attribute pointing to a proprietary W4 namespace but the format of the value still conforms to the ISO standard. If vendors have issues with this attribute, we will need to manually remove it.
- Camunda reports that the interfaceRef element of participant is problematic on their import. They will investigate and report back next week.

Varia

- Zbigniew reports that about 80 people viewed the BPM EE small capability demo
- Mathias paper for SBPM One was accepted

BPMN MIWG 16 Dec 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin uploaded a new version of the model. All vendor should try a quick round trip
 - Simon confirmed it works in Trisotech
- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>
 - We need all vendors to try a round-trip test of this proposed test case
 - Trisotech, Softeam, and Camunda confirmed that they do not support this particular use case
 - There was a discussion around the fact that the Spec approach is very XML based and that we may need to explore alternative solutions. This remains to be explored.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The character encoding issue with the Overlay Boundary tool was resolved

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - It was re-confirmed that we will use a single BPMN diagram /model for both scenarios

- An excel sheet including hidden attributes part of this model will be circulated to see which hidden attributes are supported by which vendor in order to prepare the scenario
- Execution engine vendors need to make this model executable in their environment and then round-trip it back so that we can cumul in the model all needed Execution specific Vendor extensions.

Varia

- BPM EE capability demo was a good success. Awaiting feedback from organizer
- OMG Technical meeting in LaJolla. CMMN 1.1 and DMN 1.1 were approved
- This was the last meeting of 2015. We will reconvene BPMN MIWG meeting on 20 Jan 2016. A new set of meeting will sent for registration

BPMN MIWG 9 Dec 2015 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new tests submitted

Review of Reported Results

- No result reviewed

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin will validate the bounds on the sequence flow going out of the tasks 2-4 as some vendors have reported issues with them
- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/525>
 - The test case is available here <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/tree/master/Work%20in%20Progress/Imports-BPMN> with the expected result. Vendors are encouraged to try it and we will discuss it next week.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The bounds overlay tool is now publicly available
- It has additional feature to select which bounds are displayed (All, Label, Shape/Edges)
- It was reported that there was an issue with W4 bounds overlay with character encoding, it will be fixed by Simon for next week

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Francois has made available his model at <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-demos/tree/master/2016-03-xx-spring-demo/diagram-interchange> all vendor should try this model to make sure they can roundtrip it.

- Francois and Benjamin will setup a Doodle to validate which hidden/execution related attributes vendor support and can roundtrip to guide Lloyd in his example definition.

Varia

- Mathias as submitted a paper to SBPM one, he should know if it is accepted by the beginning of February
- We reserved a portion of this meeting to prepare and do a dry run for next Monday Eastern European BPM conference. The dry run went pretty well; we will update the group next week on the reception.

BPMN MIWG 2 Dec 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- All Camunda 2.7.0 and Aeneis 5.7 issues were entered

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - This model require some more tests
 - One finding regarding label was discussed
- Issue 525: Test Case: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/525>
 - Francois will add a link from the issue to the Model

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The various branches were merged into the Master branch
- Resolved some minor issues with the result table
- We had a demo of the new Bounds overlay tool from Trisotech
 - It will be integrated in the Public test suite shortly
 - Should also look at waypoint overlay
- Tim noticed some false positive in the XPath compare tool results with respect to namespace prefixes
 - Will be discussed with Mathias

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements

- A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - Francois presented a first draft of the model for this scenario
- A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Lloyd did a Q&A regarding a potential model for this Scenario
 - Discussed focussing Event configuration hidden attributes versus payload definitions
 - We then agreed to use Francois' model for the two demo purposes
 - One single Collaboration Model that we will draw iteratively for the first part and then use it for extended attributes modeling and execution after

Varia

- There is an OMG technical meeting next week. Denis is attending and will report back
- BPM EE capability demo is scheduled for 14 Dec (Time to be confirmed)
- Next MIWG meeting will focus on preparing this demo

BPMN MIWG 25 Nov 2015 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New export results submitted for Aeneis

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed submission for Camunda 2.7.0. Issues will be opened by Falko
- Reviewed submission for Aeneis 5.7. Issues will be opened by Anthonin

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin placed a first draft test case into the WIP folder to be validated by all before making it a test case

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Publication of results should be automated now
- We will integrate the new boundary highlighting tool to the toolkit once the current branch is pushed to master

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - Francois still completing the diagram/model
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Tim and Lloyd still completing the diagram/model
 - Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported
 - Francois created an example that will be added to the WIP folder for validation by all. We will open an issue for this work.

Varia

- The BPM EE paper and demo draft are ready.
- Currently doing dry run

BPMN MIWG 18 Nov 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- We got a pull request for the Aeneis 5.7 test results for import and roundtrip. Falko will merge them.

Review of Reported Results

- We could not review the results as the result table was broken (missing json submission file). This should be fixed for next week. We will need to review Camunda 2.7.0 and Aeneis 5.7 results.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 497: Addressing Label bounds and Fonts <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/497>
 - We reached a common conclusion based on the DI specification that all measurements are expressed in pixel in the diagrams and we provide a proper resolution attribute to convert to DPI.
 - References need to be validated/updated based on these conclusions.
 - If any reference change, we will send a general email to let everyone know the changes that were introduced and their impact on the already submitted results.
- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin will upload his proposal to the Work in Progress folder for everyone to test before accepting it as a test case.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Trisotech has made a small piece of code that take a BPMN file and generate transparent PNG highlighting the bound of the elements, bounds and both.
- There were some build issues but once it is resolved, this tool will be contributed in the miwg-tools and the goal is to update the webapp to optionally display these stencils as overlays.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - Francois is still working on the translation
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Tim and Lloyd did not reconcile their example yet.
 - Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported
 - Francois completed his example and we discussed how he will integrate it in the GitHub repository.
 - We validated that the specification does not mention a zip transport and the file will be contributed as 3 separate files in a folder.
 - It was also discussed that how he linked the file together using relative file system path would not work for web based tools.

Varia

- Zbigniew received a 20-minute demo slot for BPM EE 2015. It was discussed that this may not be enough time to do an intro/conclusion and 1 scenario. He will look at getting 25-30 minutes if possible.
- Mathias as completed a first draft of his S-BPM ONE article and asked for comments on it.

BPMN MIWG 11 Nov 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Waiting for Falko to join to review Camunda 2.7.0

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 497: Addressing Label bounds and Fonts <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/497>
 - We need to further research “em” as a solution and/or alternative
- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin will complete this new test case variation

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - Francois presented a draft model (in French)
 - He will translate the model and make it available in the Demo github repo
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Tim and Lloyd both presented first draft collaboration models
 - Both based on message exchanges and data structure (known as tricky)
 - We need to make sure Engine vendors are willing to invest the efforts required for such a demo

- Tim and Lloyd will reconcile their examples into one ensuring the model is not too platform specific and contribute it to the Demo github repo
- Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported
 - Francois presented a first draft example
 - He will contribute it the Demo github repo

Varia

- Zbigniew will be in touch with Vendors that expressed interest in participating the BPM Eastern Europe 2015 in Dec.
- Matthias reported that the SBPM one proposal will not be submitted and will work on a more academic perspective of our work.

BPMN MIWG 4 Nov 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Camunda 2.7.0 results were integrated.
- Label Bounds issues in the Reference depiction were found on some shapes in specific test cases. Trisotech is working on a small java software that could then be integrated in the Test Automation Tools to generate bounds stencils (as PNG transparent images) that will be used to validate reference and vendor submission bounds (Shape, Edge and Label).

Review of Reported Results

- We will be waiting to next week to review Camunda's new results hoping that Falko will be present.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 497: Addressing Label bounds and Fonts <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/497>
 - The doodle for the vote is available here <http://doodle.com/poll/fdm2m792kr2xx8sy>
 - It was noted that we should focus the discussion on the issue thread and not on doodle.
- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin will take responsibility for complete this new test case variation

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The code has reached a point of great stability. It will be easier to add new functionality and regenerate the table.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors

- We still need a volunteer to propose a BPMN Diagram for this scenario
- A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - Tim is to draft a first collaboration model for this scenario (Lloyd will help). This is still ongoing.
- Francois will prepare tests case using: Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported. This is still ongoing.

Varia

Zbigniew is presenting at the BPM Eastern Europe 2015 conference december 14-15 in Romania (<http://bpmsummit.com/ee-summit-2015.html>). The organizer would be interested in having a MIWG demonstration at the event. BOC, Omny Link , W4 and Trisotech have demonstrated interest in participating using a diagram interchange process from the previous OMG demonstration.

BPMN MIWG 28 Oct 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new results submitted

Review of Reported Results

- Waiting for Camunda 2.7.0 test results to make it to the result table for review

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 497: Addressing Label bounds and Fonts <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/497>
 - Long discussion of the general topic
 - Two main ideas put forward:
 - We do as per spec and have fonts and label bounds defined in pixels
 - We use <cr> as the only “wrapping” for labels
 - It was decided to have a survey on doodle amongst tool vendors to decide to either have Fonts exchanged in pixels or points sizes
 - Further discussions will be required after the survey

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The continuous integration solution is progressing

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - We still need a volunteer to propose a BPMN Diagram for this scenario
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - It was suggested we use a multi participant collaboration scenario where each participant would be executed by a different engine

- But the potential challenges of Data and Service implementation was raised
- Tim is to draft a first collaboration model for this scenario (Lloyd will help)
- Francois will prepare tests case using : Multiple definitions in a single file and a model with external definitions to be imported

Varia

- Matthias will prepsre a submission to the SBPM One Conference based on previous capability demo material
- Zbigniew was not present to discuss a Capability demo at an On Line conference

BPMN MIWG 21 Oct 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted for Camunda 2.7.0 but not yet available in the result table

Review of Reported Results

- W4 and SoftTeam have not yet entered their issues raised during the review of their submitted results

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 497: Addressing Label bounds and Fonts <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/497>
 - Comments including background information were added
 - Boris is working toward a formal proposal

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - We still need a volunteer to propose a BPMN Diagram for this scenario
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - It was suggested we use a multi participant collaboration scenario where each participant would be executed by a different engine
 - But the potential challenges of Data and Service implementation was raised
 - Tim is to draft a first collaboration model for this scenario

Varia

- Denis confirmed with Nathaniel Palmer that a slot of time will be made available at BPMNext 2016 for our Capability demonstrations
- Zbigniew suggested a Capability demo at an On Line conference (TBD)

BPMN MIWG 14 Oct 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test results submitted

Review of Reported Results

- W4 and SoftTeam have not yet entered their issues raised during the review of their submitted results

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 497: Addressing Label bounds and Fonts <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/497>
 - We need to maintain the scope of this discussion within what BPMN 2.0 specifies
 - Comments to the issue should be in the form of proposal rather than position taking

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- XML compare to be discussed

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- Planning a 1 hour 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry diagram interchange scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors
 - A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
 - A multi participant collaboration scenario where each participant would be executed by a different engine was suggested
 - The potential challenges of Data and Service implementation was raised
 - Tim to draft a first collaboration model

BPMN MIWG 7 Oct 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

New result table presentation

- We can remove this item from the agenda

Test Progress Review

- No new results submitted

Review of Reported Results

- No outstanding results to review

BPMN in Color

- We can remove this item from the agenda

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: A.2.1 Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Benjamin will take responsibility for complete this new test case variation
- Issue 193: Reference A.1.2: Issue with label position in XML <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/193>
 - This particular test case variation from the alternative implementation subgroup was dropped and thus we can close this issue
- Issue 330: Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - More investigation is needed on labels bounds to assert whether the reference has an issue or not
 - Simon will do some more investigation
- Issue 458 : Reference C.1.0: Resolution attribute missing in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/458>
 - We decided to suspend the 8 Apr 2015 decision to move Font size to pixel for all reference test cases
 - We decided to open an overall issue specific to Labels including Bounds and Fonts related considerations
- Issue 487: Different values of the name and BPMNShape vendor attribute <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/487>

- We decided to close this issue as :
 - the semantic portion presented above does not match the DI also presented
 - The reference test case does not include the reported problem

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Still fixing some minor issues with automated tests
- Considering dropping the XML compare test

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>
- We already have 9 tools that have indicated their participation

Varia

- We can plan to do the capability demo in March at the OMG meeting in Reston and seek a time slot at BPMNext for potential replay in Apr.

BPMN MIWG 30 Sept 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

New result table presentation

- Presented the table and its sorting order
- It now very important to respect the file naming convention as results are compiled automatically

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by Trisotech, W4 and SoftTeam (Modeliosoft)
- Upcoming results from Yoaquiang and BOC

Review of Reported Results

- Reviewed all new results from Trisotech, W4 and SoftTeam.
- Findings were reported in the Issue tracker of Git

Planning the next Capability Demo

- <http://doodle.com/poll/z8d8ypk2syb4agmr>

BPMN MIWG 23 Sept 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

New result table presentation

- Issue with code update that breaks the auto-report.
- Will be addressed for next week

Test Progress Review

- No new tests submitted

Review of Reported Results

- Waiting for Result Table to be updated to review Trisotech submissions

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Next week will review and discuss the bugs against test cases

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Issue breaking the code fixed.
- New version will be installed/deployed for next week

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Most people felt that 1 hour capability demo is reasonable
- After 2 demos focussing on sequences of tools maybe we should revisit our formula
- Suggested that we should put more emphasis on showcasing the statistics of BPMN MIWG
- We discussed a 3 part demo:
 - Statistics of BPMN MIWG improvements
 - A low entry scenario for new BPMN MIWG vendors

- A high level scenario focussing more on hidden attributes and depth of interchange
- We should set a poll to see which vendors wants to participate to the next March Demo

Varia

- OMG meeting taking place in Boston with topics of interest the BA Sig RFP for a BA MM
- There is a half day planned at the OMG December meeting on the topic of BPMN-CMMN-DMN together
- CMMN 1.1 should be submitted to vote in December meeting
- Add Slides and Paper to the resources section of wiki

BPMN MIWG 16th Sept 2015 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

New result table presentation

- New layout and ordering of the result table was reviewed
- The table need to be refreshed as some new data have not been uploaded

Test Progress Review

- Trisotech submitted new test results in Aug for both the BPMN Web Modeler and Visio Modeler

Review of Reported Results

- Waiting for the new test results to be up on the result table

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 483: Proposed placement of association elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/483>
 - We reviewed and approved the proposal on where to serialize Annotations in the Semantic portion of the file

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Improved the output of XPATH test for sequence flow validation
- XPATH test checks first attributes from BPMN and BPMNDI namespaces and then after other namespaces
- XPATH test was expanded to cover C.1.1. and C.3.0
- Matthias suggested we expose a MIWG service for XPath tests and requested a potential platform for it
 - Business Process Incubator volunteered the platform

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Planning the next Capability Demo

- Proposed that next Capability Demo be held in March at the OMG technical meeting
 - Also discussed BPMNext as a potential dup or alternative

Varia

- The Demo paper submitted to the Academia BPM2015 conf was rejected
 - From the comments received maybe the industry track would have been a better target

BPMN MIWG 8th Jul 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

New result table presentation

- It was decided that for each test case category we would count the number of files provided (import, import image, export, round trip = 4 per test cases)
- It was decided that the count would be provided manually in the Json file by each vendors

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to report

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- We will regroup on this topic in Sept

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The Xpath test was expanded to include the c1.0 test case

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Re-initiating the work by first focussing on the notion of data

Planning the next Capability Demo

- We will regroup on this topic in Sept

Varia

- Still no answer regarding the Demo for Innsbruck. Answer expected 17 Jul

- We are no breaking for summer vacations. We will regroup for weekly meeting on 16 Sept

BPMN MIWG 1st Jul 2015 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

New result table presentation

- Tim presented the new table format
- 3 new columns added to capture the test coverage of each test case categories
- 1 row added to highlight participation in past capability demonstration
- Decided that a submission date be added to the Json result file and that a column be added for it
 - This will become our first sorting criterion
 - The test category coverage columns will be in line with the latest submission date
- Decided that the Demo participation column should include the number of participation and only the latest year of participation

Review of Opened Issues

- New results submitted by GenMyModel. They requested a few weeks before doing the issue revision

Varia

- The new test cases from the last capability demo were added to the C category of test cases
- A post event press release is being prepared by OMG. Quotes were sent to Ann at OMG
- Video and presentation of the demo were posted to the Wiki. We should add the screen captures from the tools
- A email to the mailing list should be sent to invite new test results and promote the last demo

BPMN MIWG 24 Jun 2015 (Minutes)

June 17th Face to Face and Demo Recap

- Tim did a recap of the events from the live demo that took place in Berlin last week.
- Everyone was pleased with the how well the demo went.
- From the discussion in Berlin last week about the result table, Tim and Falko have prepared a new version of the Result Table and will submit it for review next week.
- As discussed informally in Berlin, it was decided to promote the 3 demoed scenarios to official C test cases:
 - Diagram interchange demo result from Trisotech (trisotech-final.bpmn) will become test case C.2
 - Hidden Attribute interchange demo file generated by W4 with all attributes properly set will become test case C.3
 - Execution interchange demo original file with added labels will become test case C.1.1 as it is a variation on the existing C.1 test case.

June 17th Event and Video Promotion

- All participating vendors are encouraged to promote the YouTube recording of the Berlin demo available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iLg1_QLHzGY
- Some vendor already have created blog posts and more will also do in the following week.
- OMG already published a Press Release prior to the event: <http://www.omg.org/news/releases/pr2015/06-05-15.htm> and it would be interesting to check if they would like to publish another one to promote the success of the event.
- Francois will check to gather a quote from a participant for that press release.

Varia

- Zbigniew tried to contact PEX to see their interest in giving MIWG a slot for their Modeling Open House 2015 (<http://processmappingmodeling.com/>) and got no answers.

BPMN MIWG 17 Jun 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

The way forward for the group

- The group decided that our main drive will be to plan for one set of Demo scenarios per year (where the same demo could be repeated as needed)
- The models used in these scenarios will be move to test cases in the C category
- We will change the way we report results:
 - Results will be in the form of a yearly listing
 - Showing the test coverage of each tools per category: e.g. A ¼ B 2/2 , C ½
 - Showing participation to the demos of the past

BPMN RTF Report

- To this date there is no major blocking issue for the interchange of BPMN that would warrant a new version of the spec as demonstrated by the success our interchange capability demonstrations
- We continue to identify interpretation issues and make proposal for these issues that are resolved within the group.

Demo Dress Rehearsal

Broadcasted demo

- Youtube:
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iLg1_QLHzGY
- Google Plus
 - <https://plus.google.com/events/cq1m8pbg7mf5lrju5pnj54i0boo>

Varia

BPMN MIWG 10 Jun 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Everything seem to be in place logistically

The execution scenario: Falko

- We added the BPMN DI portion that was missing for the added Data Object
- Some issues still remain with some tools
- We will run another offline dry run

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- Some issues still remain with some tools
- We will run another offline dry run

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- Some issues still remain with some tools
- We will run another offline dry run

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- Neil Ward Dutton will be attending
- To date only W4, Trisotech, and GenMyModel have blasted to their communities about the event.

