2022/06/02 13:18 1/5 4.3.3.5 Testability

4.3.3.5 Testability

Return to Maintainability

About

Return to Top

Testability, Testable, Testing and Test are not synonyms for each other. Just because a system or program is undergoing testing using various tests does not necessarily mean that the system or program is actually Testable. The following table provides definitions for each of these four terms, associates each with the appropriate Structured Assurance Case, as well as, level in the Cognitive Model's Science and Knowledge Management DIKW (Data, Information, Knowledge and Wisdom) pyramid.

Last update: 2021/10/03 dido:public:ra:1.4_req:2_nonfunc:20_maintainability:testability https://www.omgwiki.org/dido/doku.php?id=dido:public:ra:1.4_req:2_nonfunc:20_maintainability:testability 13:23

IKW pyramid Assuranc Cas	Description	
--------------------------	-------------	--

DIKW pyramid level	Structured Assurance Case	Term	Description	
Understanding	Software Assurance (SwA)	Testability	restability is about documenting the functionality and requirements for a system or program and verifying that these requirements are of incitor in the provision of these are directly measurable or observable. Functional requirements are offen directly measurable or observable. Functional requirements are often directly measurable or observable. Functional requirements are often directly measurable or observable. Functional requirements are often directly measurable or relationship that is one-to-many or a many-to-many. For example, every person must have a unique company in unimer but they may have multiple phone numbers and also belong to multiple organizations. Other functional requirements are not so definite, but expressed in terms of a range of acceptable values. For cample, a Graphical User Interface (GUI) will respond in less than 5 seconds or the heart pulse rate is between 55 to 200 beats per minute. In contrast, non-functional requirements are generally more abstract: they relate to the quality of the system or program being delivered (i.e., portable, reliable, maintainable, securable, scalable, etc.) and are usually not directly measurable or observable but must be inferred from characteristics found in the delivered system's or product's architecture, design and implementation. These kinds of requirements require ways to characterize assurance and are specified in terms of claims (i.e., the system has a High Availability), sub-claims, and arguments (i.e., Availability), auto-claims, and arguments (i.e., Availability), auto-claims, and arguments (i.e., Availability) and claims, and arguments (i.e., Availability), auto-claims, and arguments (i.e., Availability), auto-claims, and arguments (i.e., Availability) and claims of the problem in a year for all components). Threes identifications enter to tocause in hardware specifications; because of dominance of vanctopial specifications can also access to the components of the problem. For example, and the problem is a component of the problem is a compon	
			The system shall use a right-handed approach when presenting data	

DIKW pyramid level	Structured Assurance Case	Term	Description
Knowledge	Claim	Testable	A Testable <u>attribute</u> of a system or program is a functional or nonfunctional requirement that may be testable or not. Some requirements can be directly tested for by running specific tests (i.e., Unit Testing, integration testing, etc.) using test plans that exercise a portion of the system or program software responsible for providing specific functionality. For example, the system is supposed to offer the choice of none, one, and many. Another example might be that when an option is selected, a message is sent out over the network. By design, some requirements are not directly testable, i.e., are untestable. Often, these requirements are met through the use of mathematical proofs or demonstrations. For example, the generation of a Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) can not be tested directly; instead, the algorithm used to generate them must provide an explanation and proof that no two sets of conditions will produce the same UUID. Often there is a risk of generating the same UUID, but the chances of the same UUID being used in identical domains or environments is even smaller. Another example would be the reCAPTCHA, which shows a series of photos and asks the user to identify the ones with green peas in them. The order of the photos and the thing it is asking you to identify are randomly assigned.
Information	Argument	Testing	Testing is a process that generally involves the execution of the system or program under scripted, controlled situations. The scripts can be human instructions in documents or they can be captured in text files that a testing engine uses to drive the software. Sometimes, a Unit Test is used to test individual modules before they are integrated into the system or program. Below are the various requirement conformity checks that can be performed to verify functional requirements: 1. Unit Testing 2. Integration Testing 3. End-to-End Testing (E2E Testing) 4. Smoke Testing 5. Sanity Testing 6. Regression Testing 7. Acceptance Testing 8. White Box Testing 9. Black Box Testing 10. Interface Testing 11. Interoperability Testing
Data	Evidence	Test	Test refers to the act of <u>collecting</u> the evidence used to support arguments, sub-claims and claims made about the system or program. There is not a one-to-one relationship between a Test, an Argument, a Sub-Claim or a Claim. Instead, one piece of data can support multiple Arguments and an Argument can support multiple Sub-Claims or Claims. That is why it is so important to have a Structured Assurance Case Model.

DIDO Specifics

Return to Top

To be added/expanded in future revisions of the DIDO RA

Achieving Requirements Testability, ProlificsTesting, 10 October 2018 Accessed on 9 August 2020 https://www.prolifics-testing.com/news/achieving-requirements-testability

https://www.omgwiki.org/dido/ - **DIDO Wiki**

Permanent link: https://www.omgwiki.org/dido/doku.php?id=dido:public:ra:1.4_req:2_nonfunc:20_maintainability:testability

Last update: 2021/10/03 13:23

