VCoI Call Notes

*8 March 2021*

# Attendees

* Mike
* Rob
* Claude
* Bobbin

# Agenda

* Quarterly Meeting agenda finalization
* Update: Types of WG going forward
* Wishlist
  + RFI Output requirements

# Meeting Notes

## Quarterly Meeting

We have a calendar

### Updates to TFs

Need to be defined as a joint meeting

* Added the GovTF shared session to our calendar
* Added the FDTF / Blockchain PSIG single shared session to our calendar

### Work Group Types

OMG is more formally defining the modalities for WGs.

Will be defined as Communities:

* Hosted communities
* Managed Communities

#### Hosted Communities:

Will get

* Mail list
* GitHub space for those who want to maintain stuff
* Calendar? Up to them
  + Would request a calendar if they want one?
  + Unlikely they would get an official OMG calendar – can set up their own
  + OMG automatic calendar – unlike as they would be unlikely to meet on the OMG Schedule
* Wiki? Not
* Loser rules on membership

Do what they want; no membership fees required

#### Managed Communities:

* Wiki
* Mail list
* Obligation to report
* GitHub space
* Use of OMG document server
* Will have where people who want to belong and are not OMG members pay a small fee to belong.
  + Still determining an appropriate fee
* More integrated into OMG structures
* Calendar

All still subject to changes.

Previously: were linked to a task force or free standing

**Managed WG**

* Has to be affiliated to a specific TF.
* Have a charter
* Leaders have to be OMG members

**Hosted communities** – do not have to affiliate with a TF.

**This VCoI** is currently a ‘not linked to a TF’ type of WG.

Aim is to have a seamless transfer of the 2 styles of WG on the above basis.

Calendars – do both kinds get one?

Example: some firms have approached OMG with a wish to develop a standard under OMG name.

Effectively a sandbox for a standard. Need only GitHub and a mail list.

**Timelines:** Assume by June

MB instinct: this VCoI sounds more like a Managed Community even though it is not actually a WG ‘of’ some existing TF.

Maybe there will be some RFC phase to see what works for people.

MB to talk to Jason about this WG since it makes more sense to be part of the OGM itself or come under the AB as a managed community of the AB. Would need to tweak the process for AB to be able to be a thing that owns.

### Proposal / suggestion for Agenda

Do we want to do a separate self-contained early evening session on general matters (including potentially some new metadata insights MB is working on)?

**Timings:** We could do a thing from

6pm on say Tuesday

Or 5 – 6 Wednesday

* For example
* Mike’s new ideas on meta-elements for semiotics
* Or the VCoI thing as a whole
* Or both

Do a thing for VCoI – general description + maybe some newer ideas

This would be for this March Quarterly Meeting

Currently we have:

* Joint meeting with GovDTF
* Joint meeting with FDTF and BC-PSIG on Wednesday

**Objections:** we have no content

#### Discussion

RN: Not to meet since there is no original content

CB: Since the VCoI is meant to affect every other group there is merit in a session based on making everyone aware of what is being done and potentially repeating that in the future with status – even if the March 15 min meetings are there this doesn’t provide visibility to every other group that may be affected. In future it may be more efficient to have a single slot in place of the separate 15 min road trip idea.

May be a better idea to do the one-off in June since we have the road trip meeting in place already in March. And define the content of such a meeting. Define what VCoI and what we are attempting to do for others, and invite requests for participation. Followed by updates as an evening meeting in subsequent

CB: neutral about having the overall evening meeting in March.

BT: Would wait until there is something more cogent to present.

What do we have – is mainly non completed material for:

* Output formats
* Metamodel / maintenance (still in progress)
* Local TF ontology guidelines (and definition guidelines etc.)

#### Consensus

Complete the above and do an evening session in June

March – road trip only.

June – decide the times then i.e.

* 6pm on Tuesday
* Or 5 – 6 Wednesday

## Wishlist Working

Look at the Smart contracts RFI

### Definitions

Should we maintain only one definition or more than one e.g.

* ISO style
* Document style

May be:

Simple transformation (only Period ad leading capital) then that’s achievable

* Stylesheet concept

Any other styling differences we would only need a new stylesheet.

May have to extend what stylesheets currently (Capital and period) but otherwise achievable.

#### 3rd potential style dictionaries):

One field has sentence 1 = actual definition and Sentence 2.. gives usage or example notes. Almost every dictionary we see has that

e.g.

Take a ‘definition’ from Investopedia – strip the end and and subsequent sentences, and out those into ‘further notes’. Then in MG FIBO this became (one of) skos: Editorial Note or Explanatory Note.

Most of the dictionary things would be ‘Explanatory Note

RFI: we see both

RFI concatenate ‘definition’ and ‘explanatory note’

**Wishlist:** explanatory note

(see FIBO AV)

Would be good to see this elevated into OMG Specification Metadata (check with Elisa if that is already the case)

### References

See last week’s notes.

We wanted to be able to have something richer that people could draw upon, for references, just as we did for terms and definitions.

Would people use this?

RN was doing for CDBC, putting in hard links to articles for things existing or planned. The question was, if I was going to reference one of those inks in an RFI or several, over time, do we want to capture something as a reference in a way it can eb usable like the table underlying the definitions table.

* Is that where we are going?

e.g. if additional info includes keywords, exhibits.

As an article, you may know the reference but only some have access to the content. What to do in those cases – generally there is a public record of the official citation – could use that as the public facing citation for the paper. There are some choices not be made in quoting things (fair use etc.); also many things disappear over time.

How to curate links. Have centrally curated place for links.

All agree.

## AoB

None

### Next week

Finish this up.