Varia

- Thomas demoed GenMyModel impressive progress. They should be submitting their test results shortly.

BPMN MIWG 3 Jun 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting

The execution scenario: Falko

- We ran a successful demo scenario
- A few issues remains to be ironed out for next week
- We will add a data object and re-layout the diagram a bit
- All 3 engine vendors will re-validate the modified model for execution

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- We ran a successful demo scenario
- A few issues remains to be ironed out for next week

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- We ran a successful demo scenario
- A few issues remains to be ironed out for next week

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- Francois has provided the files for the mail blast
- W4 has done its mail blast to its community
- All other vendors are asked to do a blast this week promoting the event
- OMG will also promote the event to its global community

Varia

- Thomas Legrand from GenMyModel has joined the group

BPMN MIWG 27 May 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- We will convene at 10:00hrs Berlin Time (allowing people to travel in the morning)
- The public demonstration will take place at 16:00hrs Berlin Time (for reasonable time for AMER)
- Tentative agenda items: MIWG report to BPMN 2.1 RTF, moving forward plan for MIWG, dress rehearsal of demo

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- Denis will control the hangout focus for this scenario, switching from vendor to vendor
- Denis will narrate this scenario from beginning to end

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- Denis will control the hangout focus for this scenario, switching from vendor to vendor
- Each vendor will narrate what he is doing as he is doing it

The execution scenario: Falko

- Denis will control the hangout focus for this scenario, switching from vendor to vendor
- During the first modeling portion, each vendor will narrate what he is doing as he is doing it
- Denis will then talk during the deploying on each engine
- Each execution vendor will narrate the completion of 1 task (a different one each) and then move on to showing the completed trace
- When all execution are complete, Denis will switch from Vendor to vendor to show all 3 traces

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- A first draft email is ready, missing the YouTube link for the public presentation
- First scenario diagram need to be added to the demo github main page doc

Varia

- First draft proposal for Academia Demo ready. Please review for comments.
- Please fill up survey of you intend to participate <http://doodle.com/cp6fnu8gctxaz765>

BPMN MIWG 20 May 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Registration is open here: <http://www.omg.org/meetings/berlin-2015/index.cgi?noid=yes>
- The 1-day rate CODE for non OMG members to use is TCBR15. This discount code will reduce the price to \$250 to cover the day, euro exchange and VAT.
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The actual demo will take place in the afternoon. A more detailed agenda will be prepared.
- This week, we decided to go through the issues still open for the various demos to get a status and potential actions on them.
- Next week we will do all 3 scenarios with each 20 mins
- This week, we will do an offline dry run, everyone need to send emails to the next person in the chain to make sure that these get completed by next week.

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- There is a problem with the lane/pool header with the file coming out of Sparx and going into W4 (and also Trisotech) where the headers go over one another
- We have confirmed the naming of the file with the pattern part-X-vendor.bpmn

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- SAP was still not present on the call, we decided to start the chain from step 2 while we wait for them.
- Adonis has corrected their serialization issues with the startQuantity and completionQuantity

The execution scenario: Falko

- Camunda and Knowprocess confirmed that they were able to use the Trisotech output for execution
- Signavio confirmed that their 2 issues #33 and #32 will be addressed in their next release on April 29th
- Adonis are working on correcting issues #31, #21, #18 and #17 and hope to have a fix by next week
- bpmn.io are progressing well and are confident to be able to address #16, #15 and #11
- Trisotech fixed a namespace issue that was reported on a timeduration xsi:type last week

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- Francois presented a first version of his promotion email available at:
<http://info.w4software.com/webmail/48572/63166398/d9b68fb7781c689af5c3a4831f6ac434>
- You can send him your comments for improvements
- We need to provide Francois a link to the YouTube broadcast to include in the email

Varia

- Mathias has started working on the paper for the Innsbruck BPM 2015 conference (<http://bpm2015.q-e.at/call-for-contributions/call-for-demos/>)
- He wants to know who will be participating in the demo and will send an email this week about it.

BPMN MIWG 13 May 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Registration is open here: <http://www.omg.org/meetings/berlin-2015/index.cgi?noid=yes>
- The 1-day rate CODE for non OMG members to use is TCBR15. This discount code will reduce the price to \$250 to cover the day, euro exchange and VAT.
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The actual demo will take place in the afternoon. A more detailed agenda will be prepared.
- Next week we will do all 3 scenarios with each 20 mins

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- Nothing to report

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- SAP was not present and we thus skipped their part (Still needs to be tested)
- Adonis had some issues with displaying startQuantity and completionQuantity. It will be fixed by next week
- itp commerce did not have access to dropbox and could not complete the demo. Will be done over the next couple of days.
- Sparx was not present and we thus skipped their part (Still needs to be tested)
- We need to modify the BPMN model as to make more room between elements (for Adonis size)
- We need to modify the scenario so that Adonis also add the alternative flow to timer boundary event
- We need to modify the scenario so that Trisotech also add the alternative flow to the timer boundary event

The execution scenario: Falko

- There still remains a list of issues that were raised by Benjamin to be solved by Signavio and Adonis
- We tested Trisotech right from the source and from the bpmn.io export. Was ok in Knowprocess but some problems were encountered in W4 and camunda executions. Need further analysis and reporting on the problems.

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- Nothing new discussed

Varia

- Falko suggested to submit our Capability Demo to the BPM (academia) Conference
- <http://bpm2015.q-e.at/call-for-contributions/call-for-demos/>
- We will submit a proposal covering our 3 scenarios.
- Matthias will prepare a first draft and seek inputs from the rest of the team

BPMN MIWG 6 May 2015 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- We made sure that everyone had access to the dropbox folder and invited the ones that did required access
- We created a Google+ circle with the demo participants to make sure that we have everyone in a single circle to start a hangout more easily.
- It was suggested that Simon configure the hangout and the schedule for the broadcast on Hangout/Youtube like last year because this required account configuration.
- We created a test hangout session to make sure everyone was able to connect and share their screen.
 - After some trial and error, only Zbigniew had a firewall issue which should be worked on for next week.

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- We have completed 90% of the scenario in hangout. The time for the meeting was up and we wanted to make sure to address the basic issues of the other scenarios.
- We need to swap W4 and Signavio in the scenario because W4 could not merge the pools in a single file.
- We settled on a file naming convention for this demo: part-X-vendor.bpmn.
- There were a few hiccups but overall a decent first try for this first dry run.
- We will need to do an offline dry run this week to validate the proper layout as there was a few glitches

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- Benjamin has not received all excel sheets with hidden attribute support of participating vendors. Please send them ASAP.
- We reviewed the scenario and made sure that all vendors were comfortable with the part they had to play in the scenario.
- Benjamin will provided an updated scenario as soon as he receives all excel sheets and we will need to start an offline dry run to prepare for next week.
- Next week, we will start with a dry run of this scenario.

The execution scenario: Falko

- Trisotech reported having corrected all issues about their interchange regarding this demo.
- Adonis is progressing and have closed half of the issues. They will update us next week with the status of the others.

BPMN MIWG 29 Apr 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- The Diagram Interchange demo aims to show that the vendors can interchange a BPMN diagram (image)
- This demo will consist of progressively building the diagram from tool to tool. Starting with a blank page and finishing with a completed BPMN diagram.
- We reviewed the proposed scenario of the Diagram Interchange demo and agreed on a first version
- All participants to this scenario need to test their portion of the scenario and contribute it in github. Next week we will do a dry run.

The hidden attribute scenario: Benjamin

- The Hidden Attribute demo aims to show that tools can interchange BPMN attributes that are not visible in the diagram but nonetheless part of the BPMN Model, and that hidden attributes entered from other tools are maintained during the interchange
- Benjamin has taken over coordination responsibility for this scenario from Antonio
- This demo will start with a completed BPMN diagram, and then each tool will add hidden attributes to it. Each tool will show that the attribute(s) from the previous tool(s) are maintained before passing it to the next tool. At the end we may potentially show the XML with attributes from the various tools.
- We will simplify the model and change the demo scenario to accommodate maximum participation from various vendors. SAP will go first and bpmn.io will go last.
- An excel sheet outlining the hidden attribute of this demo need to be filled by all participating tools

The execution scenario: Falko

- The Execution demo aims to show that tools can interchange executable BPMN models while maintaining all hidden and extended attributes without compromising the execution of the model

- Starting with a BPMN model that contains all executable parameters of various execution engines, modeling tools will one after the other change the Name of task before passing the model to the next tool. This will showcase that although the model was modified the execution capability is preserved. At the end various execution engine will execute the model in parallel. Each execution engine will show the completion of a different specific task of the same model
- Implementation of XPath capabilities are progressing at both camunda and activity
- The Current model needs to be updated to swap in the Xpath expressions and remove the collaboration from within the model
- There were various issues raised on participating tools that need to be addressed for the demo. All vendors confirmed that corrections would be done for next week

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- We are planning to have all vendors to do an email blast to their community during the last week of May to promote the event
- A draft of the proposed HTML mail blast is to be reviewed the week of May 18
- The announcement will point to the appropriate Github, Wiki page and Hangout link for the event
- We initiated talking to various Analysts about the event

Varia

- We need to all share our Google identity for Hangout purposes
- Everyone should make sure to be invited to the Dropbox folder for the demo
- We will consider doing an intro presentation of BPMN MIWG current status (either prior or during broadcast)
- Denis need confirmation of number of attendees planed and who is not an OMG member
- We have revised the XPath Test for the C1.0 model and ran it on the provided test results.
 - Some findings were listed for some tools
 - We are still missing new test results for C.1.0 from various tools

BPMN MIWG 22 Apr 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- We are planning for a demo of capabilities that is divided into 3 demo of 20 mins each
- Each demo will be preceded by an intro explaining the goal of the demo and the basic storyboarding of what will happen

The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis

- The Diagram Interchange demo aims to show that the vendors can interchange a BPMN diagram (image)
- This demo will consist of progressively building the diagram from tool to tool. Starting with a blank page and finishing with a completed BPMN diagram.
- A specific order and tasking will be provided by Denis to the various participating tools

The hidden attribute scenario: Antonio

- The Hidden Attribute demo aims to show that tools can interchange BPMN attributes that are not visible in the diagram but nonetheless part of the BPMN Model, and that hidden attributes entered from other tools are maintained during the interchange
- This demo will start with a completed BPMN diagram, and then each tool will add hidden attributes to it. Each tool will show that the attribute(s) from the previous tool(s) are maintained before passing it to the next tool. At the end we may potentially show the XML with attributes from the various tools.
- A specific order and tasking will be provided by Antonio to the various participating tools

The execution scenario: Falko

- The Execution demo aims to show that tools can interchange executable BPMN models while maintaining all hidden and extended attributes without compromising the execution of the model
- Starting with a BPMN model that contains all executable parameters of various execution engines, modeling tools will one after the other change the Name of task before passing the model to the next tool. This will showcase that although the model was modified the execution capability is preserved. At the end various execution engines will execute the model in parallel. Each execution engine will show the completion of a different specific task of the same model

- A specific order and tasking will be provided by Falko to the various participating tools
- There were various issues raised on participating tools that need to be addressed for the demo

Promoting the Berlin Event: Francois

- We are planning to use hangout again as our main broadcasting and recording environment for the demo
- We need to prepare a promotion email (HTML) for the demo that invites people to either attend the live web casting or come and join us in person at the Berlin meeting
- Each vendor will then be asked to email blast this invitation to their own communities

Varia

- We need to assess the number of seat required of the meeting room for OMG and the potential number or guess that will attend
- New test results were submitted by bpmn.io and will be reviewed after the Berlin demo

BPMN MIWG 15 Apr 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New C.1.0 test results submitted from Yaoqiang

Review of Reported Results

- Review of C.1.0 test submission from Yaoqiang. 3 findings reported

BPMN in Color

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 458: Reference C.1.0: Resolution attribute missing in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/458>
 - We decided to maintain this issue open for further discussion after the Berlin demo

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gqhudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- We received demo test results from most of the participants
- We are planning for a demo of capabilities that is divided into 3 demo of 20 mins each
- Coordinators were named for each of the scenarios
- Coordinators are responsible for defining the detailed demo steps for their scenario and assign roles in the demo to each participant in a way that promotes showcasing of the various tools participating
- The coordinators are:

- The Diagram Interchange scenario: Denis
 - The hidden attribute scenario: Antonio
 - The execution scenario: Falko
- Francois will handle coordination of the promotion for the event.
- Starting next week until 15 Jun we will divide the weekly meeting in 3 equal part for the scenarios

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 8 Apr 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New C.1.0 test results submitted from camunda

Review of Reported Results

- Review of C.1.0 test submission from camnuda. 2 findings reported

BPMN in Color

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 458: Reference C.1.0: Resolution attribute missing in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/458>
 - It was decided that as per the spec, font size should be specified into pixels
 - This will require all test cases and test results to be redone from all vendors
- Issue 461: Text annotation is moved from process to collaboration <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/461>
 - We will split this into two issues
 - 1) Regarding Roundtrip interchange: where the annotations are moved from the serialization offered in the reference test case
 - 2) Regarding potential clarifications required in the spec for where annotations should be serialized

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Minor changes were made to the architecture of the tools to better support querying

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Discussions on going about using extended attributes as potential direction forward

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting

- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- Almost no one submitted their test results of the demo scenarios on time as required.
- We extended the limit to Apr 15 to submit results.
- Failure to submit test results will put in question the Capability Demonstration

Varia

- bpmNext observations from various members of the team

BPMN MIWG 25 Mar 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- new version of C.1.0 was contributed

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date

BPMN in Color

- Nothing to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 461: Text annotation is moved from process to collaboration <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/461>
 - Awaiting more XPATH tests prior to discussing
- Issue 458: Reference C.1.0: Resolution attribute missing in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/458>
 - Acknowledged the fact that the current reference test cases have Font expressed in point size and remainder (bounds, positions, etc) in pixels
 - Discussion to the fact that Fonts are always expressed in point size
 - We have to decide between how to proceed with either mixt or single mode measurements and which one

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting

- An email was sent to all participants to request their demo test results prior to 8 Apr 2015
- W4 and Adonis are in the process of submitting results for demo testing
- We will need to specify the exact agenda and timing for the meeting on 17 June
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The Diagram Interchange scenario:
- The hidden attribute scenario:
- The execution scenario:

Varia

- Denis made a presentation to the MI SIG at the OMG technical meeting on Monday to share lessons learned from the BPMN DI testing with the UML community
- Reminder. No meeting next week. Our Next meeting is 8 Apr 2015

BPMN MIWG 18 Mar 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Itp commerce submitted new results for C.1.0 that needs to be merged

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 431: Is Test A4.0 valid BPMN? <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/431>
 - The spec seems to be clear enough as all vendors had the same implementation
 - Issue to be closed no changes
- Issue 461: Text annotation is moved from process to collaboration <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/461>
 - We will run XPATH tests on more tools to see if this a specific (EA) tool issue or a generalized problem
- Issue 458: Reference C.1.0: Resolution attribute missing in DI <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/458>
 - Identified a bug in the C.1.0 reference model regarding the bound and also font values
 - A new corrected version of C.1.0 Model and depiction will be submitted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- The demo is planned for 17 Jun 2015 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting
- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The Diagram Interchange scenario:
- The hidden attribute scenario:
- The execution scenario: Still need to be implemented by Camunda and KnowProcess
- All models are ready to be tested by the participants to the demo
- Results should be contributed into the Demo repository under the specific demo folder
- We will send a reminder email to the participants of the 3 scenarios to hopefully get the ball rolling

Varia

- There is an OMG technical meeting in Reston next week
- UML MIWG asked for Denis to quickly brief them on DI Diagram Interchange validation
- We will not have a BPMN MIWG meeting on 1 Apr 2015 given that many will be attending bpmNEXT

BPMN MIWG 11 Mar 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - The new submitted C.1.0 model and depiction now has label positions for all elements
 - This issue is closed
 - Everyone needs to re-test according to this new C.1.0 model
 - Potentially there are issues with label bounds (to be validated by the tools)
- Issue 431: Is Test A4.0 valid BMPN? <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/431>
 - A related issue was opened : Issue 456: Participant missing in collaboration <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/456>
 - These two issues will be merged
 - Discussion regarding whether the process under focus (i.e. without a pool) should generate a Participant
 - A quick survey of tools vendor present: all of Trisotech, itp commerce, Sparx, W4 and SAP only generate one participant in this situation.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here:
<http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
 - The Diagram Interchange scenario:
 - The Hidden attribute scenario:
 - The Execution scenario: Still need to be implemented by Camunda and KnowProcess
- All models are ready to be tested by the participants to the demo
- Results should be contributed into the Demo repository under the specific demo

Varia

- Nothing new

BPMN MIWG 4 Mar 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Boris re-submitted the files for EA 12 with name that now respect the naming convention
- Some issues regarding Aris Architect were reported. They will contact Aris to confirm/infirm

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - Still need to edit the labels of a first task of the diagram
 - New serialization and depiction will be submitted with this edit
 - Still need to do a bit more investigation regarding labels bounds
- Issue 442: Diagram Name of A.4.1 and C.1.0 do not reflect their content like the other references <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/442>
 - Done, Closed
- Issue 431: Is Test A4.0 valid BMPN? <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/431>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The execution scenario:
 - Still need to be implemented by Camunda and Knowprocess
 - Everyone interested in participating in this scenario should ensure that all hidden/extended attributed on the model are preserved during Import/export more specifically everything related to execution
- The hidden attribute scenario:
 - The scenario has been modified to
 - Everyone interested in participating in this scenario should ensure that all hidden/extended attributed on the model are preserved during Import/export
- The Diagram Interchange scenario:
 - The scenario has been submitted
 - Everyone interested in participating in this scenario should ensure they are able to proper visualize and export this scenario
- Next meeting we start defining the demo roles and order

Varia

- Nothing new

BPMN MIWG 25 Feb 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New Import and Round Trip test results were submitted for Sparx EA 12 by Boris
- J.D. will take on the effort of doing the Export tests

Review of Reported Results

- Did a visual inspection of the Sparx EA import results. Only very minor details observed.

