

Parking Lot

[return to Reference Architecture \(RA\) Cover Page](#)

This section is a parking lot for sections waiting to be edited in some way - completed, moved, edited, etc.

See: <https://www.dokuwiki.org/plugin:todo>

dido:public:ra:0.front:0.1_omgdisclaimer
<input type="checkbox"/> Update disclaimer to align with latest approved form
dido:public:ra:0.front:1_summary
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]make sure change list for 4.0 is complete
dido:public:ra:0.front:4_toc
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Update with new sections
dido:public:ra:1.1_intro:3_organization
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Revise description of Taxonomy section; update list of appendices
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:01_cog_taxonomy:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-15]New section - review plus all subsections
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:02_state_taxonomy:data_at_rest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-17]New section - review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:02_state_taxonomy:data_in_motion
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-17]New section - review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:02_state_taxonomy:data_in_use
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-17]New section - review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:02_state_taxonomy:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-17]New section - review plus all subsections
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:04_modeltypes:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-20]New Section -- review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:05_lifecycle:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-20]New Section -- review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:06_protect:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-22]New Section -- review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:07_volatility:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [nick][✓ char, 2022-03-22]this line doesn't make sense .. especially the end .. "For example, the use of dynamic code written as strings and processed at runtime, interpretive code, and reflection." Processed at runtime is OK but the next don't make sense. Should it be "used as interpretive code, and support reflection"???
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-16]New Section -- review -- finished have comment above -- had to get rid of a number of duplicate sections.
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:01_char:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-22]Review subsections
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:03_def:start

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [nick][✓ char, 2022-03-20]Is this page still under construction?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-20]New Section -- review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:05_field:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [nick][✓ char, 2022-03-22]Is this page still under construction?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-22]New Section -- review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:01_except
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-22]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:02_tructor
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:03_access
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:05_abstract
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:07_special
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:09_general
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:11_visibility
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:08_objects:07_operators:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2022-03-22]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:10_errors:start
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:4_data_tax:start
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]This section has been heavily revised -- need to review
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:5_digital_assets:02_tokens:hybrid
<input type="checkbox"/> [nick]Need to expand on this section like you did for the other three token sections
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:5_digital_assets:03_nft:start
<input type="checkbox"/> [nick]will be expanded
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:5_digital_assets:start
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]New Section -- review plus subsections
dido:public:ra:1.2_views:3_taxonomic:start
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Review page
dido:public:ra:1.3_gov:1_communities:2_stakeholder
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.3_gov:1_legaldocs:3_pp
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:1.4_req:00_aboutreq:07_reqspec
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Review
dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.a_glossary:start
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Go though and check that book creator contains all the glossary entries -- that none are missing

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:defact:ethereum:start

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]revise to be consistent in style with other pages in this section

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:defact:microsoft:start

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]revise to be consistent in style with other pages in this section

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:defact:odc:start

[nick]Do you plan to populate this? Should I add a note saying that this will be updated in the future? Or delete>

[char]revise to be consistent in style with other pages in this section. This is a completely new section.

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:defact:ogd:start

[nick]what is the source for this page?? Please provide

[char]Note that this is a new section

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:tech:ecma:start

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]revise to be consistent in style with the other pages in this section

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:tech:omg:start

[char]Check all the OMG specs to make sure the reference is to the latest approved version and that it is complete

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:defact

[char]Update BookCreator to include two new sets of standards -- Open Govt Data & Open Data Common

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]change all pages to end with :start

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]correct formatting to be consistent across all main pages

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]update BookCreator entries to add colon to all entries where namespaces were updated with :start and where entries beginning with [[include a colon after the [[, i.e, [[:dido:

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.b_stds:tech

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Make sure all main pages have a namespace ending in :start

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Make sure that nspages works correctly

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Make sure that formatting is consistent across all pages

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]correct all book creator entries where namespaces were changed to end in :start to include a colon in between [[and dido:public

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.d_opsys:start

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Check to make sure have all OS pages listed here

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Check that all OS pages are in book creator.

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Replace list with nspages

[char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]change namespaces for each of the OS pages to end in :start

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.i_cog_model:start

[char][✓ char, 2022-03-20]Review

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.k_consensus:01_definition:start

[nick]Above there is a reference to "Proof of State" along with "Proof of Work". The glossary contains a def for Proof of Work (PoW). Does not contain a def for "Proof of **State**" -- but it does contain a def for "Proof of **Stake**" -- is this merely a typo? Did you mean Proof of **Stake**? Can add the cross reference back to the Glossary for PoW but will wait on what to do with PoS ..

[char]need to review with Nick's input

dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.k_consensus:05_algorithm:start

[char]Do general review of this page

<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Decide if it's worthwhile to fix the unordered lists so they look better in pdfexport
dido:public:ra:xapend:xapend.k_consensus:start
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]New Section -- review
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]change the list above to nspages
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]Change the subsection page names to K.1, K.2, etc. similar to App F
dido:public:ra:xapend
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [char][✓ char, 2021-11-09]replace list with nspages
dido:public:s_cli:05_contents:03_prt:08_basic_dido_objects:08_transaction:start
<input type="checkbox"/> TBD
<input type="checkbox"/> TBD
dido:public:ra
<input type="checkbox"/> [char]Update the list of PDF offerings to include 4.0 -- both Slim & Compact versions
pagetemplates:refstandard
<input type="checkbox"/> TBD
pagetemplates:standardref
<input type="checkbox"/> TBD

From:
<https://www.omgwiki.org/dido/> - **DIDO Wiki**

Permanent link:
https://www.omgwiki.org/dido/doku.php?id=dido:public:appendix_xx:appendix_z&rev=1623369683

Last update: **2021/06/10 20:01**