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - We could not confirm if Falko did the label edit he committed to doing
 - Benjamin will submit the image of the diagrams matching the edits that were done by W4 to the C.1.0
- Opened Issue 442: Diagram Name of A.4.1 and C.1.0 do not reflect their content like the other references <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/442>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tim simplified setting up the automation tools.
- Matthias changed the tests which are run automatically during setup in order to accommodate Tim's changes.
- Matthias ran some checks on C.1.0 test results and got some surprising results.
- Essentially, the handling of extension elements seems to be problematic across many tools.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The execution scenario:
 - Nothing new
- The hidden attribute scenario:
 - Antonio will do edits to the layout to address the observations of the last meeting regarding this example
- The Diagram Interchange scenario:
 - Denis will submit the Amazon example

Varia

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 18 Feb 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Boris will carry out initial tests on Sparx EA 12.0 trial version

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date

BPMN in Color

- The hidden attribute scenario for the June demo includes BPMN in Color serialization

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - o W4 submitted a new version of the C.1.0 reference with Label position information
 - o Falko to do a Label edit
 - o Every vendor will need to re-test on C.1.0

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- An XPath test extension was created to spot check that extended attributes are maintained

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Current participation in the 3 planned scenarios for our June Demo is documented here: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The execution scenario:
 - o A process using the UEL expression language (<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/pull/8>) submitted by W4
 - o Camunda and Activiti will try to execute the process next week and report back on it

- The hidden attribute scenario:
 - ITPCommerce proposed a hidden attribute process about Fridge Repair. It is available here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/tree/master/2015-06-15-19-omg-technical-meeting-berlin/hidden-attribute-interchange>.
 - Trisotech and bpmn.io (camunda) tested with good results
 - Will request that itp commerce move labels from directly on flows and resize shape to a larger size to work around font size and wrap around
- The diagram interchange scenario:
 - A diagram to be submitted by Tim
 - Suggested use of multiple pool which makes multi-vendor demoing simpler to script

Varia

- OMG meeting coming up in Reston in 4 weeks. No specific BPMN activity planned at this point.
- There will a 2 day Business Architecture workshop at the OMG meeting

BPMN MIWG 11 Feb 2015 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- The test result table was not yet updated by removing the tools that did not match the criteria established in last week meeting. It will be updated this week.

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date
- Mathias created 3 new issues on the C.1.0 roundtrip results for Adonis and actiBPM

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - No progress on this issue this week
- Issue 412: [C.1.0 - demo] Conditions on Sequence Flow outgoing of Gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/412>
 - This issue will be closed as a proposal using UEL has been submitted on the bpmn-miwg-demos repository by w4: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/pull/8>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- No meeting last week
- We quickly reviewed one of the reported Schema non-conformance and validated it. Starting next week, we will review these results and open issues for tools that submitted non schema conformant results.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new

June 2015 Demonstration

- We reviewed quickly w4 submission of a process using the UEL expression language (<https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/pull/8>). Camunda and Activiti will try to execute the process next week and report back on it.
- Antonio from ITPCommerce proposed a hidden attribute process about Fridge Repair. It is available here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/tree/master/2015-06-15-19-omg-technical-meeting-berlin/hidden-attribute-interchange>. Vendors are encouraged to test the round tripping of this file and comment back to Antonio with their results.

Varia

- Last week, BPMN MIWG celebrated its 2 year anniversary.
- Falko wrote a nice blog post about it here: <http://www.bpm-guide.de/2015/02/06/happy-birthday-omg-bpmn-model-interchange-working-group-miwig/>

BPMN MIWG 4 Feb 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Denis is to reach out to Sparx again and CC Boris as a potential tester for them
- Lloyd will take a look to see if new tests should be performed on the latest version of IBM BWL
- It was decided that we would only add to the result tables:
 - Only tools that submitted test results
 - Only tools that submitted XML interchange results file (i.e drawing only is not ok)
 - Only the results from the latest version of a tool

Review of Reported Results

- We are up to date

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/330>
 - Did a review and the issue seems to be still present.
 - Some labels still overflow.
 - More testing required
- Issue 368: Reference C.1.0: No performers specified <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/368>
 - Discussion regarding the alternative name given to the potential owner
 - Changes approved as presented
 - Issue closed
- Issue 412: [Execution – 2015 demo] Conditions on Sequence Flow outgoing of Gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/412>
 - It was decided that to use an alternative Expression language (to be determined between W4, Camunda and Activiti) rather than the XPATH default
- Issue 413: [Execution – 2015 demo] Conditions on sequenceflow outgoing gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/413>
 - Merged with 412 given that it relates to the selection of the expression language

- Issue 415: [Execution – 2015 demo] Alternative version of C.1.0 for the demo
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/415>
 - W4 will make their additions and corrections to the original Execution Demo scenario submitted
 - It was decided to close this issue

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- A new schema validation automated test is now available
- Every vendor should check the results as many issues are coming up

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new

June 2015 Demonstration

- Reviewing the doodle only 3 vendors will demonstrate execution:
 - W4
 - Camunda
 - Activiti
- The demo scenario can therefore be adjusted to this reality

Varia

BPMN MIWG 28 Jan 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- We received new test results from Visual Paradigm 11.1
- SAP will publish their test results but they are unsure on the exact timeline

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed the Yaoqiang BPMN Editor 4.0 submitted results and closed 3 of the open issues #215, #216 and #218. We also added a two new issue #432 and #433
- We reviewed the Visual Paradigm 11.1 submitted results and 15 new issues for the tool: #416 to #430.
- It was also discussed after the review of these tools that we needed to establish a rule for the entries in the tools table. Some tools had 2 or 3 versions of their results which created a bug tracking confusion. It was decided that the BPMN Tools table (<http://bpmn-miwig.github.io/bpmn-miwig-tools/>) should only contain the latest submission of a tool and we should remove previous versions.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/330>
- Issue 368: Reference C.1.0: No performers specified <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/368>
 - The W4 proposed resolution will be merged in the master branch and those issue resolved
- Issue 412: [C.1.0 - demo] Conditions on Sequence Flow outgoing of Gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/412>
- Issue 413: [C.1.0 - demo] Conditions on sequenceflow outgoing gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/413>
 - A brief discussion took place about the possibility to use XPATH as W4 proposed and that other vendors would add extended attributes to overload it if they did not support it. A consensus is forming around this idea and technical testing will be done this week to confirm it by next week.

- Issue 415: [C.1.0 - demo] Alternative version of C.1.0 for the demo <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/415>
 - W4 will submit this version to the demo repository for public availability and testing this week.

June 2015 Demonstration

- SAP confirmed their intention of participating ideally in the hidden attribute interchange demonstration

BPMN MIWG 21 Jan 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Yaoqiang BPMN Editor 4.0 results were added.

Review of Reported Results

- Yaoqiang BPMN Editor 4.0 results are not showing yet in the graphical diff. We will review the results as soon as this issue is fixed

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- The issue tracker for the demos project was properly configured
- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
- Issue 368: Reference C.1.0: No performers specified <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/368>
 - W4 submitted a fix: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/pull/410> there were a few issues with it:
 1. There is a schemaLocation tag on the root element that reference XSD that are not available at the provided URLs
 2. Some unnecessary CDATA section were added to camunda extensions
- Issue 411: [C.1.0 - demo] About the Message Start Event <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/411>
 - Resolution accepted
- Issue 412: [C.1.0 - demo] Conditions on Sequence Flow outgoing of Gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/412>
 - This issue was discussed in detail:
 1. It was acknowledged that the file currently use the Java Unified Expression Language and declared that it was using XPATH
 2. W4 do not support this Java Unified Expression language and propose to use the XPATH expressions

3. Camunda and Activity are going to investigate if there are work around in their engine to support XPATH or overload the value with extended expression
 4. Next week, we will resume the discussion on this issue after technical verification form the vendors
 5. There was an agreement that we would add the DataObjects for the variable that are used in the conditions.
- Issue 413: [C.1.0 - demo] Conditions on sequence flow outgoing gateway with id "invoice_approved" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/413>
 - This issue will have the same resolution as #412
 - Issue 414: [C.1.0 - demo] implementation of service task id="archiveInvoice" <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/414>
 - It was agreed that the implementation should be ##unspecified
 - Issue 415: [C.1.0 - demo] Alternative version of C.1.0 for the demo <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/415>
 - This issue is a submission that use the accepted resolution of #411 and #414 and provide a serialisation for #413/#414 if the group ends up deciding to go for XPATH as the expression language.
 - This issue required that #413/#414 are resolved

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Signavio is using the XML compare tool internally and have made improvements on it. Signavio is considering contributing those changes to the group.
- The newly introduced XSD validation tool yield a lot of failure for some vendors. We encourage vendors to go see these new results and report false positive.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- The discussion on issues #412/#413 sparked a renewed interest in the sub group, hopes are that the work can continue in two weeks.

June 2015 Demonstration

- ITP Commerce will provide a first draft for a process for the Hidden Attribute Interchange demo
- Tim will provide a first draft of a process for the Diagram Interchange demo

BPMN MIWG 14 Jan 2015 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Yaoqiang BPMN Editor 4.0 will be added to the test suite by next week.

Review of Reported Results

- Nothing new to review, next week we can tackle Yaoqiang BPMN Editor 4.0

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
- Issue 368: Reference C.1.0: No performers specified <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/368>
 - W4 will provide a serialisation by next week.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The XML difference reporting tool available at <http://bpmn-miwg.github.io/bpmn-miwg-tools/overview.html> now has an extra column to denote if the files are BPMN XSD schema valid.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new

June 2015 Demonstration

- The participation doodle is still available at: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>

BPMN MIWG 17 Dec 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted after minor corrections

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted on the actiBPM plugin for JetBrains

Review of Reported Results

- Did a review and opened issues on the Import test submissions from Bizagi
- Did a review and opened issues on the Import test submissions from actiBPM

BPMN in Color

- camunda will add implementing BPMN in Color to their bpmn.io roadmap

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - Decided that the label positions and boundaries should be part of the test case
 - W4 will edit the current C.1.0 test case and add label positions and boundaries while maintaining all current extensions
- Issue 368: Reference C.1.0: No performers specified <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/368>
 - Decided that PotentialOwner should be added to the C.1.0 test case. We will align the name of the Potential Owner to the name of the lane.
 - W4 will edit the current C.1.0 test and add PotentialOwner while maintaining all current extensions. We will align the name of the Potential Owner to the name of the lane.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- An email will be issued for the call to participation: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- The Demo file based on test case C.1.0 will be modified to reflect the changes that W4 will carry out.

Varia

- Last meeting of the year. Happy Holidays to all.
- A new set of webmeeting will be scheduled for 2015

BPMN MIWG 10 Dec 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Falko and Tim corrected the issue of the result table not properly updating with the results

Review of Reported Results

- We received the test Results from an End User of Bizagi Modeler 2.8.0.8 last week. No issues were opened.

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - Still waiting for Falko to add a comment/proposal.
- Issue 335: Reference C.1.0: Unused Data Store <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/335>
 - Fixed and closed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Still progressing on the XSD validation issue

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- The doodle is open for the call to participation: <http://doodle.com/gghudvs2ys96hazr#table>
- There will be NO demo at the March Technical Meeting of OMG

Varia

- The Christmas break is confirmed. Next week meeting (December 17th) will be the next meeting before we return on January 14th.

BPMN MIWG 3 Dec 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Tim reports that a couple of new results were integrated with the automatic tooling but he is currently not certain how to update the result table, he and Falko will discuss it in private.

Review of Reported Results

- No new results to review

BPMN in Color

- The automation group will consider automating the validation of the BPMN in color test case

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 330 : Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>
 - This issue was discussed and Falko will add a comment/proposal.
- Issue 335: Reference C.1.0: Unused Data Store <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/335>
 - Falko is tasked to fix this bug.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Falko proposed that after their current refactoring effort (January 2015), they will consider automating the test of C.1.0 results by validating the execution results using the camunda engine.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- It was confirmed that the scope of the June 2015 demonstration should be as previously discussed:
 - Demo the core of diagram interchange
 - Iterative construction of a BPMN model
 - Demo hidden attributes interchange and the proper persistence of extended attribute in the round trip
 - Modeler export executable model to engine, engine run (proves model executable), take the same the model into a different modeler and export it again and execute again to show nothing was lost or modified
 - Demo the interchange of a single Model with extension from multiple vendors (multiple execution extensions)
 - A model contains execution extensions from various engines. Import model in tool then export to engine to execute (modeler does not modify)
- A folder was already created in the demo repository to host the files for the upcoming demonstration: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-demos/tree/master/2015-06-15-19-omg-technical-meeting-berlin>
- It was also agreed upon that we should use the same tooling for the demonstration: Google Hangout and Dropbox
- W4 and SAP will look at providing their execution extended attributes to test case C.1.0.
- A Doodle was created for vendors to declare their intention to participate in one of the 3 demo: <http://doodle.com/gqhudvs2ys96hazr#table>

Varia

- MIWG will take a holiday break. After the December 17th meeting, the next one will be on January 14th.

BPMN MIWG 26 Nov 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Review of Opened Issues

- Discussed Issue 273: Test Case C.1.0: User Tasks with incoming/outgoing Message Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/273>
 - The various positions were presented and discussed
 - It was decided to maintain the “diagram” of test case C.1.0 (with the two pools but without the DataStore)
 - It was decided that the only executable process of the model would ignore all message flows for execution purposes
 - It was decided that Automated Test Tools would not report on missing message flows to User Activities

Test Progress Review

- IBM BPM 8.5 results should be submitted soon

Review of Reported Results

- Some issues were identified by the XPath tool against Signavio modeler

BPMN in Color

- An example of BPMN in Color serialization was provided
- Itp commerce submitted test results for BPMN in Color

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

BPMN MIWG 19 Nov 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Itp commerce submitted export result for B.1.0 and B.2.0 as well as new results for C.1.0

Review of Reported Results

- ARIS Express results are not showing on the Results table

BPMN in Color

- Itp commerce start implementation of BPMN in Color. They have the import done and are working on the export.
- Itp commerce requested a reference file for BPMN in color. Denis to provide one.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 273 will be discussed next week. Eric requested we start with this discussion
- A new issue was opened against C.1.0
- Issues #330: Reference C.1.0: Label position missing for most elements
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/330>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Nothing new to report

Varia

BPMN MIWG 12 Nov 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Aris Express B.2.0 test results were contributed
- BOC submitted C.1.0 test results Adonis

Review of Reported Results

- The table of results does not reflect correctly the issues opened against the various tools
- Issue #15 opened to report the above Result Table issue <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/15>
- The results of BOC Adonis 5.1 can be removed as the new Version 6.0 results are now provided

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 273: Test Case C.1.0: User Tasks with incoming/outgoing Message Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/273>
 - Reviewed comments
 - Awaiting main commenters Ivana, Falko, Eric etc to be present to have the discussion and work toward a resolution
 - This issue is a blocker to moving forward with June Scenario preparation

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Maven plugin needs to adapt to or at least be tested with Matthias' latest changes
- Create HTML outputs and check content is as good or better than the current ones
- No further issues reported or fixed with XML Compare tool since last week.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- We need to complete the C1.0 testing in order to progress towards our demo scenarios

Varia

- BPMN 2.1 RTF is expected to be extended at the Long Beach meeting coming up in early December

BPMN MIWG 5 Nov 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Boris contributed the B.1 test case for their Aris Express product, they will contribute B.2 next week.

Review of Reported Results

- Adonis, W4 and Eclipse Modeler opened their issues last week.
- These were the last 3 vendors.

BPMN in Color

- No new comments, W4 and itp-commerce consider implementing the specification in 2015

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 295: "Prepare Bank Transfer" is missing an output and an output set
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/295>
 - Camunda will remove the Data Store from the C.1.0 reference to close this issue
- Issue 294: BPMNEdge's target redefined for DataOutputAssociation_1
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/294>
 - Camunda will remove the Data Store from the C.1.0 reference to close this issue
- Issue 293: Label of Data Store overlap with the shape according to XML but not in image
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/293>
 - Camunda will remove the Data Store from the C.1.0 reference to close this issue
- Issue 273: Test Case C.1.0: User Tasks with incoming/outgoing Message Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/273>
 - The issue was discussed.
 - Ivana from SAP (which was not on the call) is preparing a proposal for resolution.
 - W4 also said that like SAP, they can't execute the process as is for the same reasons.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- New result grid published

- W4 will open an issue against the result grid because it is not properly linked with their label because of the + character in their product name
- Issue 10: “Unnecessary finding: Difference found in number of element attributes” <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/10> was closed.
- Issue 14: “Default attributes not preserved in roundtrip” <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/14> proposal was adopted.
- Issue 13: “Unnecessary finding: On introduction of W4 namespace attributes” <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/13> proposal was adopted.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Nothing new to report

Varia

- Nothing new to report

BPMN MIWG 29 Oct 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- We received new test results for Aris Express for the A tests. B and C test to come
- Trisotech BPMN Web Modeler Test results for C.1.0 were submitted

Review of Reported Results

- Our current backlog of review includes:
 - W4 BPMN+ Composer V.9.0 (Jean-Loup)
 - Aris Architect (Boris)
 - Aris Business Architect (Boris)
 - Aris Express (Boris)
 - Eclipse BPMN 2.0 Modeler (Glenn)

BPMN in Color

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- There are now a number of issues open against test case C.1.0 that need to be discussed. Please review and comment on these issues:
 - Issue 295: "Prepare Bank Transfer" is missing an output and an output set
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/295>
 - Issue 294: BPMNEdge's target redefined for DataOutputAssociation_1
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/294>
 - Issue 293: Label of Data Store overlap with the shape according to XML but not in image
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/293>
 - Issue 273: Test Case C.1.0: User Tasks with incoming/outgoing Message Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/273>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The XSD issue is not yet fixed. We are trying to harmonize the XPath Compare and the XML Compare tools. This effort will most likely lead to another separate XSD validation tool.
- The XML Compare tool is generating some false negative findings. We discussed the following reported issues:

- Issue 11: Unnecessary finding: "Difference found in attribute name" when reference attribute is empty and vendor removes it <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/issues/11>
 - Further analysis to be done:
 - Ensure non-null attribute continues to be flagged as an issue
 - Ensure XML spec treats empty attribute and unspecified attribute as equivalent. esp in the case on non-string attributes.
- Issue 10: Unnecessary finding: Difference found in number of element attributes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/issues/10>
 - It was decided that the summary reporting of the number of finding was redundant with the individual findings and should not be reported
 - It was decided that attributes that are set to their default values may or may not be present in the serialization and thus should not be reported by the tool as findings
 - The XML compare tool is to be modified accordingly

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- We are using the test case C.1.0 as an initial step to explore hidden and execution attribute interchange prior to defining the details of the Demo scenario(s)
- Everyone that wants to participate to the demo should carry out the C.1.0 tests ASAP

Varia

- Nothing to report

BPMN MIWG 22 Oct 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- We received new results from: camunda bpmn.js, ibo, Aris Express, W4, Signavio, and Eclipse BPMN
- We received results for the validation of the c.1.0 new test case from: camunda (all 3 tools), Signavio, itp commerce, W4
 - We invite everyone else to validate this new test case as it will most certainly generate a lot of discussions
- We have reached out for results submissions from both Sparx EA and IBM BPM.

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed results from submission from ibo, and bpmn.js.
- Our current backlog of review includes:
 - ADONIS 6.0 (Zbigniew)
 - ADONIS 5.1 UL5 (Zbigniew)
 - W4 BPMN+ Composer V.9.0 (Jean-Loup)
 - Oracle (Tom)
 - Aris Architect (Boris)
 - Aris Business Architect (Boris)
 - Aris Express (Boris)
 - Eclipse BPMN 2.0 Modeler (Glenn)

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 273: Test Case C.1.0: User Tasks with incoming/outgoing Message Flows
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/273>
 - We initiated the discussion and requested people to prepare for answers

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Integrated the delivery of results in the Results Table
- Issue still remains with schema validation

BPMN MIWG 15 Oct 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted by Oracle
- New test results submitted by camunda bpmn.io
- We will reach back out for Test Results to be submitted from Sparx and IBM
- A new test case C.1.0 was added

Review of Reported Results

- Completed the review of the submitted results and raised the issues accordingly
- List of results that still remains to be self-reviewed by next week:
 - ADONIS 6.0 (Zbigniew)
 - ADONIS 5.1 UL5 (Zbigniew)
 - W4 BPMN+ Composer V.9.0 (Jean-Loup)
 - Camunda bpmn.js (Falko)
 - Oracle (Tom)
 - Aris Architect (Boris)
 - Aris Business Architect (Boris)
 - Aris Express (Boris)
 - Eclipse BPMN 2.0 Modeler (Glenn)
- Lesson learned
 - Results should be reviewed by the group as they are submitted
 - Reporting of results in the table
 - We do not want to table to come out as score card but should not be misleading
 - We will add a test completion ratio (e.g. 2/7) beside each test types in the table
- We imitated a discussion regarding BPMN labels observing that most vendors have issues with them

BPMN MIWG 8 Oct 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test results reported

Review of Reported Results

- We reviewed the following submitted test results and opened issues accordingly:
 - Yaoquiang BPMN Editor 2.2.18(Open Source)
 - Yoaquiang BPMN Editor 3.0.1 (Commercial)
 - Signavio Process Editor 7.8.1
 - iGrafx Flowcharter 2013 15.1.1.1580
 - Camunda Modeler 2.40
 - camunda bpmn.io (self-reviewed)
 - Camunda bpmn.js (self-reviewed)
 - Trisotech Web Molder 4.1.8 (self-reviewed)
 - Trisotech BPMN Visio Modeler 4.2 (self-reviewed)
 - ADONIS 6.0 (self-reviewed)
 - ADONIS 5.1 UL5 (self-reviewed)
 - W4 BPMN+ Composer V.9.0 (self-reviewed)

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Working on XPATH tool issue
- Refactoring of the Automated tool suite to allow simpler integration of new automated test
- New automated test for process execution in progress

BPMN MIWG 1 Oct 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test available

Review of Reported Results

- Yaoqiang testing started but not completed
- Oracle retested BPM Studio 12.1.3 reported
 - A new issue [#207](#) that A.3.0 does not import anymore
 - Will open a new issue to note that Oracle does not import bpmn models with pools
 - Tom is tasked to do this
- It was originally planned to start reviewing during the meeting the remaining vendors import submission and open issues but we ran out of time before the end of the meeting. We will try to start reviewing Import results next week.

Test Case C.1.0 Review

- Issue [#195](#) and [#203](#) were closed by Falko and reviewed. Everyone agreed with the fixes
- We started a discussion about the work in progress test cases like this one and the A variations. The intent was to find a better way of separating the work in progress test cases from the official references. After a few proposition like using git branches, we decided to move the work in progress test cases away from the Reference folder into a Work in Progress folder to clearly segment the adopted test cases from the other.
- Simon will do this.
- The group consider C.1.0 stable enough to start requesting testing from vendors on it.

BPMN Color

- We discussed the comments in the proposal available under issue [#204](#)
 - The proposition to adopt classes and apply style sheets was discussed and deemed too complex for this first version. It does not prevent tools from deciding to implement a sort of style sheet for their depiction but from an interexchange standpoint we are adding complexity.
 - The proposition to use the SVG terms (fill, stroke) instead of (background-color, border-color) was also discussed and we decided that there was not a strong enough case to change it at the moment.
 - The opacity parameter was discussed and agreed to be out of scope of this first version

- There was also a discussion about the proper namespace to use for the extension. We will need to validate with omg that the currently proposed namespace is fine with them or come up with another one.
- We are going to give an extra week to gather comments on the document and next week, we will discuss organization intention to adhere to this whitepaper.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Aurelien left the group and Falko will take over to continue this issue.
- Issue 186: BoundaryEvents not contained in Lanes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/186>
 - Falko to prepare an official RTF proposal
- Issue 192: Reference A.1.2: Width of "Data Object 1" is incorrect <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/192>
 - Tim corrected it
- Issue 193: Reference A.1.2: Issue with label position in XML <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/193>
 - Tim is tasked to correct it
- **Test Automation Sub Group Review**
- Nothing new to report aside from the xpath issue is still being investigated

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report, still focusing on test case C.1.0

June 2015 Demonstration

- Nothing new discussed

Varia

- Denis still need to provide 2 test cases variation on A: one test case exploring all aspect of labels and one test case exploring all aspects of Date Elements (DataObject, DataStore, DataInput, DataOutput, DataAssociation etc.)

BPMN MIWG 24 Sep 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- New results submitted by itp commerce
- New tests completed with results to be submitted by W4
- New tests completed with results to be submitted by bpmn.io

Review of Reported Results

- Only itp commerce, bpmn.io , W4 and Trisotech have confirmed having reviewed their results submission for issues to be entered
- We decided to give another week for others to do the same
- Starting next, we will review the Import results of remain submission and open issues as required.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Matthias will take a look at issue 8 w.r.t. potential Maven issue

Test Case C.1.0 Review

- Issue 195: Reference C.1.0: Association vs DataAssociation <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/195>
 - The issue was confirmed and Falko is tasked to correct/add the data output association
- Issue 203: Reference C.1.0: Data Store Reference label position missing <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/203>
 - The issue was discussed and it was decided that the label position should be added to this test case
 - Falko was tasked to do the change
- The discussion generated a need to create two new more test cases in the A category: one test case exploring all aspect of labels and one test case exploring all aspects of Date Elements (DataObject, DataStore, DataInput, DataOutput, DataAssociation etc.)
 - Denis was tasked with producing these 2 new A test cases

June 2015 Demonstration

- Nothing new discussed

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Aurelien to update the proposed test case according to discussion
- Issue 186: BoundaryEvents not contained in Lanes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/186>
 - Falko to prepare an official RTF proposal
- Issue 189: Artifact is used as FlowElement in an example <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/189>
 - This is a BPMN issue that does not affect interchange and should be reported directly to OMG via the Spec issue facility
- Issue 192: Reference A.1.2: Width of "Data Object 1" is incorrect <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/192>
 - The issue was confirmed and Tim is tasked to correct/add the proper width value to the serialization of A.1.2
- Issue 193: Reference A.1.2: Issue with label position in XML <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/193>
 - This issue was confirmed and Tim was tasked with making the correction to the A.1.2 test case
 - The Label coordinates should be added in accordance with the original label position of A.1.0 as this is a variation of that test case
- Issue 194: Reference B.1.0: Confusing label <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/194>
 - This issue was discussed and as per prior resolution we will not add the Global Task definition nor change the Label as changing the label would generate a re-test requirement of all vendors with no value add
 - Closed no action

Varia

- Trisotech will circulate a proposal for adding colors in BPMN for review/comments/enhancements from the group with the goal of making it an official BPMN MIWG White Paper that will serve as an industry guide on how to serialize colors in BPMN.

BPMN MIWG 17 Sep 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted by bpmn.io from camunda

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Subgroup will focus on the C.1.0 test case as a starting point
- The scoping of the white paper will happen in parallel

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Mathias still busy ramping up at new work. Hope to join back soon

June 2015 Demonstration

- Demo the core of diagram interchange
 - Iterative construction of a BPMN model
- Demo hidden attributes interchange and the proper persistence of extended attribute in the round trip
 - Modeler export executable model to engine, engine run (proves model executable), take the same the model into a different modeler and export it again and execute again to show nothing was lost or modified
- Demo the interchange of a single Model with extension from multiple vendors (multiple execution extensions)
 - A model contains execution extensions from various engines. Import model in tool then export to engine to execute (modeler does not modify)

Review of Opened Issues

- Nothing to report

Varia

- Denis presented to the OMG MI SIG at OMG meeting. This raised a concern on the current report of testing results from BPMN MIWG (some issue not reported)
- We will send an email asking vendors to reviews their results and report issues, following this we will start tackling the result reporting with the weekly meeting.
- Falko presented a first draft of Test Case C.1.0. We will start review it next week

BPMN MIWG 10 Sep 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Sparx is working on BPMN MIWG testing
- SAP will initiate testing of their SAP BPM product

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Discuss Test Cases Scenarios of demo
 - Denis proposed that rather than having only one test case scenario involving all vendors, we use multiple test case scenarios with various vendors participating in them. He proposed 2 initial test scenarios
 - Modeling Chain (An iteratively growing model, as per previous demos or a model in a central repository is modified by multiple tools)
 - Modification of a deployed model (An executable Model from an Engine is exported to an alternative Modeler for (minor) modifications and returned to the Engine for execution)
 - A 3rd test case scenario was also proposed out of comments from Tim, Ivana, Falko and others
 - A single BPMN model executed by multiple engines that exhibit the same behaviour
 - It was suggested that the C.1.0 test case draft could be used for this purpose
 - Following discussions we concluded that our test scenario interchange goals are to progressively demo more and more complex issues:
 - Demo the core of diagram interchange
 - Demo hidden attributes interchange and the proper persistence of extended attribute in the round trip
 - Demo the interchange of a single Model with extension from multiple vendors (multiple execution extensions)

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Discussed the current proposal and more specifically the notion of a sequence flow having both default and condition set on it.
 - We decided that the current proposal was not meeting our guidelines and requested for a new version without the dual definition of the sequence flow

Varia

- Denis to have a meeting with the MI SIG of OMG
- A white paper regarding BPM in the Clouds will be prepared check with Zbigniew if you want to contribute

BPMN MIWG 3 Sep 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Nothing to report

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- The current discussion within the sub-group is regarding the scope of the white paper (and indirectly technological scope)

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing to report

June 2015 Demonstration

- Initiated discussion of Test Case to be demonstrated
 - There seems to be a desire to include more about execution in this next demonstration
 - Four initial scenarios were proposed for discussion
 - A single BPMN model executed by multiple engines that exhibit the same behaviour
 - An Engine export a BPMN Model to another engine that can execute it
 - Individual Engines execute the role of a different performer from a BPMN collaboration model
 - A Modeler from vendor A export to an Engine from Vendor B to be executed
 - The discussion suggested that scenario 1 and 2 are much more about design and integration than demonstrating BPMN Interchange
 - We reaffirmed that our goal is not to demonstrate integration but rather BPMN interchange to the limit of its expressiveness capabilities
 - We reaffirmed that we would like to include other (North American) vendors in this demonstration
 - In an orthogonal view we discussed having more Tools involved with shallower scenarios vs less tools with deeper interchange (e.g. hidden attributes and extensions)

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - Reconfirmed Aurelien ToDo

- Issue 186: BoundaryEvents not contained in Lanes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/186>
 - Reconfirmed Falko ToDo

Varia

BPMN MIWG 9 July 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Review Cross Vendor test procedure text
 - The Cross Vendor test procedure text was accepted and released
 - Other changes were made to the procedure to complete the removal of the Result Excell sheet. Results are now automatically compiled using our test automation tools.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Working on an alternative implementation version of Test Case A.1.2
- Considering to initiating the writing of the White Paper in parallel with the development of the alternative implementation concepts

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Automation sub group did not meet last week
- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - No discussions
- Issue 186: BoundaryEvents not contained in Lanes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/186>
 - Discussion on necessity or not of having Boundary event FlowNodeRefs in lanes
 - It was proposed that a clarification statement be written to the effect that lanes should not contain FlowNodeRefs to the Boundary Events (Falko to prepare official RTF Proposal)

Varia

- Discuss next Interchange Demonstration
 - We are targeting the Jun 2015 OMG Technical Meeting in Berlin as the next BPMN MIWG Capability demonstration
 - We may also investigate the possibility of a demo at BPMNext 2015 in March
- Discuss summer break
 - BPMN MIWG will break for summer. We will reconvene on 3 Sep 2014

BPMN MIWG 2 July 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- SAP should be contributing some results shortly
- Review Cross Vendor test procedure text
 - Discussion on whether the Cross vendor tests should be optional. Decided on optional.
 - Proposed text accepted with minor changes to clarify that these tests are optional

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Meeting of the subgroup will now take place after the general meeting

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>
- Tools issue #9: Visual Comparison of Sparx Enterprise Architect 11.1 doesn't show images <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/9>
 - This issue should be closed as all results now show up correctly

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 184: Specification of 'ping' type service task <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/184>
 - Discussion on the first sentence of Operation. We decided that “An `Operation` MAY define **Messages** “ would be used.
 - Discussion on whether this issue improves interchange or is simply a work around for a simpler test case. This change would certainly make for a simpler test case of service task in A.1.1
 - The argument was made that a test case based on this proposed change would not be compliant with the current spec
 - It was then decided that this change would be proposed to the RTF but that the test case A.1.1 would only have User Tasks.
- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - It was agreed that we should generally progress with minor variations on the test cases. This seems to be too much of a variation.

BPMN MIWG 25 June 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted for Signavio 7.81.
- Review Cross Vendor test procedure text for next week as Falko was not present

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- No meeting this week.
- The subgroup is having scheduling issues

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- No meeting last week
- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>
- Tools issue #9: Visual Comparison of Sparx Enterprise Architect 11.1 doesn't show images <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/9>

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 184: Specification of 'ping' type service task <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/184>
 - Option 4 will be written as formal proposal for RTF by Eric
- Issue 187: Provide test case with different kinds of Sequence Flows <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/187>
 - A test case variation (A.2.1) will be generated by Aurelien to include a default sequence flow
 - This should bring forward potential interchange issues regarding Default Sequence flows
- Issue 186: BoundaryEvents not contained in Lanes <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/186>
 - The text need to be re-written into an actual issue text so that we can assert whether it is an issue or not.

Varia

BPMN MIWG 18 June 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Review Cross Vendor test procedure text
 - Falco underestimated the effort and also identified changes required in the current procedure (new folder structure, updating to the json file...). He will try to progress this week.
- Sparx results were removed at their demand. They did not intend their results to be publicly available as they were produced using an unreleased version of their software.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- No meeting this week

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>
 - No progress was made this week
- Tools issue #9: Visual Comparison of Sparx Enterprise Architect 11.1 doesn't show images <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/9>
 - Sparx results were removed meaning that this issue should in theory be closed but before we close the issue, there will be a validation that this does not occur for other vendors.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 178: dataOutputAssociation from startDataOutput to taskDataInput <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/178>
 - The fix was approved and this issue is now closed.
- Issue 181: Minimal specification of serviceTask for 'ping' style use case <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/181>
 - Merged as 184
- Issue 182: Proposal for relaxed data constraint in non WS-* serviceTask <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/182>
 - Merged as 184
- Issue 184: Specification of 'ping' type service task <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/184>
 - A 4th option was proposed to relax the cardinality constraint on operation to support alternative implementation

Varia

- Aurelien will open an issue regarding the sequence flow conditionExpression serialization
- Falko will open an issue on the proper serialization of attached events to task inside lanes

BPMN MIWG 11 June 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

Test Progress Review

- Ibo is preparing some results to be submitted soon
- Sparx results do not show up in the Result table an issue will be opened
- Review Cross Vendor test procedure text
 - Will be discussed next week

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- No meeting this week
- Proposals for A.1.2 REST serialization are requested from the group

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>
 - The issue was closed, we need to ensure the fix actually identifies ID duplications and Element ordering issues

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 176: Clarifications required on the usage of Human Performer <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/176>
 - Lengthy discussion
 - Concluded that within the context of BPMN MIWG "HumanPerformer" will be considered an abstract class (even though the spec does not explicitly say it)
 - Concluded that for the purpose of A.1.1 and A.1.2 test cases we will use "PotentialOwner"
 - Concluded that an Issue should be raised with the BPMN RTF regarding the unclear and incomplete treatment of "HumanPerformer" in the spec without specifying a resolution to it
 - It is not specifically stated in the spec whether "HumaPerformer" is an abstract class. It seems to be, but then the meta model (fig 10.23) depicts only one specialization. Namely "PotentialOwner", leaving in question the need for this abstraction.
 - Furthermore "PotentialOwner" seems to only cover a specific Resource Assignment pattern leaving all other resource assignment patterns serialization unclear.

Varia

- BPMN RTF Chair Meeting
 - Discussed the current status of BPMN MIWG and the answer of OMG regarding a potential Editorial version
 - Requested potential volunteer(s) to act as Editor for the new BPMN convenience document
- MI SIG
 - Trying to establish a collaboration with respect to Model Interchange within the various MIWGs
 - Seeking potential attendee to the OMG Boston Technical meeting to go do a presentation to the UML MIWG next week
 - Given no one will be available, we will seek to do this presentation at the Sept Technical Meeting

BPMN MIWG 4 June 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

BPMN MIWG Paper

- The paper was published on BPTrends: <http://www.bptrends.com/making-bpmn-a-true-lingua-franca/>
- Also added to BPMN MIWG Wiki in a Publication section: <http://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php?id=start>

Test Progress Review

- New results were contributed and added to the table of results from bpmn.io, ADONIS and EA
 - Results from Sparx are not tabulated properly in the result table

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- No meeting this week
- Proposals for A.1.2 REST serialization are requested from the group

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>
 - Identified problem that the schema validation code was not being invoked
 - But still some problems in identifying duplicated IDs and order of elements

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 176: Clarifications required on the usage of Human Performer <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/176>
 - We will further discuss next week
 - Denis suggested reading the BPEL for People docs as this was a document referenced during the development of that portion of the BPMN 2.0 spec
- Issue 181: Minimal specification of serviceTask for 'ping' style use case <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/181>
- Issue 182: Proposal for relaxed data constraint in non WS-* serviceTask <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/182>
- Issue 181 and 182 may be merged into one (Originators to decide)
- Issue 178 has a proposal to be discussed for next week

BPMN MIWG 28 May 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

BPMN MIWG Paper

- Paper submitted awaiting feedback from Editorial team at BPTrends

Test Progress Review

- Sparx partial results contributed to folder
- Camunda bpmn.io results added to folder and table
- K2 should be providing test results in the next few weeks
- Discussion on whether we should add a Cross Vendor Test
 - It was resolved that a recommendation to Cross Vendor test would be added to the MIWG test procedure so that we can experiment on test automation before making it an “required” test procedure.
 - A draft procedure text to be revised next week

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- A version of A.1.1 REST example is available for review <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-alternative-implementations/tree/master/examples/know-process>
- Proposals for A.1.2 REST serialization are requested from the group

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tools issue #8: XPath compare tools does not properly check the files against the XSD <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>
 - All agreed that schema validation is required
 - Confirmed that schema validation is part of the XPATH tool
 - Will investigate the discrepancies on element ordering and ID duplications

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 170: Potential BPMN issue surfaced by testing of Blueworks Live (April 2014 Release) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/170>
 - This issue will be broken into two separate issues:
 - One reporting the missing name element in the XSD snippet in the Spec
 - RTF Proposal discussed and approved during the meeting
 - One reporting the BlueworksLive behaviour of moving the name element in the serialization
- Issue 176: Clarifications required on the usage of Human Performer <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/176>

- The 3 potential proposals were reviewed. We will discuss next week.
- Next week we will discuss issues 176 and 181

Varia

- Denis will meet with BPMN RTF chairs next week. Topics will include:
 - BPMN MIWG proposals to date
 - Future BPMN MIWG proposals
 - OMG answer to a possible Editorial version

BPMN MIWG 21 May 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes Adopted

BPMN MIWG Paper

- Zbigniew reported that the paper was submitted and that he is waiting for their response

Test Progress Review

- Sparx Enterprise Architect provided partial (Roundtrip and Import pictures) results
- Camunda are not ready yet to provide bpmn.io results. Maybe next week.

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- This week meeting was cancelled due to lack of attendance
- A call for potential A.1.1 serialization using alternative implementation was sent to spark next week discussion.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- There seems to be an issue with the automated tests cases not properly checking the XSD correctness of the XML results. An issue was opened: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools/issues/8>

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- Everybody agreed that we should use the issue raising then proposal workflow against the current references to deal with changes and focus discussions.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 170: Potential BPMN issue surfaced by testing of Blueworks Live (April 2014 Release) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/170>
 - Discussion reported to next week because Lloyd was not present on the call
- Issue 176: Clarifications required on the usage of Human Performer <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/176>
 - A discussion on this issue took place and we discussed potential proposal to the RTF on this issue. They are now listed in the issue and everyone is invited to sound in.

- Issue 177: targetRef referencing a dataOutput instead of a dataInput <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/177>
 - Was adopted and the resolution pushed to the repository
- Issue 178: dataOutputAssociation from startDataOutput to taskDataInput <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/178>
 - This issue proposal was adopted with the improvement that we should depict the data input and data output of the process and uses these instead of a new data object. Tim will update the proposal and provide the A.1.2 updated serialization.

Varia

- Falko started a quick discussion about the proper BPMN serialization of a “black box” pool.

BPMN MIWG 14 May 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

BPMN MIWG Paper

- Reviewed the “almost” final draft of the document
- Reference to BPMN as ISO standard is missing in the intro
- Some re-arrangement of moving references to the end of the document were suggested
- Discussed the potential list of BPMN reference Book to add
- All feedback need to be in before end of day May 15

Test Progress Review

- New test results were submitted by BOC for Adonis
- New test results were submitted for iGrafx
- Potential new test results are awaited from camunda bpmn.io and Sparx

Alternative Implementation Sub Group Review

- Sub-group name selected as “Alternative Implementation Sub-group”
- Mandate:
 - “To explore the potential for interchanging alternatives to the default service implementation defined by BPMN as using WS*, XPath and XSD.”
 - This is to be within the existing specification as far as possible and specific areas mentioned as in scope included Java, JSON, REST and the writing of a white paper on the findings.
- Agenda and Minutes of the sub-group are available on the BPMN MIWG Wiki
- Next week topic of the sub-group is RESTful implementation of A.1.1

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- A bug was identified in example A.1.2 with regard to references. An issue will be opened.

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- Discussion regarding proper serialization of A.1.1.
 - Many topics chained into one another hard to resolve on a live call
- Spec references should be added as comments into the BPMN file as justification of the proposed serialization
- We need to focus the conversation on a topic by topic basis so that the conversation can lead to conclusion and not just expressing opinions
- Topic to prepare for next meeting:
 - Proper sterilization of data input and data output within a task (inputsets, outputsets, etc.)

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue 170: Potential BPMN issue surfaced by testing of Blueworks Live (April 2014 Release) <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/170>
- Issue 176: Clarifications required on the usage of Human Performer <https://github.com/bpmn-miwb/bpmn-miwb-test-suite/issues/176>

Varia

- The bpmNEXT video of the BPMN MIWG is now available a link has been added to the BPMN MIWG Wiki

BPMN MIWG 7 May 2014 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test result were submitted for IBM Blueworks Live
- New test results should come in over the next couple of days for camunda bpmn.io and BOC Adonis
- Ajay will look at starting test with the IBM BPM Designer

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report
- The sub group will now meet on a as needed basis

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- Explore the potential of a White Paper on using Java and REST as alternative implementation with BPMN
 - A new sub group was created to explore this option
 - Tim volunteered as chair of the sub group , with Falko, Lloyd , Zbigniew and Francois joining in the group
 - A name and meeting schedule will be proposed next week
- Carried out the review of test case A.1.1
 - Only Potential Owner will be used in A.1.1, we will open an issue regarding Human Performer
 - Tim and Eric confirmed the need for the Element def in the WSDL
 - Extensive discussion on namespaces and import def in BPMN
 - Extensive discussion on namespaces for Schema and WSDL of services
 - We need to further investigate the BPMN InputSet vs OutputSet def requirements of BPMN

Review of Opened Issues

- One new issue opened as a result of Blueworks Live testing

BPMN MIWG Paper

- New inputs/comments provided by Simon, Lloyd and Gero
- We will discuss the latest draft next week.

BPMN MIWG 30 Apr 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new test results were presented
- Still need to open issues against current test results for: W4, iGrafx, Camunda bpmn.io, Adonis, Oracle, IBM
- Camunda Bpmn.io and Adonis should provide some new test results for the next meeting
- Sparx say that they have greatly improved their BPMN interchange and should be providing test results soon

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report. The automated test tools are currently stable.

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- We reviewed the current version of test case A.1.1
 - More specifically the changes from Performer to PotentialOwner and Human Performer
 - And the required attributes to call a web service with no data payload
- Tim submitted a Web Service to be used within the Test Case
 - Tim and Eric are to investigate the exact required structure of the WSDL to see if only sequence def is required or if element def is also required to adhere to WSDL best practices

Review of Opened Issues

BPMN MIWG Paper

- A new draft of the BPTrend paper is available for review and more participants were invited to contribute

Varia

BPMN MIWG 23 Apr 2014 (Agenda)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- No new issues were raised on tools for the current A and B test cases. We solicited the support of all vendors to report their issues in github here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The github repository of the test suite was refactored to better align with the result table (<http://bpmn-miwg.github.io/bpmn-miwg-tools/>) naming convention
- The import/export image comparison pages were improved.
- It was noted that the images result for Import/Export of the test cases were missing from some vendors. It was suggested that we create a script to detect that in order to help correcting the issue.
- The XPath test results were also improved and with a better layout
- It was confirmed that the current XPath tests validate most of the ID round tripping

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- We presented again two potential test cases variation:
 - A.1.1 Introduce binding of user task and service task
 - A.1.2 Introduce data objects and message start/end
- Trisotech then presented its serialization for the A.1.1 test case:
 - There was an extensive discussion on the performer serialization between Performer, Human Performer and PotentialOwner proper usage.
 - There was a consensus between execution vendors that they are using PotentialOwner as their serialization of performer for user tasks
 - The serialization from Trisotech will be changed to reflect that.
 - None of the vendor present use the BPMN attributes. (rendering and implementation) to serialize the user task interface so it was decided to keep that out of scope of this test
 - There was also a discussion on the service task serialization:
 - The proposed example used a Web Service defined using a WSDL (spec default) that converted Celsius to Fahrenheit
 - Everyone agreed in principle on the proposed serialization but it was decided to use a web service without parameter to keep the data binding portion for the A.1.2 variation
 - Tim offered to host a simple web service that takes no parameters because we could not find one that exists publicly

- Trisotech will publish its serialization of the variation by the end of the week with the modifications discussed

BPMN MIWG Paper

- Zbigniew reports that a draft of the paper was completed
- He asked for interested parties to review the article
- He will send us a copy of the article before next week meeting and we will keep an agenda point for next week for feedback on the article

BPMN MIWG 16 Apr 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- File paths for W4 results were modified
- Adonis new results deployed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- There is a folder restructuring effort on the MIWG Test folder going on to simplify the file structure and auto-reporting

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- Two test case variation on A.1.0 are proposed to initiate this work
 - This will allow a first exploration into BPMN attributes not related to the diagram
 - Will bring forward discussion regarding maintaining IDs in round trip
 - Will bring forward discussions regarding extended attributes
 - Will bring forward discussions of which attributes need to be populated in interchange
- A.1.1 is adding Task types User and Service (No data involved)
 - Will bring forward discussions regarding performers
 - Will bring forward discussions regarding Service Definition
- A.1.2. is adding Data objects and Message Types to the Start and end events
 - Will bring forward discussions related to DataInputs and DataOutputs and their mapping
 - Will bring forward discussion regarding Data Structure and their manipulation

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

- A paper is being prepared on BPMN MIWG highlighting the Demo(s) that took place
 - Zbigniew is coordinating this effort with current participation from Francois and Matthias
 - BP Trends will publish in June, thus Paper need to be completed by Mid may

BPMN MIWG 9 Apr 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- We received preliminary test results from Activiti
- New test results were submitted for Adonis
- Interaction for obtaining results is taking place with: K2, SAP, IBM,WF, CRYO, Appyio, Mega
- We need to open issues against all the test results submitted: At least issue that are apparent from a visual inspection of the submitted test results
- Visual inspections of submitted results need to be carried for:
 - W4: Francois will do it
 - IGraphx : Need a volunteer to complete the work
 - Activiti : Tim will do it
 - Bpmn.io assumed that Falko will do it
 - Adonis : Zbigniew will do it
 - IBM Process Designer: Need a volunteer to complete the work
 - Oracle: Assumed that Jim will do it
 - Blueworks Live: Lloyd will do it

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Execution Test Cases Discussion

- It was agreed that test cases progressing toward Execution interchange was our next challenge
- It was agreed that small incremental steps would be favored as to ensure that conversation does not diverge into too many directions at once

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

- A press kit was assembled and distributed to: OMG, Nathaniel Palmer, Paul Harmon, Sandy Kemsley, Neil Ward Dutton, Jim Sinur, Forrester: Derek Miers and Clay Richardson, Gartner: Janelle Hill
 - March Demo Press Kit
 - The press kit is available here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2014-03-26-omg-reston-bpmnext-california/press-kit>

- HTML pages were created for each of the participating tools and are linked on the static diagram on the demo here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2014-03-26-omg-reston-bpmnext-california>
- An Interactive walkthrough of the event via the Trisotech Process Animator is also available
 - <https://www.businessprocessincubator.com/bpmnwebmodeler/?model=eyJ0IjoieYnBpLXB1YmxpYyIsImYiOnsic2t1ljoiz2V0LTExOTciLCJyZXBvc2I0b3J5SWQiOiIwIiwicGF0aCI6Ii8ifX0=&profile=socialviewer>
- White Paper of the Demo: Zbigniew with support with Francois will prepare a draft document

BPMN MIWG 2 Apr 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration review

- The demo was a great success and we all individually received a lot of positive feedback from both the California and Reston locations
- The Video of the demo and the result table have been added to the wiki page: <http://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php?id=start>
- We are putting together a Press Kit for the event. Please all participants go add your Screen captures and logo (or email them to miwg@trisotech.com) . Press Kit is here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2014-03-26-omg-reston-bpmnext-california/press-kit>
- We are also putting together a Process Animation of the demo with tool screen shots and Video clippings. Will be completed before next week.

Test Progress Review

- Activiti is joining the BPMN MIWG and will be contributing results soon.
- Other vendors have expressed interest and are in the process of joining (Appiyo, WF, CRYO)
- IBM and SAP have demonstrated interest in submitting results. They are doing internal validations.
- We will also reach out to Mega to join

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- We did a detailed walkthrough of the new Result table: <http://bpmn-miwg.github.io/bpmn-miwg-tools/>

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

BPMN MIWG 19 Mar 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- We had a very successful dry run of the demo.
- We tested both the Hangout features and Youtube livestream
- The demo/presentation will be in 3 parts
 - Denis will make a brief intro of MIWG and the Model to be demonstrated.
 - Denis will orchestrate the demo
 - Falko will present the current result table

Test Progress Review

- New results were submitted by a few vendors and are being integrated for the demo

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- We reviewed the new Web based table of results
- Also reviewed the integrated reporting of findings from the automated test

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

BPMN MIWG 12 Mar 2014 (Minute)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- Did a dry run of the demo. A few technical glitches with product versions were resolved.

Test Progress Review

- W4 submitted a full suite of test results

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The HTML result table is progressing well
- The Visual Compare tool is almost completed
- The goal is to have a single URL for the community to access the current state of testing
- There is now a Maven built of the automated test suite available for integration

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

- We will take on reporting to the BPMN 2.1 RTF upon return from the Reston/bpmNext Demo

Demo Script

Track 1

- Lloyd Dugan (lloyd@bpm.com) IBM Blueworks live first for Pool 1 (no branching)
- Aurélien Pupier (aurelien.pupier@bonitasoft.com) Bonitasoft second for Pool 1 (Autolayout and adding branching)

Track 2

- Tim Stephenson (tim@knowprocess.com) Activiti Designer first for Pool 2 (no branching)
- Antonio Palumbo (antonio.palumbo@itp-commerce.com) itp-commerce second for Pool 2 (Autolayout and adding branching)

Assembly 1 (Track 1 and Track 2)

- Zbigniew Misiak (zbigniew.misiak@gmail.com) Adonis Collate Pool 1 out of Bonitasoft with pool 2 out of itp add Pool 0 (Customer) and message flows between

Track 3

- Falko Menge (falko.menge@camunda.com) Camunda first on Pool 3 half of the pool elements
- Sven Wagner-Boysen (sven.wagner-boysen@signavio.com) Signavio second on Pool 3 other half of pool elements

Track 4

- François Bonnet (francois.bonnet@w4software.com) W4 Pool 4

Assembly 2 (Track 3 and Track 4)

- Tim Stephenson (tim@knowprocess.com) Yaoqiang Collate Pool 3 out of Signavio with Pool 4 out of W4 and add message flows between

Assembly final (Assembly 1 and Assembly 2)

- Simon Ringuette (sringuette@trisotech.com) Trisotech BPMN Visio Modeler Collate output of Adonis with output of Yaoqiang and add message flows between

Animation

- Denis Gagne (dgagne@trisotech.com) Trisotech BPMN Web Modeler animate the resulting Collaboration Model.

Post demo imports

- Jim Lange (jim.lange@oracle.com) Oracle
- Falko Menge (falko.menge@camunda.com) bpmn.js
- François Bonnet (francois.bonnet@w4software.com) W4 Execution Engine

BPMN MIWG 5 Mar 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- We have completed a first complete dry run with all the vendors present with great success. We identified the few glitches and will work on correcting them for the final presentation. It ran about 40 minutes long and the target would be to shed 10 minutes to leave 15 minutes for an introduction and conclusion from Denis.
- We now have an HTML version of the marketing material. It is only missing the link to the live webcast which will be added very soon and then the HTML version will be circulated on the mailing list.

Test Progress Review

- Francois from W4 reports that his testing has progressed a lot and they should be able to contribute results within the next 2 weeks.


Test Automation Sub Group Review

- The vendor table was updated

Review of Opened Issues

- The vendor list table (<http://bpmn-miwig.github.io/bpmn-miwig-tools/bpmn-tool-list/bpmn-tools.html>) was updated with an Open Source column and links to products. All vendors are invited to edit the json file to provide the url for their product at <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-tools/blob/gh-pages/bpmn-tool-list/tools-tested-by-miwig.json>
- The visual result comparison tool was merged to have both the 2 column layout and the vendor dropdown picker.

Varia



BPMN Interchange Demo at OMG Technical Meeting and bpmNEXT

Do you need to move your business process models from one tool to another as part of your process improvement lifecycle? Would you like to learn more about BPMN interoperability and how BPMN can benefit your organization? Interested in meeting BPMN experts and being among the first to know about current and future technologies in business process modeling and automation?

A **live demonstration of BPMN Model Interchange** will concurrently take place during the [OMG Technical Meeting](#) and [bpmNEXT](#). Sign up for either event to witness this demonstration in person.

The event will be hosted by Denis Gagne of OMG BPMN Model Interchange Working Group (BPMN [MIWG](#)) featuring a multitude of BPMN-based tools and platforms. Do not miss the opportunity to see a smooth and complete interchange of process models between leading software vendors.

Cannot make it? Then join the **live stream of the interchange demo** via this link: XXXX

Participants of the demonstration include:

- Blueworks Live from IBM
- Bonita BPM Studio Community Edition from Bonitasoft (Open Source)
- Oracle BPM Suite from Oracle
- Process Modeler for Microsoft Visio from itp-commerce
- ADONIS from BOC Group
- camunda Modeler and camunda-bpmn.js from camunda (Open Source)
- Signavio Process Editor from Signavio
- W4 BUSINESS FIRST from W4 Software
- Yaoqiang BPMN Editor from Yaoqiang
- Activiti Designer from Alfresco (Open Source)
- BPMN Visio Modeler and BPMN Web Modeler from Trisotech
- With the participation of BPM.com
- and the participation of KnowProcess.com

At a glance:

bpmNEXT

When: March 25th-27th, 2014

Interchange Demo: March 26th, 2014 at 11:00hrs (PT)

Asilomar Conference Grounds

800 Asilomar Ave, Pacific Grove, CA 93950, United States

OMG technical meeting

When: March 24th-28th, 2014

Interchange Demo: March 26th, 2014 at 14:00hrs (ET)

Where: Hyatt Regency Reston, 1800 Presidents Street, Reston, VA 20190

bpmNEXT will showcase what's next in the area of business process management from **25-27 march**. Witness the latest developments in the BPM area and see how to ensure your organization's ability with the commitment to standards, interoperability and sustainability. Learn how to continue to use the systems and technologies you currently have in place and be ahead with future technologies. Find more information about the program and the speakers at the bpmNEXT [website](#).

The **OMG technical meeting** on **24-28 march** brings together IT architects, business analysts and government experts as well as vendors and end users. Every meeting is a great opportunity to meet and network with these experts and to get a firsthand insight about how to influence the direction of future standards. Connect directly with standards specialists of the tech industry, and learn about the future landscape of enterprise technologies. Find more information on the [OMG website](#), and save the date now!

BPMN MIWG 26 Feb 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- Reviewed Marketing Piece produced by Signavio
 - We did some edits to the proposed Market piece draft
 - The edited version is above
- There are issues with the Import of the Adonis exported DI (center anchors vs edge anchors)
 - As potential solution, we will swap Adonis and Signavio in the order of the demo and quickly run through the tests again

Test Progress Review

- We reviewed the current draft of the result table and the image compare
 - The aim is to showcase these two artifact at the Interchange Demo

Test Automation Sub Group Review

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

BPMN MIWG 19 Feb 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- No significant issue raised on using the modified reference model (Labels) and script
- Tested Google Hangout as the demo platform with success
 - Create the MIWG circle for demo presenters
 - Acknowledged limitation of 10 connected participants max
 - Need to explore Youtube recording/streaming capabilities
- Shared file system testing for demo
 - Tested Google Drive
 - Google drive within Hangout will not let us use .bpm files
 - Google Drive from Cloud ..too much latency for our purpose when shared with multiple people
 - Tested Dropbox
 - Acceptable latency
 - Even better results if install the desktop version of Dropbox
- Platform Selection for Demo:
 - Hangout for orchestrating Screen shirring, Video , sound, etc
 - Dropbox for File sharing
 - We will have a subfolder with all required file prior to demo as a fall back
 - Email for safety exchange
 - We will email the file after putting them in Dropbox (just in case ;-)

Test Progress Review

Test Automation Sub Group Review

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

BPMN MIWG 12 Feb 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- Reviewed results from first round of testing the script
- Oracle will be replaced by Activiti as the first tool for pool 2
- Oracle will instead do an import at the end of the of the process animation
- (Possibility of all participating tools to do an import at the end if time permits)
- Agreed to make some minor changes to the Demo reference model
 - Use shorter labels
 - Modify Pool 1 to have a pool name: Front Office
 - Add a Lane in Pool 1 named: Account Manager
- We will be testing Google Hangout next week
 - Everyone should connect with Denis on Google+
 - We will create a MIWG Demo 2 circle
 - Possible limitation of 10 participants to Hangout to consider for our demo
 - Explore possibility of using a shared GoogleDrive, Skydrive or Dropbox for demo
 - Possibility of using a stream to YouTube for share with other venues
- Signavio will produce a promotional html file for the BPMN MIWG Demo
 - Should make use of the BPMN MIWG Logo
 - This piece will advertise the event and list participating company
 - This piece may be resent by all to their mailing to promote the event

Test Progress Review

- W4 should be submitting test results shortly

Test Automation Sub Group Review

-

Review of Opened Issues

- Issues 149 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/149> was discussed
 - Confirmed that the first interpretation ion the issue was the intended one
- Tim will produce a potential new test case or test case variation for this use case

BPMN MIWG 5 Feb 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- Reviewed Lloyd points on the reference model
 - Discussed Lloyd's document. Acknowledged issue for execution and decided to only start with Model Interchange script.
- Set the demonstration vendor order
 - Lloyd Dugan (lloyd@bpm.com) IBM Blueworks live first for Pool 1 (no branching)
 - Aurélien Pupier (aurelien.pupier@bonitasoft.com) Bonitasoft second for Pool 1 (Autolayout and adding branching)
 - Jim Lange (jim.lange@oracle.com) Oracle first for Pool 2 (no branching)
 - Antonio Palumbo (antonio.palumbo@itp-commerce.com) Itp Commerce second for Pool 2 (Autolayout and adding branching)
 - Zbigniew Misiak (zbigniew.misiak@gmail.com) Adonis Collate Pool 1 out of Bonitasoft with pool 2 out of Itp add Pool 0 (Customer) and message flows between
 - Falko Menge (falko.menge@camunda.com) Camunda first on Pool 3 half of the pool elements
 - Sven Wagner-Boysen (sven.wagner-boysen@signavio.com) Signavio second on Pool 3 other half of pool elements
 - Francois Bonnet (francois.bonnet@w4software.com) W4 Pool 4
 - Tim Stephenson (tim@knowprocess.com) Yaoquiang Collate Pool 3 out of Signavio with Pool 4 out of W4 and add message flows between
 - Simon Ringuette (sringuette@trisotech.com) Trisotech BPMN Visio Modeler Collate output of Adonis with output of Yaoquiang and add message flows between
 - Denis Gagne (dgagne@trisotech.com) Trisotech BPMN Web Modeler animate the resulting Collaboration Model.
- We will all test the above script for discussion at the next meeting. Submit your portion of the script to the GitHub moderators at MIWG@Trisotech.com
- Discussed the possibility of using Hangout so that we can do modeling in parallel. We will test this option

BPMN MIWG 29 Jan 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- We reviewed the doodle for the Interchange Demo Participation and no new vendors signed up (<http://www.doodle.com/4ese2c6mq3uipx64>) after the mailing list call for participation. Lloyd noted that he would be present in Reston to assist with the demonstration.
- We reviewed the vendor submitted serialization of the demo process (<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2014-03-26-omg-reston-bpmnext-california>) :
 - Activiti: They do not support collaboration. They have problem with properly depicting the diagrams and Tim will submit a sample serialization to see if they can be used reliably at the beginning of the chain
 - Bonitasoft: There is a coordinate translation problem with the pools content. Message flow to/from manual or user tasks is not supported. The pools do not have the proper names. Warnings are given because conditions are not set on sequence flows out of gateways. Bonitasoft will be used near the beginning of the chain before there is a collaboration to make sure that those issues are not visible in the demonstration.
 - Yaoqiang: Some minor message flow routing issue and label wrapping (see next point) is not the same but pretty close to the reference depiction.
 - Camunda: Label position (see next point) are not the same
 - itp-commerce: message flow arrows have small issues on the top pool. They will investigate the cause
 - W4: Some label position issues (see next point)
 - Adonis: Some positioning/sizing problems with the model. They will also probably need to be at the beginning of the vendor chain.
- The reference model lacks BPMN Label Position. Trisotech will provide one with Label Position. This quite probably explains why so many vendors have different label positions.
- It was decided that we would try to accommodate vendor's implementation by varying their position in the demonstration chain instead of changing too much the process from the original.
- For execution consideration and after a lengthy discussion on the semantic, we will update manual tasks to user tasks in the reference model.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- XPath tests were updated to test the March Interchange Demo model. It ran successfully on the reference and camunda's roundtrip results (they were the only ones available until a few hours before the meeting).

Review of Opened Issues

- We reviewed the results of the Issue 141 doodle vote (<http://www.doodle.com/shifbgzes2y9abu9>) and concluded that the correlation on linkEventDefinition name attribute will be our proposition to the RTF with a note that a consensus was not reached on this issue.

BPMN MIWG 22 Jan 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

March Interchange Demonstration

- We reviewed the current list of participants for the March Presentation from the Doogle Results (<http://www.doodle.com/4ese2c6mq3uipx64>). Here is a compiled table of the participants and their associated company products that they intent to demonstrate:

Participant	Demo product from
Simon Ringuette	Trisotech
Denis Gagne	Trisotech
Falko Menge	Camunda
Gero Decker	Signavio
Aurélien Pupier	Bonitasoft
Tim Stephenson	Yaoqiang (and potentially Activiti)
Antonio Palumbo	itp commerce
Zbigniew Misiak	Adonis
Francois Bonnet	W4

- These vendors are currently considering participating in the interchange demonstration: Oracle, IBM (Blueworks Live), iGrafx and Activiti.
- If they participate, Blueworks Live will need to be at the beginning of the chain because they don't model collaborations.
- All vendors interested in participating to the presentation should generate round trip serialization for the reference process at <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/tree/master/2014-03-26-omg-reston-bpmnext-california>
- We also discussed showcasing tools that can only import BPMN
- The BPMNext agenda was published and the MIWG slot is officially 45 minutes on March 26th from 11:00 to 11:45 (45 minutes before lunch). The official schedule is available here <http://www.bpmnext.com/conference-agenda/schedule/>
- Lloyd will take a first crack at splitting the reference process in steps that will be run in the different vendor tools.

Test Progress Review

- Talks with Activiti are still ongoing. More details to follow next week.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Two solutions were proposed to fill the vendor presentation grid with data that can't be derived programmatically:
 - Use google docs
 - Use a JSON file versioned on github
- It was decided to use the JSON file to better control who has access to modify the file and what was changed between versions.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #142 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/142> was addressed by Sven from Signavio and closed
- Issue #141 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/141> discussion was reopened and everyone should go cast his vote on Doodle: <http://www.doodle.com/shifbgzes2y9abu9wqx4zuae> for his chosen resolution

BPMN MIWG 15 Jan 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Prepare the March Interchange Demonstration

- Trisotech presented the Technical Support process.
- Possible changes to the process (eg. Retyping manual tasks for execution) were discussed and it was agreed that this process is a starting point and modifications are to be expected.
- It was discussed whether we should use an example that was not published before or start from a process that was published already. It was decided that an existing example would speed up the process and show that we can interexchange something that was already published and recognised as a valid BPMN example.
- Vendors participating in the March Interchange demonstration should submit roundtrip results for this process to better prepare the demonstration.
- Next week we will start discussing a scenario for the demonstration.

Test Progress Review

- Falko and Tim are trying to get Activiti (<http://www.activiti.org/>) to join the MIWG effort. They are currently interested.
- Ongoing effort is also ongoing with jBPM (<http://www.jboss.org/jbpm/>) .

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Column definition was added to the BPMN tools grid that is available at <http://bpmn-miwg.github.io/bpmn-miwg-tools/bpmn-tool-list/bpmn-tools.html>

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #141 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/141> Connection of Throwing and Catching Link Events ambiguous
 - We reviewed the results submitted in the survey at <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-link-event-survey>
 - From this survey, we could not reach a consensus as various vendors' implements the serialization differently. We will recommend to the FTF to clarify the intention.

Varia

BPMN MIWG 8 Jan 2014 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Prepare the March Interchange Demonstration

- Proposed that we use a Technical Support Collaboration BPMN Process for the upcoming demonstration. This process was previously used as an example in the BPSim Implementers guide and BPMN 2.0 by example document
- Proposed that half the interchange demo takes place in Washington other half in California
- Proposed that we do both an Interchange Demonstration and a Presentation of the current states of MIWG results
- We may want to consider separate execution of the individual realm of control during the Demo (Risky)
- The process was added to the Github repository (<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-demos/blob/master/2014-03-26-omg-reston-bpmnext-california/Reference%20Model/Technical%20Support.bpmn>)
- Vendors are encouraged to validate import of this process and we will discuss it next week
- A doodle was setup at <http://doodle.com/4ese2c6mq3uipx64> for the participation in the March demonstration. **Please go fill it.**
- It was discussed that for the development of the interchange demonstration model we would use Github to properly version our effort but we would use Dropbox during the live demonstration.

Test Progress Review

- Camunda published export results from their tool
- Camunda is currently developing a Java API to load/modify/save BPMN 2.0 models and will also provide results for that tool.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Falko demonstrated a grid to present the test results in a more user friendly manner.
- A prototype of an html generated page that showed the reference model depiction against the tool depiction of all test cases was presented and discussed.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #141 was not discussed, the diagram should be contributed before the next week meeting and vendors need to provide their serialisation of that diagram

Varia

- The GitMarkdown table idea was rejected and a new JSON table will be presented next week

BPMN MIWG 18 Dec 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted by Trisotech for the latest BPMN Web Modeler version 4.1.8

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Ongoing discussions regarding presenting test results in a positive and constructive manner

Review of Opened Issues

- To be discussed :
 - Issue #141 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/141>
Connection of Throwing and Catching Link Events ambiguous
 - Falko presented a BPMN diagram to test current state on serialization of link events
 - The diagram will be posted against the issue. All MIWG participants are requested to do an export test of this diagram using their tool and submit the results to the moderators for discussion at our next meeting
 - Volunteers were requested for issues: #51 #52 #59 #83 #92 #97

Varia

- Discussion on potential alternative to Excel for reporting of results
 - 3 proposals were discussed: Google Doc, GitMarkup, Wiki
 - Decided that GitMarkup should be prototyped to see if it is the desired solution
- BPM Next vs OMG meeting
 - The calendar conflict between BPM Next and the BPMN MIWG demonstration at OMG technical meeting in Reston is confirmed
 - However BPMNext organizers have offer a time slot where remote demonstration could jointly take place between California and Washington.
 - The group opted for the Wednesday 11:0hrs California timeslot which corresponds to the Washington 14:00hrs time slot.
 - Different ideas of scripting and video pre-production were discussed. A detail list of participant and demonstration scenario will have to be produced

BPMN MIWG 11 Dec 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Camunda 2.3.0 test s results have been analyzed and integrated
- iGrafx round trip tests have been analyzed using the Xpath tool
 - Mathias discussed the two new issues found that he opened on iGrafx #143 (No process Definition) and #145 (Missing Labels)
- Camunda BPMNJS test were analyzed
 - Noted that BPMJS is just a visualization tool and thus only Import test apply
 - Falko notes that the camunda bpmn javascript viewer is available at <https://github.com/camunda/camunda-bpmn.js/>
- ARIS tests
 - Two test result sets seem to be referring to two different tools (To be maintained separately)

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- During the last few weeks, the discussions in the subgroup have revolved around proposing a better categorization of the results by the automatic tests to better present the areas where tools shine and the areas where tools have issues.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #141 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/141> Connection of Throwing and Catching Link Events ambiguous
 - Falko will come back with a proposal for a test case.
- We reviewed and accepted the proposal of issue #94 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/94> and #98 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/98>
- Issues needing formal proposal: #51 #52 #59 #83... volunteers need simply to submit a proposal
- Issue #92 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/92> was resolved, a proposal need to be written
- Issue #93 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/93> we agreed to add 2 figures to the proposal for change. Mathias will take care of amending the initial proposal.
- Issue #97 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/97> was resolved, a proposal need to be written
- Issue #107 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/107> was voted out of scope

BPMN MIWG 4 Dec 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Yoaquiang new test results were reviewed and all issues closed except for one
- iGrafx roundtrip automated tests were partially done due to spelling error in the submitted results (Spelling corrected)
- Import and export test results from iGrafx still need to be analyzed
- Latest test results from both ARIS versions need to be analyzed
- Latest test results from Camunda need to be analyzed

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Work and discussion ongoing on best presentation of findings
- It was decided that although not ideal we will maintain the current file structure of the results repository.

Review of Opened Issues

- To be discussed :
 - Issue #82 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/82> Link Event Definition: Table 10.98 inconsistent with XSD and MM
 - Confirmed resolution and proposal as posted
 - Issue #141 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/141> Connection of Throwing and Catching Link Events ambiguous
 - Ongoing discussion of best way to serialize and correlate Link events
 - We should discuss adding a test case variation (or new test case) in the A set of test cases to gain more insight of the current serialization practices
 - Issues with proposals to be reviewed: #94 #98
 - Issues needing formal proposal: #51 #52 #59 #83...looking for volunteers
 - Issues to be discussed: #92 #93 #97 #107 #120

Varia

BPMN MIWG 27 Nov 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results from Camunda submitted
- New test results from Yoaquiang submitted
- New test results from Aris submitted
- Issues related to test results from iGrafx need to be reported in the issue list

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- To be discussed :
 - Issue #82 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/82> Link Event Definition: Table 10.98 inconsistent with XSD and MM
 - Issue #82 will be split. The original issue reporting and proposal of relaxing the Meta model was approved.
 - The follow on (link) issue of source and target serialization will be discussed next week.

Varia

- The calendar conflict between BPM Next and the BPMN MIWG demonstration at OMG technical meeting in Reston is now confirmed.
 - However BPMNext organizers have offer a time slot where remote demonstration could jointly take place between California and Washington.
 - The group opted for the Wednesday 11:0hrs California timeslot which corresponds to the Washington 14:00hrs time slot. This will be communicated to both BPM Next and OMG
 - Different ideas of scripting and video pre-production were discussed. A detail list of participant and demonstration scenario will have to be produced
- Discussion on the possibility of changing the Folder structure of our test repository to make it flatter. The Automation sub group will report on feasibility or/and benefit of doing so next week.
- Discussion about possible future work direction: New test cases vs More New Tool tests vs Improve Test Result presentation. All three considered important but given that we currently have 1 test case variation to complete (A.4.1) and one new test case (The Berlin demo model) to

be added, we should concentrate on testing more tools while the automation group to concentrate on better result presentation.

- Discussed the possibility of making meeting biweekly rather than weekly. Decided to maintain weekly meeting in order to maintain momentum of the group

BPMN MIWG 20 Nov 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- iGrafx tests are integrated in the git repository and the test matrix.
- Sven from Signavio should provide a fix for the label positions on the test case 4.1

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nobody from the Test Automation Sub Group attended the meeting.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #82 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/82> Link Event
Definition: Table 10.98 inconsistent with XSD and MM
 - This issue was lengthy discussed and those discussions will continue next week with hopefully Falko being present (he opened the issue). We decided on the first part of the issue that the source and target should be optional in the meta model as they are in the xsd. The discussion on Link Event correlation is was not completed.

Varia

BPMN MIWG 13 Nov 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- iGrafx testing is well underway. Testing for the A test cases (A1.0 through A4.1) were done. Fatma will send the test results soon to be integrated in the matrix and will open the bugs in github for the issues found.
- It was discussed that there was still 3 open issues on the test case A4.1. An email was sent to Sven to provide an update on those issues.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tim demonstrated an upcoming (soon) new feature of the test reports that highlight in the XML the differences between the reference and the tested output.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #85 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/85> Issue 14333: Page 251, Start Event on the boundary of a sub-process (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Duplicate of issue #98 for which we already have a resolution
- Issue #84 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/84> Issue 14709: Multiple processes per participant (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Out of Scope returned to RTF
- Issue #83 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/83> Issue 14704: optional source and target refs for data association (bpmn2-rtf)
 - A vote was taken in favor of this issue. A proposal need to be prepared.
- Issue #82 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/82> Link Event Definition: Table 10.98 inconsistent with XSD and MM
 - We decided to wait for next week to tackle this issue when Falko should be on the call

Varia

BPMN MIWG 6 Nov 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- IGrafx testing has started using the 6 Sigma Version. It is not clear to us if this version allow BPMN interchange. We will reach out to iGrafx for clarifications.
- It is suggested that each vendor should use the posted results from other vendors as sources for further interchange testing using their tool.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Review issues with proposals:
 - Issue #120 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/120> Missing Compensation Marker
 - Proposal accepted. Need to decide if we report this in the BPMN MIWG report or as an issue to OMG
 - Issue #99 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/99> Issue 18256: flowNodeRefs containment and subprocess clarification (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Proposal Accepted
 - Issue #98 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/98> Issue 17047: Incoming sequence flow at start event (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Proposal will be modified by removing the second bullet and then reviewed again by the group
 - Issue #94 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/94> Issue 15428: Definition of Association is to strict (bpmn2-rtf)
 - The proposal format will be modified to simplify the work of the BPMN Editor and then be reviewed again by the group
- To be discussed :
 - Issue #89 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/89> Issue 14815: Link Events - Constraints and Usage not clearly documented (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Out of Scope returned to RTF
 - Issue #88 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/88> Issue 14790: Section 8.3.3 [Data] Inconsistency between usage of expressions in Sequence Flows and in Data Associations (bpmn2-rtf)

- Out of scope returned to RTF
- Issue #87 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/87> Issue 14784: Define "Pool" (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Out of scope returned to RTF
- Issue #86 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/86> Issue 14653: Message flow to/from events in Collaboration diagrams (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Out of scope returned to RTF

Varia

BPMN MIWG 30 Oct 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- More Aris Business Architect results were submitted and verified using the automated test suite
- Results were compiled in the Excel sheet and appropriate issue raised
- We have reached out to iGrafx to obtain licenses for testing purposes (awaiting response)
- MITRE will seek a volunteer to carry out the iGrafx test using their own licences.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Jenkin server issue resolved

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #92 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/92> Issue 15255: Define rules for placement of multiple activity markers (bpmn2-rtf)
 - The fact is that chapter 12 clearly specifies the order of the makers. More specifically section 12.3.2 states
 - “The Compensation marker may be combined with a Loop Characteristic Marker. All the markers that are present must be grouped and the whole group centered to the bottom of the shape. See Figure 12.7 - Combined Compensation and Loop Characteristic Marker Example.”
 - So the order is as follows:
 - (Left) Loop Characteristic {Exclusive between, Loop, MI Para, MI Seq}, Compensation
 - (Middle) Collapsed
 - (Right) Ad hoc
 - Even though the order of the markers is clearly specified in chapter 12.3.2 some figures in the spec are incorrectly depicted. Particularly figures: 10.47, 10.48, 10.49
 - A proposal will be prepared for the BPMN RTF
- Issue #90 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/90> Issue 14879: The spec should clearly state what visual features are available for extensions and which are restricted to core spec (bpmn2-rtf)
 - This issue does not directly affect current interoperability and is left to BPMN RTF to clarify as they see fit.
- Next week will review issues with proposals:
 - Issue #120 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/120> Missing Compoensation Marker

- Issue #99 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/99> Issue 18256: flowNodeRefs containment and subprocess clarification (bpmn2-rtf)
- Issue #98 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/98> Issue 17047: Incoming sequence flow at start event (bpmn2-rtf)
- Issue #94 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/94> Issue 15428: Definition of Association is too strict (bpmn2-rtf)
- Next week we will be discussing :
 - Issue #89 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/89> Issue 14815: Link Events - Constraints and Usage not clearly documented (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #88 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/88> Issue 14790: Section 8.3.3 [Data] Inconsistency between usage of expressions in Sequence Flows and in Data Associations (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #87 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/87> Issue 14784: Define "Pool" (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #86 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/86> Issue 14653: Message flow to/from events in Collaboration diagrams (bpmn2-rtf)

Varia

- We have confirmed that the event conflict between BPM Next and the OMG Reston meeting will be maintained. We will have to discuss how we address this.

BPMN MIWG 23 Oct 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes were approved with corrections already applied

Test Progress Review

- We received new test results regarding Aris tools. The automation subgroup will run the automated tests to report findings.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report
- Falko, Matthias and Zbigniew have prepared a white paper on BPMN DI for the OCEB2 project at OMG. They will use this document to also promote BPMN MIWG.

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #99 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/99>: Issue 18256: flowNodeRefs containment and subprocess clarification (bpmn2-rtf)
 - The proposal will be modified to explain that this issue was de-scoped to two more use cases of containment
- Issue #95 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/95>: Issue 15509: Left over restrictions on use of Start and End Events (bpmn2-rtf)
 - The proposal included in the original issue is accepted as is.
- Issue #94 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/94>: Issue 15428: Definition of Association is to strict (bpmn2-rtf)
 - After long discussion, it was concluded that the issue is valid and that a new text correcting this issue will be generated.
- issue 118 (<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/118>) "Connecting a Message via an association to a MessageF"
 - Clarifications were offered regarding the Message decorator. The message decorator can only appear on the Message Flow. In the case of Choreography Activities the message decorator as a tether that looks like an association but is not one.
- Next week we will be discussing
 - Issue #92 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/92> Issue 15255: Define rules for placement of multiple activity markers (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #90 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/90> Issue 14879: The spec should clearly state what visual features are available for extensions and which are restricted to core spec (bpmn2-rtf)

Varia

- Nathaniel Palmer is looking at alternative scheduling for BPM Next during the same to try to accommodate the conflict with the spring OMG meeting.

BPMN MIWG 16 Oct 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- On last week minutes, the name of the company should have been ibo (<http://www.ibo.de>) and not EBO. The correction was made.

Test Progress Review

- JBoss responded to our invitation to join the group. They are currently rushing to finish their Eclipse BPMN modeler and have used the test suite to validate their tool. They should fix their issues and may in the future contribute their results/join the group.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Some progress was made on both tools, no new findings

Review of Opened Issues

- Issue #96 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/96>: Issue 15532: Page 182. Missing Start event triggers for Event Sub-Process (bpmn2-rtf)
 - This issue was voted and the resolution posted.
- Issue #98 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/98> : Issue 17047: Incoming sequence flow at start event (bpmn2-rtf)
 - This issue was discussed and Mathias will create a proposal for the resolution and attach it to the issue.
- Next week we will be discussing
 - Issue #99 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/99>: Issue 18256: flowNodeRefs containment and subprocess clarification (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #95 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/95>: Issue 15509: Left over restrictions on use of Start and End Events (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #94 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/94>: Issue 15428: Definition of Association is too strict (bpmn2-rtf)

Varia

BPMN MIWG 9 Oct 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- We are continuing our vendor outreach work. Bonitasoft, iGrafx, ibo, jBoss have been contacted
- Bonitasoft participated to today's meeting
- Oracle is still preparing their test results

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Work is still ongoing on both automated test suites
- The XML compare tool now consider the default expression attribute

Review of Opened Issues

- issue #91 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/91> Issue 15171: Messages and User Tasks (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Discussion with S White confirmed that issue #91 should be deferred to a later version of BPMN as it does not impair the current state of BPMN interchange, but it remains an issue with potential later interchange of BPMN implementation details.
- Issue # 99 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/99> Issue 18256: flowNodeRefs containment and subprocess clarification (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Aurélien, the issue originator, mentioned that this issue was raised for clarification purposes from his observation of differing serializations from various tools.
 - Discussion confirmed that there was no need for this duplication of information, that the current BPMN MIWG test cases did not do that duplication, and the current reported test results from the various vendors did not carry that duplication.
 - A proposal for a clarification text and its location within the spec will be prepared for the RTF
- Issue #104 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/104> Labels do not have bounds
 - Reference test case A.4.1 will be fixed shortly to address this issue
- Issue #105 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/105> Extra pool added around laneset
 - It was proposed and approved to maintain that extra pool in test case A.4.1, as test case A.4.0 test the case of where there is no pool around the laneset.
 - The pool will have to carry an appropriate label and the serialization of this collaboration will have to address this new participant.
- Issue #106 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/106> Extra un named lane added in Pool
 - It was proposed and approved to maintain that extra lane in test case A.4.1, as test case A.4.0 test the case of where there is no lane in the pool.

- The lane will have to be labeled appropriately to ensure that input test can be correctly carried out
- Next week we will be discussing
 - Issue #98 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/98> Issue 17047: Incoming sequence flow at start event (bpmn2-rtf)
 - Issue #96 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/issues/96> Issue 15532: Page 182. Missing Start event triggers for Event Sub-Process (bpmn2-rtf)

Varia

- Vendors wanting a quick test result can simply run the Round trip test procedure and provide the test results to the BPMN MIWG team who carry out an analysis or alternatively make a software licence available for testing purposes.

BPMN MIWG 2 Oct 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Conversations regarding potential participation is ongoing with:
 - Bonitasoft
 - IBO from Germany
 - Esteco
- We should reach out to more vendors to contribute test results (suggested organizations include: iGrafx, Software Ag, jBoss, Modliosoft, IBM , SAP..)
- Suggested we make use of current mailing list to spark more participation
 - Propose to first run the Round Trip tests that could then be analyzed by the Automation Subgroup.
 - Provide the email address where test results can be submitted to remove the github contribution barrier

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Nothing new to report

Review of Opened Issues

- Still awaiting feedback from S White on issue #91 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/91> Issue 15171: Messages and User Tasks (bpmn2-rtf)
- Next week we will be discussing and preparing a proposal for issue 99:
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/99> Issue 18256: flowNodeRefs containment and subprocess clarification (bpmn2-rtf)

Varia

BPMN MIWG 25 Sept 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Oracle still working on preparing test results
- We are awaiting for Sven to join back the group to discuss the issues related to reference model A.4.1

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Xpath test: the technical foundations have been laid to better identify the location of the automated findings within the XML serialization. Remains to resolve integration with the presentation layer.
- XML test: work is still on going to improve results reporting

Review of Opened Issues

- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/91> Issue 15171: Messages and User Tasks (bpmn2-rtf)
 - A proposal from Matthias (No Changes Required) was discussed within the group
 - The group recognize the issue as one of interchange of executable models, but given the current market state of interchange recommend that no changes are required.
 - We will reach to Steve White (Issue Originator) to seek his input prior to BPMN MIWG making a decision

Varia

- We should try to coordinate between BPM Next and OMG so that members of the BPMN MIWG have the possibility of both attending the BPM Next Conf in California at the beginning of the week and participate to the Interchange demonstration at the OMG meeting in Washington at the end of the week.
- The OCEB 2 group is looking for volunteers to prepare a white paper on BPMN interchange. A simple to read variation of chapter 13.

BPMN MIWG 18 Sept 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Jim from Oracle should be sharing his testing result with the group next week
- Simon will contact Sven from Signavio regarding the issues still pending for the reference model A.4.1

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tim could not reach Sven to investigate last week report of an issue in the XML compare tool regarding itp-commerce results
- In the generated reports, the elements are now tagged with Equivalent or Investigate. An Equivalent report signifies an XML difference that was discussed in a meeting and that was accepted as being an equivalent.
- We discussed about whether the current version of the automated testing tools flag changed IDs as error on roundtrip tests.
- An issue discovered with the camunda automated tests showed that the diagram resolution in the reference models has a very fine precision (96.000002....) and camunda was rounding up the result to 96.0.
 - It was decided that the reference models should be changed to be rounded at 96.0
 - It was decided that the result comparison tools would be changed to validate the equality at a maximum resolution of 2 decimal.

Review of Opened Issues

- Matthias presented his resolution for the issue #93 (<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/93>). His resolution was accepted.

Varia

BPMN MIWG 11 Sept 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- There are still 3 open issues on the A.4.1 test variation and vendors would like them to be addressed before testing this variation case. Sven was on the call but seemed to be away when we wanted to discuss the issues. We will review them in the next meeting.
- W4 are still carrying out internal testing and are not yet ready to publish them
- Boris reports that he tried to import BPMN 2.0 XML in ARIS 9 but only the server version of the software supports it. He will only be able to test the export of BPMN 2.0 in ARIS 9.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Presentation of the summarized results for the XPATH testing. The results are available at the URL: <http://tools.bpmn-miwg.cloudbees.net/>
- It was discussed that issues regarding the Test Automation software would be raised in the bpmn-miwg-test-suite project.
- An issue with the results with the XML compare tool for itp-commerce was discussed and further investigation is required.

Review of Opened Issues

Varia

- The BPMNext versus OMG Reston technical meeting conflict for March 2014 was not addressed. It will be addressed in 2 weeks.
- A Youtube video is now available from the OMG Meeting roundtrip demonstration from Berlin: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3RauYmE2fJ4>

BPMN MIWG 4 Sept 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- There is now a A.4.1 test variation that needs to be tested against by the different tools
- Oracle is progressing on their testing
- W4 is carrying out internal testing that should be publically provided shortly
- ARIS has provided a first patch for BPMN export, but this patch does not yet completely resolved the exporting issue

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Presentation of the current XML compare visualization
 - Findings are listed, followed by the XML of both the reference and the tool under test
 - Still working on hyperlinking the finding to the line position in the XML
- Proposed that the finds be classified by importance e.g. info, warning, finding) so that they could be filtered out when presented to end users

Review of Opened Issues

- We need volunteers to take responsibility to prepare proposals to the issues tagged as BPMN RTF
- Vendors should review opened issues against their tools

Varia

- BPMN now an ISO standard
- BPMNext and OMG Reston technical Meeting Conflicts in March 2104
 - Date and location of both events are fixed and at opposite end of the country
 - A lot of participants will want to be at both
 - Will have to find a way to ensure maximum participation and visibility to the MIWG demo
- A video of the Berlin demo is being put together by BOC

BPMN MIWG 31 Jul 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Aris is working on a patch for their Version 9.0 BPMN 2.0 import capability. We will resume testing of Aris upon reception of the new version.

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Work is being done to align the Visualization of findings with the two current automated test tools.

Review of Opened Issues

- A new issue was raised against itp Commerce based on the XPATH automated tests:
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/113>

Varia

- A short video of the Berlin meeting is being produced

BPMN MIWG 24 Jul 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted by itp Commerce
- Expected new test results from Sparx and Oracle for August
- Given changes to the B.2.0 reference files both Signavio and IBM tests need to be redone
- We will review the Result excel sheet and identify test that needs to be re-run based on the date of the references files and the date of test results were submitted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Tim presented a new interface to explore findings from the automated tests. The interface put both the reference and tool under test serializations side-by-side.
- Two new issues were raised against Signavio based on the XPATH automated tests:
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/110>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/111>

Review of Opened Issues

- No new proposals available against existing issues

Varia

- Reached out to Yoaquiang Shi to join the group
- OMG press release of BPMN MIWG Berlin success is being picked up very well on the wire and social media. All participants are invited to promote it or put out their own press release.

BPMN MIWG 17 Jul 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New test results added for Trisotech BPMN Web Modeler
- New issues opened:
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/107>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/106>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/105>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/104>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- New version of XPATH test for B.2.0 committed (including issue #102 changes)

Review of Opened Issues

- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/102> Marked Closed
- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/101> Marked Closed. Could not remove the content of the Call Activity calling a sub-process without creating invalid file thus maintained the content definition.
- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/100> Proposal from Lloyd discussed in details. Conclusions: Maintain automated test as is until further evidence or vendor request for changes are raised. Close this issue.
- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/97> Proposal from Falko discussed and approved. This proposal shall be put in our BPMN 2.1 Proposal report. Closed the issue and tag it as RTF Proposal.

Varia

- Falko presented a BPMN MIWG logo that was accepted by the group

BPMN MIWG 10 Jul 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- New Test Case Variation added : A.4.1 <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/tree/master/A%20-%20Fixed%20Digrams%20with%20Variations%20of%20Attributes/Reference>
- New Test Results added: Camunda
- New Tool Test Results added: Camunda BPMN JS

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Working on ideas to make results of automated tests easier to digest. Target before Aug break
- XML test: adding test results configuration capability

Review of Opened Issues

- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/102> Marked as a Bug against the Reference Test Case
- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/101> Marked as Bug against the Reference Test Case. B.1.0 will not have the Called Element definitions and B.2.0 will have the Called element definition
- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/100> Proposal to be prepared by Lloyd for next week
- Discussion about procedure for addressing issues tagged as RTF. Individual should claim issues of interest to them and prepare a proposal. Proposal must address Metamodel changes, Schema changes and Text Changes proposals. Once proposal ready to be discussed by the group it should be tagged PROPOSAL
- <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/82> Discussions of the proposal by Falko. Flako will review his proposal according to group comments.

Varia

- Press release of Berlin meeting is being prepared. Only missing Yaoquaing approval. Maybe ok as it is an opensource.
- Berlin Photo and Denis' Presentation were added to the wiki: <http://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php?id=start>
- Flako did a presentation of Screenshot of the Berlin Demo. Presentation will be posted to the wiki as soon as available.
- We will now grant Github contributor's right to anyone who requests it. (For issue claiming and tagging)

BPMN MIWG 3 Jul 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes are adopted

Test Progress Review

- Trisotech presented the 5 changes that were made to the reference models and how this affects previously run tests both manually and automatically.
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/55>
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/57>
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/59>
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/80>
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/81>

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Matthias demonstrated how the XPath testing tool was used to find issue 100
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/100>Additionally

Review of Opened Issues

- Lloyd will investigate issue 100 and report next week

Varia

- A lot of RTF issues were created this week from the Berlin Meeting. Everyone that wanted to be involved in the resolution of those issues should take ownership of them
 - o <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues?labels=BPMN+RTF&page=1&state=open>

BPMN MIWG 26 Jun 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Berlin Meeting follow-up

BPMN RTF Issues

- Links to BPMN RTF issues that were accepted for resolution by the BPMN MIWG will be entered into the BPMN MIWG issue list with RTF tag

Video Post prod

- Raw video of the Berlin demo will be uploaded shortly
- Berlin participating vendors will provide screenshots of their contribution to Denis for assembly

Promotion of our success

- 2 press releases from OMG will be issued. Summary of technical meeting and one BPMN MIWG specific
- Blog post on the Berlin meeting from Tim is here:
<http://knowprocess.wordpress.com/2013/06/21/berlin-business-process-and-a-fish-tank/>

Test Progress Review

- Added results of Eclipse BPMN modeling tool
- Added results of the Oracle tool

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- We reviewed the current Result sites new HTML layout
- Two Issues were identified out of the automated test Findings
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/76>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/77>

Review of Opened Issues

- Trisotech will contribute new BPMN serialization of the Reference test cases to address issues:
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/55>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/57>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/59>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/80>
 - <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/81>

Varia

- An OMG Architecture Board (AB) Model Interchange Special Interest Group (MI SIG) was chartered in Berlin
- Denis Gagne from Trisotech and Ed Seidewitz from Ivar Jacobson International are co-chairs of the MI-SIG
- MI-SIG is an umbrella organization for the independent MIWGs (BPMN, UML, etc) for potential experience and test case sharing and also for reporting purposes to the OMG AB

BPMN MIWG 19 Jun 2013

Berlin Face to Face Meeting (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Morning: Work Session

- Review of issues delegated from BPMN 2.1 RTF for potential MIWG work effort
 - We reviewed all Issues suggested by the BPMN 2.1 RTF and identified a number of issues to be address by BPMN MIWG. Need to decide where to list them.
- Pruning the Attributes in the BPMN Attribute Coverage map
 - It was decided that list of attribute would remain as is for the purpose test Case coverage of BPMN MIWG.
 - A separate subgroup may be put in place to review attributes if enough interest is expressed
- Defining some new test case variations and new test cases
 - Two new test cases were approved during the meeting
 - A.4.1 will be a vertical variation of test case A.4.0. The reference test case to be provided by Signavio
 - C.1.0 will be the example used for the chain demo of the berlin meeting. The reference test case will be provided by Camunda.

Afternoon: Showcasing

- Presentation of current status of MIWG. Slides to be posted on Wiki.
- Interchange Demonstration
 - Avery successful and very well received chain demo took place.
 - Invoicing process example
 - BOC: Model User pool details
 - Signavio: Augment diagrams with Systems pool and lane details
 - BPI: Add second lane details
 - Yoaquiang: add last lane details
 - Camunda: Augment Model for execution and then execute
 - BOC: Re –open the drawing of the then executed version
 - After the chain demo, W4 also then opened the resulting file
 - Point to point interchange demonstration
 - Camunda - Signavio
 - BPI - W4

BPMN MIWG 12 Jun 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Group is progressing with discussion on best way to report automated test results
- XPATH test v1 for all current test cases now completed. Still need some work
- XML compare results is progressing
- Automated test results are now available on the repository site here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools>
or directly here: <http://tools.bpmn-miwg.cloudbees.net/>

Test Progress Review

- New test results from itp Commerce added to the repository
- New test results from Trisotech BPMN Web Modeler added to the repository
- Oracle may do initial testing by next week
- Camunda BPMN Javascript renderer import test may be provided by next week

Face to Face Meeting Planning

- Added Yaoqiang to the chain demo and redistributed responsibilities for drawing during demo

Varia

- New web meeting schedule for rest of the year will be circulated with no meetings in August
- Web conference will be set up for Berlin face to face meeting

BPMN MIWG 5 Jun 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- We now have a Jenkin server for the automated tests and a Maven generated site for the results
- First version of XPATH test for B.2.0 is now completed
- XML test results presentation is progressing

Test Progress Review

- BPI, BOC, Oracle, and Sparx are targeting to have some test results contributed before the Berlin meeting

Face to Face Meeting Planning

- Current Draft Agenda for the Face to face meeting:
- Morning: Work Session
 - Pruning the Attributes in the BPMN Attribute Coverage map
 - Defining some new test case variations and new test cases
 - Review of issues delegated from BPMN 2.1 RTF for potential MIWG work effort
 - Forward looking discussions
- Afternoon: Showcasing
 - Showcase current status of MIWG
 - Showcase Automated test suite and it results
 - Interchange Demonstration
 - Point to point interchange demonstration
 - Camunda - Signavio
 - BPI - W4
 - BOC – Camunda
 - BPI - Yoaquiang
 - Chain|Pipe interchange script
 - Invoicing process example
 - BOC: Model the Human pool details and black box the System pool
 - Signavio: Augment diagrams with Systems pool details
 - BPI: Make editorial changes and Simulation of the model
 - Camunda: Augment Model for execution and then execute
 - BOC: Re –opn the then executed version of the Model

Varia

BPMN MIWG 29 Mai 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- XML Comparing tool is progressing but not completed
- XPATH test for B.2.0 is progressing but not completed
- Working is ongoing on making automated test easier to consume

Test Progress Review

- Introduction of the BPMN Attribute coverage map
 - Will be used for the purpose of deciding on vetting test case variations and new test cases
 - Still requires some Quality Checks and pruning of attributes

Review of Issues

Varia

- Face to face meeting
 - The meeting will be divided into two part:
 - Morning: Work Session
 - Pruning the Attributes in the BPMN Attribute Coverage map
 - Defining some new test case variations and new test cases
 - Forward looking discussions
 - Afternoon: Showcasing
 - Showcase current status of MIWG
 - Showcase Automated test suite and it results
 - Interchange Demonstration
 - Point to point interchange
 - Chain|Pipe interchange
 - This early in our effort we will offer a scripted demo as maximise value of the demo
 - Should make sure that Showcase is promoted to Meeting attendees
 - Pre event emails
 - Plenary Lunch announcement
 - Organizations committed to interchange demonstration: BOC, BusinessProcessIncubator, Camunda, (Signavio?) (Yoaquiang?)

BPMN MIWG 22 Mai 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Jenkins server for running automated tests
- Working on a more human readable form of results reports
- Tools to be individually named with a name hinting as to the test purpose
- Automated tests reveals a lot of minors issues to be reviewed

Test Progress Review

- New test results submitted for IBM
- New Test results submitted for Camunda
- Discussion on pruning test results of multiple version of the same product. It was decided that for the moment we will maintain all versions. We may decide to later prune out results from some tools that get updated on a rapid deploy cycles (e.g. open source and web based tools)

Review of Issues

Varia

- Face to face meeting: Please fill the doodle survey as to allow for proper planning of the face to face meeting
- Test Coverage Excell sheet to be posted by next meeting

BPMN MIWG 15 Mai 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Automation Sub Group Review

- Repository for subgroup can be found here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-tools>
- All tools resulting from the Test Automation Subgroup will be open source using the MIT licence
- A first Test Automation tool was introduced for Test Case B.1

Test Progress Review

- We have 8 tools that are currently being tested.
- Testing of the new upcoming version of Oracle BPM Studio should begin shortly

Review of Issues

- Issue #7 is closed: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/7>
 - A BPMN RTF issue was raised regarding Label Justification:
- Issue #30 was discussed: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/30>
 - A BPMN RTF issue was raised to remove the gatewayDirection attribute as we fail to see the value from this attribute and it may lead to conflict with actual model <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/51>
- Issue 45 was discussed: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/45>
 - Discussion on label/name of dataStore and dataStoreReference and whether there is precedence of the dataStore name
 - It was decided that a separate and different name/label for dataStore and dataStoreReference should be introduced into latest test case variations

Varia

- Meeting with BPMN RTF
 - Denis reported on his meeting with the Chairs for the BPMN 2.1 RTF
- OMG Model Interchange SIG Charter
 -

BPMN MIWG 1 Mai 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Discussed how to deal with tools that use XPDl vis BPMN file interchange format. Documented procedure in the README file of repository: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite#4-bpmn-import-and-export-not-supported>

Review of Issues

- Issue 31 is closed: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/31>
- Re-iterated the need for Issues to be atomic so that they can be managed and closed separately
- Discussion on the result submission and tagging limitation of GitHub. Procedure clearly defined in the README file of repository here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite#3-submit-your-test-results-to-bpmn-miwg>

Varia

- Decided to create a Test Automation Subgroup. Group will be under the leadership of Falko. Initial subgroup members to include: Tim, Sven and Matthias.
- Meeting with BPMN RTF scheduled Next week. Will report at next meeting

BPMN MIWG 24 Apr 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- We now have a number of tests that have been done from different tools.
- The back log of transferring the test results into the agreed format for both the Excel sheet and Issue reporting is almost completed by the Repository Moderators
- We agreed on a disclaimed sentence to be added at the top of the Excel grid of results
- We agreed that tools that DO support the BPMN notation for diagramming but DO NOT support neither BPMN serialization for import or export would still be listed and that the test results would reflect either the lack of serialization capability or state an alternative serialization when one is available. In both these cases, a test not completed would also be added.

Review of Issues

- There is still one issue regarding labels open against the Test Cases that will be addressed as priority:
<https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite/issues/7>
- As a best practice, Issues should be atomic (i.e. only pertain to one un decomposable issue) and the issue text should include the Tools under test, the Particular test procedure (Import, Export, Round Trip) and the Test case Number

Varia

- We agreed to remove the Test Case variant for vertical lane and pools for the moment. Or at least until we have the Coverage Map completed to better decide of breadth and depth value.
- We did a quick review of the coverage map work in progress

BPMN MIWG 17 Apr 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes adopted

Test Progress Review

- Discussion points:
 - Definition of “test completed” “test completed without issues” and “test completed with issues”
 - Result of tests can be : Test Completed or Test Completed with Issues
 - The date of the test should also be provided for each test
 - When test issues are identified they should be reported in the Issue tool of the Repository with a tag identifying the tool being tested.
 - Dashboarding of test results and issues
 - We will maintain only when excel document with both the Test case structure and test case results.
 - Test Case Variations.
 - (How do we decide on them? Enclosing Pool for Test cases B1 and B2, Vertical Pools and lanes)
 - We should aim to keep the number of test cases to a minimum and only seek to add test case variations that adds coverage in either depth or breadth of the BPMN elements and attributes
 - Should the serialization be as minimal as possible (i.e. optimized) or as long as it is valid everything should go through?
 - The criteria should only be that serialization is schema correct and semantically correct
 - Should test cases be of only what is serializable (e.g. label position, label on associations)
 - The guideline agreed was that if it is in the diagram it should be serialized

Coverage Map

- A Test Cases vs BPMN Elements and Attributes coverage map is being prepared. This will serve to identify new test cases needed or decide on the validity of test case variations.

Varia

- First face to face meeting will take place in Berlin on Jun 19 th. The meeting will take place at the OMG technical meeting (Same location). Details of registration for non OMG members to follow.
- If there is interest, Signavio is offering to organize a get together at their office after the meeting

BPMN MIWG 3 Apr 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Progress Review

- Test results are starting to come in on the first set of test cases. We already have some results from two tools.
- We confirmed that we will compile Test Results in the same Excel sheet as the test Structure. We should refrain from using terms such as pass or fail for test results and rather use language such as Completed with issues or Completed without Issues.
- A request was made to add a variation on both B.1.0 and B.2.0 test cases to add a pool around the laneset of the process under focus.

Test Procedure Document

- Discussed, reviewed and approved documentation of test procedures which were added to the ReadMe file of the repository. Available at bottom of page here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite>

Test Case Structure and Repository Document

- Discussed, reviewed and approved the description of the repository structure and file naming convention for the test results. Also available at bottom of page here: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwg/bpmn-miwg-test-suite>

Coverage Map

- Work has started on a coverage map. The map will list on one axis all BPMN elements and attributes (both with and without depiction) and on the other axis all Reference test cases.
- This will allow the working group to ensure that new proposed reference test cases add value to the test suite. Value being contributed by adding either breadth or depth of BPMN elements and attributes coverage.

Varia

- The first Face to face meeting is schedule for Jun 19, 2013 in Berlin during the OMG technical meeting.

- The idea will be to have a combination of work session and interchange demonstration.

BPMN MIWG 27 Mar 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Minutes approved

Test Case Procedure and Repository Revision

- We should prepare a Test Procedure document that explains the 3 test procedures, namely: import, export, round trip
- We should prepare a Test Case Structure and Repository document explaining the test case structure and how to access the test cases from the repository
 - A first set of notes on Github were discussed
- We should prepare a Coverage Map containing a cross check of BPMN element and attributes vs Test Cases

Varia

- Nothing added

BPMN MIWG 13 Mar 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Adopted

Test Case Repository Progress Review

- First look at the Github repository for BPMN MIWG
 - Organization page: <https://github.com/organizations/bpmn-miwig>
 - Repository: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite>
- Introduction of the BPMN MIWG test Case Structure: <https://github.com/bpmn-miwig/bpmn-miwig-test-suite/blob/master/BPMN%20MIWG%20Test%20Case%20Structure.xlsx>
- Discussions on Text Case creation:
 - The lack of “one version of the truth” in BPMN was discussed
 - Acknowledged that the BPMN 2.0 Specification Text is to prevail over figures, tables and other artefacts
 - UML MIWG mode of operations for creating Test Cases was discussed
 - Decided that individual (Vendor or not) could submit Test Case proposals to be used as reference point:
 - Test Cases should include: Test Case goal and description, BPMN diagram Image and BPMN Serialization and the identifying the source tool used to create
 - Submitted Test Case Proposals would be reviewed by the BPMN MIWG for potential inclusion into the Test Suite granted that the proposed Test Case(s) bring(s) further breadth or depth to the existing baseline.
- Presentation and discussion regarding a first set of Test Cases submitted by Business Process Incubator to be used as initial test suite baseline.

Creation of Subgroup(s)? (Test Case Editing, Test Result Moderation, etc...)

- It was decided that sub-groups or task forces would be created as needed while progressing with our efforts.
- No pre-defined sub-groups were created

Market Acceptability of the effort (Business/Vendors/End Users)

- Reinforced that the goal of BPMN MIWG is to support and promote interchange by constructively seeking BPMN vendor engagement in the MIWG.

Varia

- We skip one week of meetings. Meeting again on 27 Mar 2013.


BPMN MIWG 6 Mar 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Adopted

Report back on Legalese

Answer from Andrew:

- 1) Can you please tell us under what licence will the resulting work of the BPMN MIWG will be made available to the public
 - The short answer to your question is "Whatever licence terms the authors impose, provided only that the documents are not confidential".
 - The longer, less-obscure answer: <http://doc.omg.org/ipr>
 - Any documents that any OMG Members write as part of their participation in the Working Group belong to those Members, not to OMG. This remains true even if they send the documents to OMG, and we publish them via our web site. The only restriction that we put on these documents is that:
 - "Information disclosed in connection with any OMG activity, including but not limited to meetings, contributions, and submissions, is not confidential."
 - (Quoted from the IPR policy)
 - Other parts of the IPR policy only apply if OMG has used one of its processes documented in the P&P (e.g. the RFC or RFP process) to create a specification which OMG's Board approves for publication as an OMG standard.
 - The UML MIWG hasn't done this, but instead published test cases (written by the MIWG members, and thus belonging to them) and yes/no answers on whether pairs of tools interoperate successfully using each test case.
 - 2) Can you please inform or confirm that we can use GitHub as our repository as it expects an open source environment
 - You're certainly free to use GitHub as far as OMG is concerned. Presumably each of the test case authors would need to agree to GitHub's conditions of use, but provided GitHub does not insist that the test cases become confidential (and obviously it doesn't!) then you're OK as far as OMG's concerned.
- Adopted a Creative Common Attribution licence for the BPMN MIWG work:

BPMN MIWG by <http://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php> is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).
 - Adopted GitHub as the repository tool for BPMN MIWG. More to come next meeting.

Presentation by Bruce Silver

- Very interesting discussions around the implicitly vs explicitly provided information for interchange and implied/expected behaviour of BPMN diagrams.

- Bruce presentation is available here: <http://www.slideshare.net/brsilver/miwg-semantic-interchange-validation>

Creation of Subgroup(s)? (Test Case Editing, Test Result Moderation, etc...)

- Reported to next meeting

Market Acceptability of the effort (Business/Vendors/End Users)

- Reported to next meeting

Varia

- Nothing added

BPMN MIWG 27 Feb 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Adopted

Tool Support for Comparing test results (Visual overlay and XML file) part 2

- Slides from Falko's presentations are available here:
<http://www.slideshare.net/camunda/bpmn-interchange-validation>

Test Case Structure & Test Procedure Follow Up

- Test Case Structure and Test Procedure as reported on 13 Feb 2013 Meeting minutes adopted as initial version.

Intellectual Property Discussion

- Given that this is an official OMG Working Group, the relevant section of the OMG IPR policy identifies that anything "disclosed in connection with any OMG activity, including but not limited to meetings, contributions, and submissions, is not confidential."
- OMG latest IPR document: <http://doc.omg.org/ipr>

Test Case Repository (Versioning & Issue Tracking)

- Our Needs:
 - Versioning of Test Case themselves
 - Versioning of Test Results from Vendors
 - Issue/ comment tracking to "document" resolution of the group.
 - All this should be publicly visible and downloadable but changes should limited/moderated by editors
- GitHub was suggested as a solution to meet our needs
- We need to validate with OMG if we can use GitHub given that GitHub expects an open source environment

Creation of Subgroup(s)? (Test Case Editing, Test Result Moderation, etc...)

- Reported to next meeting

Market Acceptability of the effort (Business/Vendors/End Users)

- Reported to next meeting

Varia

- Nothing added

BPMN MIWG 13 Feb 2013 (Minutes)

Revision of minutes of last meeting

- Adopted

Test Strategy

Test Case Structure

- In order to better manage BPMN feature coverage a 3 Categories of Test Cases segmentation is proposed:
 - A Fixed Diagrams with Variation of Attributes
 - This category contains test cases with the same basic core diagram and variations on attributes
 - These test cases should be simple diagrams (potentially inspired by workflow patterns)
 - B Validate that tool covers a conformance class set
 - This category contains test cases that validate the coverage of a set of elements for each conformance class
 - These test case should
 - C Fixed Model with different depiction
 - This category contains test cases using the same core model, but using different depiction (diagram)
- Within each categories, Test Cases fulfilling a specific Test Objective will be created (ensuring breath of coverage) and variation of each Test Case within a category will be possible (ensuring depth of coverage)
- We will vote on approval of this structure at the next meeting

Test Procedure

- Discussed the interest for “Round Trip” testing while acknowledging the need for separate Import and Export testing
- Generic proposed import test procedure is to Import the test case (BPMN model serialization) in a Tool, validate that the tool provided depiction is within the acceptable “range” of the test case depiction
- Generic proposed export test procedure is to draw the test case (BPMN model diagram) using a Tool and then export the model from that tool and validate that the resulting serialization is within the acceptable “range” of the test case serialization
- We are not a certification body. Our role is not to certify product
- We are rather a crowd based BPMN Interchange testing support (pseudo external test group for tools)
- Supporting interchange testing and helping to identify interchange issues. Constructive, No finger pointing.

- We are planning on making all test results publically available
- We will need a public repository to manage test cases. This repository should ideally support versioning, issue tracking and commenting. (GitHub was mentioned)

Tool Support for Comparing test results (Visual overlay and XML file)

- Presentation part 1 on comparing visual depictions
- Comparison criteria need to be based on the BPMN 2.0 specification
- We need to define acceptable range of variance in depiction results
- There is no official reference implementation of the specification. This will be a challenge.
- Automated testing is highly desirable if possible within the capabilities of the working group
- Testing tool(s) need(s) to be open source and freely accessible (Careful with IP and licensing)

Market Acceptability of the effort (Business/Vendors/End Users)

- Not directly discussed.
- Reported to next meeting

Varia

- No meeting next week.

BPMN MIWG 6 Feb 2013 (Minutes)

Introduction

- Large group of attendees with representation from Business, Consulting, Academia and Vendors related to the BPMN space

Meetings

- Most of the work will be done via Web Meeting Schedule.
- Web meetings are planned for every Wednesday at 10:30 EDT
- Web Meeting Etiquette. Use the Hand Raise feature of the tool
- First Face to Face meeting
 - 19 June in Berlin (During OMG Technical meeting)

Resources

- Mailing list: move from the Google group to OMG list
- BPMN MIWG Wiki page: <http://www.omgwiki.org/bpmn-miwg/doku.php?id=start>
- <http://www.omg.org/cgi-bin/doc?dtd/10-06-02>
- References:
 - <http://www.omg.org/spec/BPMN/2.0/>

Charter Review

- Add an “Interchange Guideline” document as one of the outputs of BPMN MIWG to capture the motivation of each test case in the global Interchange context

Discussions

- Test Case Structure/Strategy potential topics:
 - Constructivist approach
 - Visual Attributes only (and/or with Hidden attributes)
 - With and/or without BPMNDI (layout)
 - Conformance Classes (and sub classes)
- Test case should start with a BPMN diagram
- Test cases should allow for a “range” of acceptable visual depictions and a “range” of acceptable serialization
- The test procedure should be separated into an import and export capability
- Generic proposed import test procedure is to Import the test case (BPMN model serialization) in a Tool, validate that the tool provided depiction is within the acceptable “range” of the test case depiction

- Generic proposed export test procedure is to draw the test case (BPMN model diagram) using a Tool and then export the model from that tool and validate that the resulting serialization is within the acceptable “range” of the test case serialization
- We should explore potential tools to support comparing/validating the result of the test procedure
- We should consider the “formal” validity of Test Cases
- We should consider Workflow Patterns as sources for Test Cases
- We should consider examples of Test Cases from Academia